

SEATTLE URBAN FORESTRY COMMISSION

Becca Neumann (Position 4 – Hydrologist), Co-chair
Joshua Morris (Position 7 – NGO), Co-Chair
Alicia Kellog (Position 2 – Urban Ecologist) • Stuart Niven (Position 5 – Arborist – ISA)
Hao Liang (Position 6 – Landscape Architect – ISA) • David Baker (Position 8 – Development)
Jessica Hernandez (Position 11 – Environmental Justice) • Jessica Jones (Position 12 – Public Health)
Lia Hall (Position 13 – Community/Neighborhood)

The Urban Forestry Commission was established to advise the Mayor and City Council concerning the establishment of policy and regulations governing the protection, management, and conservation of trees and vegetation in the City of Seattle

Meeting notes

September 6, 2023, 3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. Via Webex call and in-person at the Seattle Municipal Tower, Room 1872 (18th floor) 700 5th Avenue, Seattle

> (206) 207-1700 Meeting number: 2492 136 4537 Meeting password: 1234

Attending

<u>Commissioners</u> <u>Staff</u>

Becca Neumann – Co-Chair Patti Bakker – OSE

Josh Morris - Co-Chair

Alicia Kellogg

David Baker Guests

Lia Hall Councilmember Pedersen

Toby Thaler

Absent- Excused

Stuart Niven <u>Public</u>

Hao Liang Steve Zemke
Jessica Hernandez Michael Oxman
Jessica Jones June BlueSpruce

NOTE: Meeting notes are not exhaustive. For more details, listen to the digital recording of the meeting at: https://www.seattle.gov/urbanforestrycommission/meetingdocuments

Call to order: Becca called the meeting to order and offered a land acknowledgement.

Public comment:

Councilmember Pedersen described the proposed ordinance he drafted to amend the tree protection ordinance. The proposed ordinance would require SDCI to check in with the State's Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation to verify whether a tree is a historic site or a culturally modified tree. It also provides that if a driveway is part of a proposed project and the driveway is what causes tree removal, the parking requirement will be waived, and it makes changes to the required tree protection area methodology to provide more flexibility in retaining trees.

Steve Zemke urged considering and supporting CM Pedersen's amendment ordinance. The tree protection area changes proposed could have a big impact on saving more trees.

Michael Oxman noted that a good analysis is needed of what the outcome of the various versions of tree protection area are, and informed staff members are needed to analyze the survivability of trees.

Chair, Committees, and Coordinator report:

Patti noted that Seattle Forest Week is coming up, starting with Arbor Day on Saturday, October 28, then featuring forest education and appreciation events throughout the week, and ending with Green Seattle Day on Saturday, November 3. She also noted that the Green New Deal Oversight Board application period is open through September 18, as OSE is seeking applicants for five GND Oversight Board positions:

- Environmental justice organization representative
- Representative with experience in greenhouse gas reduction and/or climate resiliency strategies relevant to cities
- Two youth representatives between the ages of 16 and 25 who are directly impacted by racial, economic, and environmental injustices
- Representative directly impacted by racial, economic, and environmental injustices

Josh shared that the tree protection ordinance subgroup has been meeting on a weekly basis and invited any other Commissioners interested to participate to let Patti know. He also noted that Cascadia Climate Action is starting to organize some climate science topic events relating to urban forestry and climate change. It will be a panel discussion format; anyone interested in participating as a panelist or helping organize the events can get in touch with Mary Manous at Cascade Climate Action.

Snoqualmie Tribe urban forestry work – Jaime Martin and Steven Moses

Jaime and Steven provided overviews of their work with the Tribe. Jaime works on government affairs and is managing the Snoqualmie Tribe ancestral lands movement, which was started in 2021 in response to a lot of recreational impacts on their ancestral lands. Part of this effort includes urging people to think about how we can redefine recreation to include building reciprocity and not for recreation to be framed just in consumptive terms. So, rather than people just going out for a hike and focusing on the benefit that they as an individual get, taking that as an opportunity to build relationship with the land versus just passing through it, and there's a lot of overlap in how we engage in our urban forest and the city.

Steven is Director of the Tribe's Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation. They watch out for and review projects that are announced by counties or cities, which is approximately 13-14,000 projects reviewed in a calendar year. When reviewing, they look for projects that will have an impact on any archaeological or culturally important site. Three criteria: where is it – is there overlap with Tribal lands; scope of the project – what is the potential impact; who's permitting it and who's funding it? Based on these three things, they consider whether there will be an impact to a site that is culturally significant to the Tribe.

Questions and comments from Commissioners include:

- During the permit review process, are applicants required to notify or involve the Tribe? The permitting authority is supposed to do the consultation with the Tribes. The consultation piece is

critical – they don't know to review a project without that. The amendment to be introduced on the tree ordinance will be a great step toward that for Seattle.

- Did the Tribe have opportunity to help develop the proposed ordinance to amend the tree ordinance?
- How can the UFC best support the Tribe's efforts on this? They applied for a grant for their urban forestry work, including CMTs. If they get it or other funding, developing education materials.
- Are there other areas of urban forestry in Seattle on their radar as high priorities? Native Plant Plan –
 foraging is a big interest, but complicated to open it up to broad use. Need to focus more on native
 plants and develop nurseries.
- What is the process for working government to government with cities?
- Given how challenging it is to protect all mature trees and the fact that trees rely on one another for support, does the tribe plan to try and rally for other trees on lots undergoing development?

Tree Protection Ordinance

- Coordination with Green New Deal Oversight Board

Maria Batayola provided information on the GNDOB's recent action requesting that a Task Force be set up to develop recommendations to improve the tree ordinance, that would be Co-Chaired by the GNDOB and the UFC. The intent is for this Task Force to develop a report with recommendations to provide the new City Council in 2024.

Lia provided a recap of what the tree ordinance subgroup has been working on and discussing. There is a proposal that members of the UFC and GNDOB attend each other's meetings to start providing some coordination between the groups. The subgroup is also working on solidifying its goals beyond the general goal of trying to better understand the tree ordinance.

The UFC agreed there was general support for the concept of developing the Task Force. Josh will work on drafting a letter formalizing the support to be considered at the next meeting.

- Proposed Council amendments

Josh walked through the tree ordinance amendment proposed by CM Pedersen, which includes the three elements mentioned by CM Pedersen during public comment. Commissioners discussed questions and clarifications around the proposed amendment. The ordinance subgroup will discuss at the next meeting and prepare a draft letter to be considered by the UFC at the next meeting.

Director's Rule 11-2023-Tree Measurements input

There is not time for the UFC to develop and officially adopt a letter of input on this Director's Rule, so Josh will call Christy Carr who is the contact at SDCI on this, to provide input as discussed by the UFC today.

- Commissioner input includes:
 - It's hard to visualize what's being covered.
 - The DR refers to the tree Tiers without the descriptors for them.
 - One key consideration is to make sure there is an Arborist involved in decision making around determining tree protection areas.

Comprehensive Plan process update

The draft Environmental Impact Statement has not yet been issued by OPCD, so there is not a new product or process phase for the UFC to weigh in on yet. Patti will check in with OPCD staff to get an update on expected timing for it.

NOTE: Meeting notes are not exhaustive. For more details, listen to the digital recording of the meeting at: http://www.seattle.gov/urbanforestrycommission/meetingdocs.htm

Public comment:

June BlueSpruce noted appreciation for the Snoqualmie Tribe's work and reiterated two points from what they shared: relating to the land is a reciprocal relationship and trees are not just single trees, they are part of larger systems. They urged the UFC to support the task force idea.

Michael Oxman noted that the video recordings are a great way of documenting things. He warned during the Comp Plan update, to be prepared for an attempt to reduce the 40% canopy cover goal.

Steve Zemke reviewed some of the language from the tree ordinance, noting that there are inconsistencies and contradictions in the ordinance that weren't caught because it was reviewed and passed so quickly.

Adjourn: The meeting was adjourned at 4:55 PM.

Meeting chat:

from Sandy Shettler to everyone: 3:10 PM Thank you so much Council member Pedersen!!

from Lia Hall to everyone: 3:15 PM

Our arborist, Stuart Niven is in the TPO subgroup from Pedersen, Alex to everyone: 3:20 PM

https://pedersen.seattle.gov/strengthening-seattles-tree-ordinance/

from Pedersen, Alex to everyone: 3:20 PM

https://pedersen.seattle.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/Tree-Ord-amendments-TMP-9902-technical-

refinements-clean-2023.09.06.pdf

from Bakker, Patricia to everyone: 3:20 PM

Not active yet, but soon to be launched Seattle Forest Week website: www.seattleforestweek.org

from Bakker, Patricia to everyone: 3:20 PM

GNDOB application: Seattle's Green New Deal Oversight Board Member Application Survey

(surveymonkey.com)

Email contact for more information or questions: equityenviro@seattle.gov

from Joshua Morris to everyone: 3:20 PM

Cascadia Climate Action "Climate Science on Tap" https://cascadiaclimateaction.org/on-tap/

from Bakker, Patricia to everyone: 3:21 PM

SCL Urban and Community Forestry Lead position application:

https://www.governmentjobs.com/careers/seattle/jobs/4171734/urban-and-community-forestry-lead-

strategy-advisor-2?keywords=forestry&pagetype=jobOpportunitiesJobs

from Bakker, Patricia to everyone: 3:22 PM

GNDOB application: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/23GNDOBAPP

from David Moehring to everyone: 3:40 PM

David Moehring joining while illness and not able to speak on webex today.

Nevertheless, I will share notes relative to the City Council land use committee proposed Sept 13th amendments to the 2023 Tree Ordinance:

1— an amendment to recognize that Culturally Modified Trees exist and should be considered in development plans; and we are expecting the number of trees within this category to be a low count comparable to Tier 1. This is a very good requirement to add as an amendment even with a relatively minor influence on the comprehensive plan canopy cover goals. It does partially address the Seattle Comprehensive plan policy EN1.7 relative to retention of historical significance of trees ... such as Culturally Modified Trees and such as yet to be documented Heritage trees on public and private land.

2— UFC should closely review a proposed amendment to reinstate pre-2023 exceptional tree retention design departures and require creative design for "exceptional," now classified as from David Moehring to everyone: 3:40 PM

Tier 2) to be removed for new driveways; minimizing lot clearings with creative design is a requirement with the 2035 Seattle Compromise Plan policy BL-P26. This amendment should be strengthened further to pre-2023 levels. One CM was recently considering a stronger proposal ... not only for new driveways, but also new on-site parking spaces. Formerly the tree ordinance code allowed exceptional trees to be retained by waiving on-site parking requirements. This amendment as currently written does not get us back to 2022 tree retention provisions.

3— the pre-2023 tree protection code would not allow for a tree to be cut down if a small amount of the root zone is disturbed, emphasizing tree protection techniques. As Steve mentioned in public comment, the UFC should consider returning to 2022 tree retention methods that would allow one-third of the outer critical root zone to be altered while retaining the tree and considering this as an essential measure with the new pre-design 85-perce

from David Moehring to everyone: 3:40 PM

nt calculation. Thank you!

from Lia Hall to everyone: 3:43 PM

https://bioneers.org/the-honorable-harvest-robin-kimmerer-zstf0619/

from Sandy Shettler to everyone: 3:45 PM

Agree on shortage of native trees for planting! Anyone with even a small yard can help via the Grow It Forward program where people can foster tiny native trees which are eventually given back to planting agencies. Thanks so much to Jaime and the Snoqualmie Tribe for carrying on this critical effort.

from Michael Oxman to everyone: 3:47 PM

What is the status of the Heritage Tree partnership with PlantAmnesty?

from Martha Baskin to everyone: 3:48 PM

Given how challenging it is to protect all mature trees and the fact that trees rely on one another for support, does the tribe plan to try and rally for other trees on lots undergoing development?

from June BlueSpruce she/her to everyone: 3:49 PM

Yes, thank you to the Snoqualmie Tribe for your work and for these ideas to coordinate efforts and respect Tribal sovereignty.

from Michael Oxman to everyone: 3:51 PM

Really enjoyed the op-Ed in the Seattle Times by Jaime Martin

from David Moehring to everyone: 3:52 PM

Also the Land Use Committee will also vote on CH 120635, requiring developers to pay Transportation Impact fees to fund street trees. Thank you to CM Pedersen for introducing this to Supplement tree planting measures. The UFC SHOULD CLARIFY for the City Council and mayor relative to a misunderstood concept that public street trees are being considered as the answer to achieving 30-percent equitable canopy cover in Seattle. Without protections of trees on developed parcels and without studying how much ROW plantable land area is actually logistically available within street right-of-way, we know that 30% citywide canopy cover will not be achieved within the next 15 years and beyond. Approximately 80,000 to 100,000 street trees (medium to large) would be needed to be planted cover the city's current deficit from 28.1%... not counting the known rate of tree loss currently at roughly 51 acres per year. Planting street trees is something to promote widely, but planting street trees alone is

from David Moehring to everyone: 3:52 PM

not a mathematical response to the 30-percent canopy cover.

from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:04 PM

Would be good to look at current comp lan provision

from Michael Oxman to everyone: 4:04 PM

Please introduce a motion to adopt the task force proposal

from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:08 PM

would be good to look at current comp plan provisions involved eg environment section, and propose changes to be considered in next draft for next year. The Planning Commission is doing this like in transportation and housing.

from Andrea Starbird to everyone: 4:18 PM

Perfect explanation Josh and Patti! Tree protection areas should be specific to the tree and site:)

from Lia Hall to everyone: 4:18 PM

What happens if the tree still dies with this reduced area?

from Jim Davis to everyone: 4:21 PM

The difficulty is that there is not a requirement, to my knowledge, that there be an assessment of the main

roots of the tree, if the space is reduced. from Maria Batayola to everyone: 4:21 PM

Replacement for a tree that died is a good amendment to the draft ordinance.

from Sandy Shettler to everyone: 4:21 PM Andrea, we are so glad to see you again! from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:21 PM

Dead trees need to be replaced, during development. But protected trees that die afterwards do not need to

be replaced unfortunately

from Michael Oxman to everyone: 4:21 PM

Code language cannot guarantee tree survival. That is why arborists are consulted to assess site & tree conditions.

from Toby Thaler to everyone: 4:24 PM

New 25.11.090.B: B. For each relocated or required replacement tree, maintenance and monitoring is 1 required for a five-year period. The period begins when the replacement tree is planted. 2 Maintenance and monitoring shall include the following: 3

- 1. Sufficient maintenance actions to ensure survival of the replacement tree: 4
- a. When more than one replacement tree is required, 80 percent survival of 5 new trees planted at the end of five years; 6
- b. When one replacement tree is required, 100 percent survival of the new 7 tree planted at the end of five years; 8
- 2. Replacement and replanting of failed trees; and 9
- 3. Photographic documentation of planting success retained for the five-year 10 period. Submission of documentation to the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections 11 is not required unless requested by the Department.

from Toby Thaler to everyone: 4:24 PM

[ignore line numbers]

from Chris Gaul to everyone: 4:24 PM

How does the public find out which trees in their neighborhood are replacement trees?

from Andrea Starbird to everyone: 4:25 PM

I believe the code change had a provision that required SDCI publish a map of replacement trees by early 2024 (?) but anyone please feel free to clarify if that would apply in the situation Chris is asking about

from Michael Oxman to everyone: 4:26 PM

This Directors Rule will require new staff, so the budget hearing should include input from UFC.

from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:26 PM

Correction - No replacemnt if die after 5 years that I am aware of.

from Toby Thaler to everyone: 4:27 PM

Steve Z is correct: That's clear from extract 25.11.050.B above.

from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:27 PM

UFC members can weight in individually at Land Use Committee next week.

from Andrea Starbird to everyone: 4:28 PM

Other cities do have survivor requirements - personally I think that's a great idea but still subject to the same

problems with enforcement/oversight from Sandy Shettler to everyone: 4:29 PM

Andrea, can you share which cities have those requirements?

from Jim Davis to everyone: 4:30 PM

Reduce the TPA if the main roots of the tree are still protected would be a good clarification.

from Andrea Starbird to everyone: 4:30 PM Sandy, I'll gather some examples and be in touch! from Andrea Starbird to everyone: 4:32 PM

Often it's in the form of 'tree preservation bonds' from Maria Batayola to everyone: 4:34 PM

Thank YOU, CM Pedersen for your perseverance and work.

from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:35 PM

Again commissioners can send in individual comments. from June BlueSpruce she/her to everyone: 4:36 PM

Yes, I am so grateful to CM Petersen and Toby Thaler for tireless work to preserve and grow our urban forest.

from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:37 PM

Commission needs to come up with some procedure to secure votes to pass resolutions in timely fashion. Is it

possible to ask absent members to vote on a resolution outside the meeting for approval?

from Lia Hall to everyone: 4:41 PM

Does the city then have replacement trees inventoried if they have to be specified on permit applications?

from Andrea Starbird to everyone: 4:43 PM

Only street trees would be mapped / inventoried, but replacement trees or new trees as development requirement would be likely only be shown on the approved building plans. Not sure the city has those mapped or will include private property trees in the map required by the directive in the ordinance

from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:44 PM

Councilman Strauss said draft EIS will be out sometime this month.

from David Moehring to everyone: 4:44 PM Scoping DEIS is projected to be released this month

from Toby Thaler to everyone: 4:45 PM

June/July deadline was postponed. Council will be briefed on October 2. Open to public to watch. 2 p.m.

from Michael Oxman to everyone: 4:45 PM

Comp Plan revisions will for sure see an attempt to reduce aspirational Canopy Cover goal down from 40%.

Please expect tyhis and resist it.

from Steve Zemke to everyone: 4:45 PM

Delay was to evaluating impact of HB1110 on middle housing, and other state bills

from June BlueSpruce she/her to everyone: 4:52 PM

And I forgot to say thank you to Maria and Josh for their work to move the proposed Task Force forward.

Public input (additional comments received):

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 7:16 AM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; Boawn, Jeanie <Jeanie.Boawn@seattle.gov>; Bakker, Patricia

<Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: New study shows cooling potential of varying Seattle trees and forests

CAUTION: External Email

https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/environment/new-study-shows-cooling-potential-of-varying-seattle-trees-and-forests

Do tell....!

kevin orme Seattle From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Friday, August 18, 2023 6:48 AM

To: LEG CouncilMembers < council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce < Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; Boawn, Jeanie <Jeanie.Boawn@seattle.gov>; Bakker, Patricia

<Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: To feel less heat, we need more trees in our cities - Ben Jealous

CAUTION: External Email

Climate crisis: To feel less heat, we need more trees - Chicago Sun-Times (suntimes.com)

still don't take trees seriously? Oh, right. The rest of the world disagrees with you.

kevin orme Seattle

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, August 20, 2023 9:27 PM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: Cities are hotter than ever. Here are 5 things they can do about it

CAUTION: External Email

https://www.cnn.com/style/cities-heat-design-dfi/index.html

funny how many others seem to already acknowledge the problem and act to protect trees - unlike Seattle, which probably has more of them (at least for the moment) than most of those cities combined. Do you really want your legacy to be the City Govt that purposedly changed that to heat islands and McMansions? Denny already clearcut everything once - that's the direction you're letting Marco Lowe and MBAKS repeat...

"those who fail to learn the lessons of history are doomed to repeat them."

kevin orme Seattle

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com >

Sent: Tuesday, August 22, 2023 12:27 PM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: We're dangerously unprepared for the heat crisis from climate change - CNN

CAUTION: External Email

https://www.cnn.com/2018/08/11/opinions/cities-dangerously-overheating-climate-change-redlener-ratner/index.html

"Trees should be viewed as critical infrastructure, the same as roads or water treatment facilities. Green infrastructure is also recommended by MIT, The Trust for Public Land, and the RAND Corp. because of relatively low financial and environmental costs for these measures in comparison to energy consuming solutions like air conditioning and major retrofitting of heat-absorbing homes."

Read it, believe it and HEED it!

kevin orme Seattle

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com> **Sent:** Wednesday, August 23, 2023 6:13 AM

To: LEG CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: Austin Urban Heat Island(s) - GIS/satellite data with convenient visual scroll-map

CAUTION: External Email

https://austin.maps.arcgis.com/apps/Cascade/index.html?appid=95bd39c1b696478dad72250fd91b562b

this scrolling map lets you easily view overlays of heat islands across Austin, TX. One would think given we have (a bit, not much) more time to prepare that we'd be doing even MORE than Austin to get ready - are we? **Protecting** trees sure **isn't** on the list and while planting trees is of course important - **planting** trees won't have any effect for 10+ years on the heat issue/carbon capture, assuming we make the effort (and allocate the funds and resources) to make sure these new trees survive? Again, are we? Marco Lowe/MBAKS, thoughts?

kevin orme seattle

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2023 7:31 AM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

- <Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi
- <Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin
- <Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin
- <Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby
- <Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin
- <Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle
- <Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: 36% of Nation's Public School Students Attending School in a Heat Island

CAUTION: External Email

https://www.tpl.org/media-room/36-nations-public-school-students-attending-school-heat-island

and the proof of protecting trees just keeps on and on - **unlike** McMansions, MBAKS and Marco Lowe 1950's mentality. Protect our trees!

kevin orme Seattle

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Friday, August 25, 2023 6:19 AM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

- <Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi
- <Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin
- <Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin
- <Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby
- <Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin
- <Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle
- <Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: The Magic of Tree-Lined Streets

CAUTION: External Email

https://www.strongtowns.org/journal/2016/6/26/the-magic-of-tree-lined-streets-1

street trees, while great and we need more of them too, aren't sufficient to mitigate what's already here (heat islands) when you consider they only comprise 15% of the trees in Seattle - the other 85% are on private property. And unlike the new Tree Removal Ordinance - SDOT inventories and maintains and replaces its trees when needed?

We've been campaigning to force SDCI to do the same for private trees for over a decade now and it falls on deaf ears. And now Dan Strauss and Marco Lowe have made it even easier to ignore, while MBAKS now gets to mow down 85% of anything they want to in clearcutting lots?

a great recipe for heat islands, to be sure :(

kevin orme Seattle

----Original Message----

From: dmoehring@consultant.com <dmoehring@consultant.com>

Sent: Sunday, August 27, 2023 4:53 PM

To: SCI_Microfilm < DPD_Microfilm@seattle.gov>

Cc: Humphries, Paul <Paul.Humphries@seattle.gov>; Han, Samuel S <Samuel.Han@seattle.gov>;

jim.olson@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: Permit and Property Records - Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections

CAUTION: External Email

Over 60 trees cleared on a site with one house finishing it's construction this month. At least 8 trees were to remain, and heavy mitigation of vegetation to a dead-end property.

Please provide the final site develop plan and arborist for 4300 W CRAMER ST. This plan should show floor area and lot coverage calculations.

Provide copy of vegetation plan required with removal of tree groves.

Provide copy of affirmed responses to tree protections from SDCI Paul Humphreys: https://web.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/GetDocument.aspx?id=6576327

It appears construction photos showing many trees indicated to remain were clearcut from this once forested site.

Annotated site plan showing existing trees to be retained:

https://web.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/GetDocument.aspx?id=8619229

https://web.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/

Final site inspection report

https://web.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/GetDocument.aspx?id=8872905

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Monday, August 28, 2023 7:40 AM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: US cities are spending millions on trees to fight heat – but are their plans equitable?

CAUTION: External Email

https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/aug/26/us-cities-trees-heat-equitable

funny how the cover pic on this article shows Seattle - yet we know we are actually FAILING to achieve the 30% tree cover in this article in the 9th paragraph - the chief reason being you just signed the Tree Removal Ordinance which guarantees Marco Lowe's allies get to cut down whatever and pretty much wherever they want, with SDCI nary batting an eye. you pretty much have consigned the 30% coal as 'nice to have' at best....

that legacy is on you, especially YOU, Dan Strauss.

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2023 10:41 AM

To: LEG CouncilMembers < council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce < Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: Seattle City Council's actions belie empty talk of saving trees

CAUTION: External Email

"...As voters consider their choices in November's City Council elections, it's worth repeating: Every incumbent on the ballot — **Dan Strauss, Andrew Lewis, Tammy Morales** — approved a tree-cutting ordinance last May, even after receiving hundreds of emails asking them to delay until they had more time to consider the consequences of their decision..."

The city's **Urban Forestry Commission**, created to advise on such legislation, **was ignored when it asked for a delay**. So was the Beacon Hill Council, which was concerned about heat islands, flooding and pollution in a community of 40,000 residents who live in a "tree desert in vulnerable south Seattle," according to its letter to the City Council.

With that in mind, **Beacon Hill residents should be skeptical when Morales**, who represents South Seattle, recently posted on social media: "I've known about the risks of growing urban cores w/o greenery. But we CAN and absolutely should keep and add greenery as we build."

****So the above means Morales was being **honest** about her knowledge, and **dishonest** about the way she voted!****

 $\underline{\text{https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/editorials/seattle-city-councils-actions-belie-empty-talk-of-saving-trees/***}$

The years-long evidence of seattle citizens, including urbanist advocates and poll results, can be seen below. It seems only you, Marco Lowe and MBAKS are the ones who prioritize developer profits and clearcuts, unlike the rest of us? Who are you working for - MBAKS or us? **Marco Lowe is not an elected official** yet he gets to write the ordinance?

kevin orme seattle

https://friends.urbanforests.org/2023/04/17/seattle-tree-poll-2023/ - Jan 2023, Dec 2021, and Sept 2021 - overwhelming Seattle tree support polls from NW Progressive Institute

Yes, Seattle, we can have both housing and trees

https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/environment/yes-seattle-we-can-have-both-housing-and-trees/ - Aug 14, 2023

Save the Trees, Build Urban Housing!

https://www.theurbanist.org/2023/07/27/save-the-trees-build-urban-housing/ - July 27, 2023

Seek compromise on housing, tree removal in Seattle

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/editorials/seek-compromise-on-housing-tree-removal-in-seattle/ - Jul 27, 2023

OPINION | Seattle's Tree Ordinance Is an Affront to Climate Justice

https://southseattleemerald.com/2023/06/24/opinion-seattles-tree-ordinance-is-an-affront-to-climate-justice/ - June 24, 2023

Seattle's proposed tree ordinance is the legislative equivalent of a chain saw

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/editorials/seattles-proposed-tree-ordinance-is-the-legislative-equivalent-of-a-chain-saw/ - May 23, 2023

Tell your Seattle City Council to pass strong tree protections

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/editorials/tell-your-seattle-city-council-to-pass-strong-tree-protections/ - April 25, 2023

Seattle can't protect its urban forest without a census of its largest trees

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/seattle-cant-protect-its-urban-forest-without-a-census-of-its-largest-trees/ - April 20, 2023

OPINION | We Must Get Seattle's Updated Tree Ordinance Right to Protect Community Well-Being https://southseattleemerald.com/2023/04/17/opinion-we-must-get-seattles-updated-tree-ordinance-right-to-protect-community-well-being/ - April 17, 2023

Seattle Has a Green Lake-Sized Hole in Its Tree Canopy, Study Shows

https://southseattleemerald.com/2023/03/03/seattle-has-a-green-lake-sized-hole-in-its-tree-canopy-study-shows/ - March 3, 2023

OPINION | Why Caring for Trees Is So Important in Urban Environments

https://southseattleemerald.com/2022/11/17/opinion-why-caring-for-trees-is-so-important-in-urban-environments/ - Nov 17, 2022

Seattle's town house residents deserve trees, too

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/seattles-town-house-residents-deserve-trees-too/ - Sept 26, 2022

Seattle's Trees: Protection can't wait

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/letters-to-the-editor/seattles-trees-protection-cant-wait/ - April 29, 2022

Seattle's trees: Allies in climate crisis

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/letters-to-the-editor/seattles-trees-allies-in-climate-crisis/ - Jan 21, 2022

City Hall must take action on protecting Seattle's trees

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/editorials/city-hall-must-take-action-on-protecting-seattles-trees/ - Jan 13, 2022

Enforce Seattle's tree ordinance

https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/letters-to-the-editor/enforce-seattles-tree-ordinance/ - July 10, 2019

As city booms, leafy giants at risk

https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/as-citv-booms-leafv-giants-at-risk/ - Jan 8, 2016

Seattle's arborist wants more trees and better care for them

https://www.seattletimes.com/pacific-nw-magazine/seattlersquos-arborist-wants-more-trees-and-better-care-for-them/ - March 7, 2014

Seattle's tree management needs revising, the city auditor says

https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/seattles-tree-management-needs-revising-the-city-auditorsays/ - May 19,2009

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 1:01 PM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: Developer doublespeak

CAUTION: External Email

I invite you to read this Guardian article to open a new perspective on what developers are doing (and **NOT** doing) when they talk the walk (but all but **never** walk the walk) about 'green development': <u>The great</u> garden swindle: how developers are hiding behind shrubbery | Architecture | The Guardian

kevin orme Seattle

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2023 7:10 AM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: more on Developer doublespeak and greenwashing

CAUTION: External Email

And the lies just keep on coming....

<u>Can new building codes save metro Phoenix from heat, housing crises? (usatoday.com)</u>

<u>Potential nature-based solutions and greenwashing to generate green spaces: Developers' claims versus</u> reality in new housing offers - ScienceDirect

Green Washing Green Buildings - Blog :: Earth Protect

Sustainable developments in question as off-the-plan buyers get greenwashed greenwashing.pdf (darebinada.org)

Identifying the Red Flags of Greenwashing in Commercial Real Estate | LinkedIn

from yesterday (re-included for convenience): https://www.theguardian.com/artanddesign/architecture-design-blog/2015/apr/16/the-great-garden-swindle-how-developers-are-hiding-behind-shrubbery

kevin orme Seattle

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com>

Sent: Friday, September 1, 2023 8:23 AM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: Inside the tumultuous debate behind Seattle's tree ordinance

CAUTION: External Email

funny how it's ok for developers to OUTRIGHT LIE to those in power and have the SeaCC and Mayor still act accordingly...Who do you represent, greed and lying or those who elected you? Really - who do you represent?!

Inside the tumultuous debate behind Seattle's tree ordinance | Crosscut

kevin orme seattle

----Original Message-----

From: dmoehring@consultant.com <dmoehring@consultant.com>

Sent: Monday, September 4, 2023 6:55 PM
To: SCI_Microfilm < DPD_Microfilm@seattle.gov>

Cc: Bakker, Patricia < Patricia. Bakker@seattle.gov>; treesandpeople@pacificwest.com Subject: Permit and Property Records - Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections

CAUTION: External Email

Over 60 trees cleared from a forested site to build just one large house! At least 8 trees were to remain, and significant mitigation of vegetation was expected for this dead-end property.

Please provide the final site development tree retention survey or tree count based on the permitted drawings and arborist report for 4300 W CRAMER ST. Provide written proof that the construction plan floor area and lot coverage calculations have been built according to plans and code. Provide copy of vegetation plan required with removal of tree groves.

It appears construction photos showing many trees indicated to remain were clearcut from this once forested site... including adjacent lots?!?

For Trees and People Coalition David Moehring AIA

Annotated site plan showing existing trees to be retained:

https://web.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/GetDocument.aspx?id=8619229

https://web.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/

Final site inspection report

https://web.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/GetDocument.aspx?id=8872905

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, September 5, 2023 6:42 AM

To: LEG CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: Heat: the next big inequality issue

CAUTION: External Email

https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/aug/13/heat-next-big-inequality-issue-heatwaves-world

for those in the city govt who weren't already paying attention - this issue is already here and has been for some time - time to pay attention and address it by PROTECTING what's left of our tree canopy (especially in the CD, South Park, Rainier Beach, Beacon Hill and other nearby areas of the city where developers have already cut most of them down already) as well as planting more all over Seattle (instead of just allowing clearcuts everywhere like now).

kevin orme Seattle

From: kevinorme < kevinorme@protonmail.com> **Sent:** Wednesday, September 6, 2023 1:28 PM

To: LEG_CouncilMembers <council@seattle.gov>; Harrell, Bruce <Bruce.Harrell@seattle.gov>

Cc: Emery, Adiam <Adiam.Emery@seattle.gov>; Burgess, Tim <Tim.Burgess@seattle.gov>; Eder, Dan

<Dan.Eder@seattle.gov>; Hoffman, Kate <Kate.Hoffman@seattle.gov>; Lewis, Naomi

<Naomi.Lewis@seattle.gov>; Silvernail, Devin <Devin.Silvernail@seattle.gov>; House, Erin

<Erin.House@seattle.gov>; Cuevas, Faride <Faride.Cuevas@seattle.gov>; Um, Taemin

<Taemin.Um@seattle.gov>; Ellis, Steven <Steven.Ellis@seattle.gov>; Lacson, Gabby

<Gabby.Lacson@seattle.gov>; Thaler, Toby <Toby.Thaler@seattle.gov>; Brown, Kristin

<Kristin.Brown@seattle.gov>; Farrell, Jessyn <Jessyn.Farrell@seattle.gov>; Caulfield, Michelle

<Michelle.Caulfield@seattle.gov>; jeanie.boawn@seattle.gov; Bakker, Patricia <Patricia.Bakker@seattle.gov>

Subject: Trees cool the land surface temperature of cities by up to 12°C

CAUTION: External Email

 $\underline{\text{https://www.newscientist.com/article/2298675-trees-cool-the-land-surface-temperature-of-cities-by-up-to-} \underline{12c/}$

time to pay attention, given apparently the city is considering updating the Tree Ordinance somewhat - what about getting rid of that terrible "85% developer amendment"? Only lawyers or MBAKS developers would think that was anything other than a complete corporate sellout - oh right....

for those of you who already forgot what it does, or even worse, just rubber stamped it and didn't bother to read it - you know who you are - here's some reference material:

https://www.kuow.org/stories/seattle-city-council-bill-may-allow-developers-to-cut-down-more-trees

It would allow "hardscape" — that's non-living landscaping elements like patios or walkways along with the building itself — to cover at least 85% of individual properties that have been zoned for low-rise development (townhomes) and above, **regardless of existing tree cover**. If, for example, a large tree would prevent developers from reaching that 85% hardscape threshold on a single property, the tree could be removed...."

kevin orme seattle