# MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

# New Issue: Moody's assigns Aaa to Seattle, WA's \$163M UTGO bonds, Aa1 to \$195M LTGO bonds; stable outlook

Global Credit Research - 28 Apr 2015

#### Affirms outstanding ratings on \$133M of UTGO and \$810M of LTGO bonds

SEATTLE (CITY OF) WA Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships) WA

Moody's Rating ISSUE		RATING
Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement Bonds, 2015B (Taxable)		Aa1
Sale Amount	\$28,200,000	
Expected Sale Date	05/06/15	
Rating Description	General Obligation Limited Tax	
Limited Tax General Obligation Sale Amount Expected Sale Date Rating Description	Improvement and Refunding Bonds, 2015A \$167,335,000 05/06/15 General Obligation Limited Tax	Aa1
Unlimited Tax General Obligati Sale Amount Expected Sale Date Rating Description	on Improvement Bonds, 2015 \$162,565,000 05/06/15 General Obligation	Aaa

Moody's Outlook STA

NEW YORK, April 28, 2015 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a rating of Aaa to Seattle, Washington's \$162.6 million Unlimited Tax General Obligation Improvement Bonds, 2015, and ratings of Aa1 to the city's \$167.3 million Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement and Refunding Bonds, 2015A, and \$28.2 million Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement and Refunding Bonds, 2015A, and \$28.2 million Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement and Refunding Bonds, 2015A, and \$28.2 million Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement Bonds, 2015B (Taxable). Moody's has affirmed the Aaa ratings on approximately \$133 million of outstanding UTGO bonds, and the Aa1 ratings on approximately \$810 million of outstanding LTGO bonds. The rating outlook is stable.

#### SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The ratings reflect the city's broad economic base, which consists of an affluent, highly-educated population and is currently experiencing robust growth across all relevant indicators; stable financial performance in recent years, including a concerted and successful rebuilding of reserves, and healthy operating flexibility with diverse streams of revenues and expanding tax rate headroom; and a manageable debt profile with declining debt service over the intermediate term, relatively rapid pay out of principal, and moderate pension and OPEB liabilities.

### OUTLOOK

The stable rating outlook is based upon our view that the city is well positioned to maintain reserves at current levels and manage near-term capital needs and economic fluctuations without material impact to the overall credit profile.

### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

-N/A

### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

-Significant deterioration of finances

-Prolonged weakness in the economy and tax base

-Material increase in debt and pension liabilities

#### STRENGTHS

-Tax base is a regional economic center with a diverse and high value-added employment base; relatively high resident incomes with ongoing strong growth in employment, wages and property values

-Strong management, healthy financial flexibility and improving balance sheet

-Favorable debt profile

#### CHALLENGES

-Exposure to economically sensitive revenues

-Debt levels may rise with near-term borrowings

#### RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Since the time of our last review in March 2014, the city's economy has continued to outperform relative to virtually all other metropolitan areas in the US. Employment, incomes and home prices continue to grow, assessed value increased 12.7% in FY 2015 and is now above its pre-recession peak, and actual FY 2014 tax revenues exceeded the city's initial projections by more than 4%, growing 7% year-over-year. Financial performance remains stable, with an expected \$8 million General Fund surplus for FY 2014.

#### DETAILED RATING RATIONALE

# ECONOMY AND TAX BASE: BROAD TAX BASE; ROBUST GROWTH ACROSS ALL RELEVANT INDICATORS

The City of Seattle is the commercial and tourist hub of the Puget Sound region, and the county seat for King County (Aaa stable). The software development and aircraft industries are key components of the diverse regional economy. Seattle's economy is experiencing strong performance driven by residential and commercial construction, and employment expansion. Job growth has maintained the strong pace evident throughout 2014, ahead of the prior year's pace and well ahead of the US average. The unemployment rate is lower than the US average, despite upward pressure from a growing labor force that is at a record high.

Rapid employment and income growth are bolstering the housing market, creating strong price appreciation and attracting new construction. Price appreciation remains nearly 10% over the year for single-family homes, according to CoreLogic, well ahead of the US pace. Single-family permit issuance turned up in Seattle well before it did in most other areas, and construction job growth is strong. Demand for workers remains supported by additional commercial construction and infrastructure projects such as the new tunnel that will replace the Alaskan Way Viaduct along Seattle's waterfront. With employment at 95,000 in the region, Boeing continues to lead the aerospace industry as the area's largest employer. A recent ten-year agreement with machinists securing jobs in the area enhances economic stability in the region, and Boeing has a large order book with a backlog of more than 5,740 planes. Development in the South Lake Union area has been spurred by Amazon's 3.3 million square foot expansion project and aggressive hiring.

The rate of tax base growth accelerated to 12.7% in FY 2015 from 9.6% in FY 2014, and assessed value (AV) surpassed its pre-recession peak. Building permits, home prices and taxable sales have continued on positive trajectories, suggesting further tax base growth is likely in the near term, especially as housing inventory is very tight, with 1.4 months of supply in December 2014. The city's FY 2015 assessed value (AV) is sizeable at \$144.5 billion, or \$230,630 per capita, levels that are very high by comparison with most major metropolitan cities. Income levels are also high for a large city, with 2012 estimated median family income at 144.5% of the US. Seattle's

incomes have made consistent gains relative to the US over the last 40 years.

# FINANCIAL OPERATIONS AND RESERVES: STABLE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE CONTINUES; RESERVES HAVE BEEN RESTORED TO HEALTHY LEVELS

The city's tax revenues continue to improve given leverage to the strong local economy; overall growth in tax revenues in FY 2014 is expected to be 7% compared to 2.4% in FY 2013. B&O and retail sales taxes are now above their pre-recession peaks. The city has maintained a budget surplus in each of the last four years (FY 2011 to estimated FY 2014) after a series of deficits following the onset of the recession. B&O and utility taxes revenues have grown to represent a larger share of General Fund revenues over time, and city management anticipates that B&O revenue growth will remain stable in the near term. The increased diversity of revenues and reduced reliance on sales tax revenues has positioned the city to better weather economic cycles. The city has added a cumulative \$138 million to reserves over the last four years, and fund balance now stands at nearly \$317 million, or 30% of revenues. Within this total, the city's Revenue Stabilization Account (RSA) has continued to increase, from \$10 million in FY 2011 to \$41 million in FY 2014, and the Emergency Subfund is maintained at the legal limit of \$49 million.

We expect city management will continue to conservatively budget and take the necessary mid-year actions to maintain fiscal balance and preserve its long-term credit strength. Management has demonstrated a history of budgeting conservatively, and a willingness to cut costs when needed, while simultaneously using accumulated reserves as revenue stabilization measures. Going forward, the budget focus is primarily on preservation of programs and enhancing reserves within the General Fund, although this emphasis may shift as the RSA reaches a satisfactory level. The FY 2015 budget is balanced.

#### Liquidity

Liquidity is healthy. The city ended FY 2013 with \$237 million of cash in its General Fund and more than \$1 billion in its cash pool. FY 2014 (unaudited) data show cash of \$217 million in the General Fund and \$1.6 billion in the cash pool.

#### DEBT AND PENSIONS: LOW DEBT BURDEN WITH POSITIVE AMORTIZATION PROFILE

The city continues to maintain a low overall debt burden of 1.1%, well below levels typical of larger cities. Despite additional borrowing plans, debt levels are expected to remain low going forward. The city's gross direct debt burden of 0.8% is also below levels typical of other large cities, and the city's effective net direct debt burden is even lower as nearly 40% of LTGO debt is paid from dedicated revenues outside of the General Fund.

The UTGO portion of the current sale represents the third installment payment for the city's Seawall project. The city will have \$42 million of authorized but unissued UTGO bonding for the project that it expects to exercise over the next three years. The city has additionally identified upwards of \$400 million of potential borrowing over the next five years, and several of the projects are discretionary in nature. The city's Mayor has proposed a \$900 million, nine-year levy lid lift for streets and bridges to replace the transportation levy that expires in 2015. The passage of the proposed levy would support the largest general government component of the city's five-year capital plan.

#### **Debt Structure**

The city's debt structure is positive. The city's bonds (both unlimited and limited tax GO) amortize relatively rapidly, with 64% of principal retired in ten years (79% of LTGO principal retired in 10 years). Aggregate debt service is declining over the intermediate term.

#### **Debt-Related Derivatives**

All of the city's debt is fixed rate and the city has no debt-related derivatives.

#### Pensions and OPEB

The city of Seattle manages a single-employer defined-benefit public employee retirement plan (SCERS), the Firemen's plan, and Police plan. As of FY 2013, the three-year average of the city's gross adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) was \$2.4 billion, or 2.14 times General Fund revenues. We note that nearly 40% of the liability is attributable to the city's utilities, whose self-supporting nature results in a materially lower net pension liability. The city records an implicit subsidy for OPEB.

### MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE

Washington cities have an institutional framework score of 'Aa', or strong. Despite some sales tax volatility, cities benefit from property tax levies whereby collections can be increased up to 1% of the prior year. Cities have both the ability and willingness to make mid-year budgetary reductions.

#### **KEY STATISTICS**

-Fiscal 2015 Full Value: \$144.5 billion

-Fiscal 2015 Full Value Per Capita: \$230,630

-2012 Median Family Income as % of US: 144.5%

-General Fund Balance as % of Revenues: 27.2%

-Five Year Dollar Change in General Fund Balance as % of Revenues: 3.3%

-General Fund Cash Balance as % of Revenues: 20.9%

-Five Year Dollar Change in General Fund Cash Balance as % of Revenues: -0.2%

-Institutional Framework: "Aa"

-Operating History: 1.0x

-Net Direct Debt % of Full Value: 0.8%

-Net Direct Debt to Operating Revenues: 1.02x

-3-Year Average ANPL % of Full Value: 1.7%

-3-Year Average ANPL to Operating Revenues: 2.14x

#### **OBLIGOR PROFILE**

Seattle is the economic center for the Pacific Northwest. The city is a full-service city, with a relatively affluent population of more than 625,000, a large and well-educated labor force, and ties to the broader metropolitan area including the cities of Bellevue (Aaa stable) and Everett.

#### LEGAL SECURITY

The UTGO bonds are secured by the city's unlimited property tax, GO pledge, while the LTGO bonds are secured by the city's limited tax, GO pledge.

#### **USE OF PROCEEDS**

Approximately \$58 million of the LTGO bonds will provide new money to finance various capital projects including a mixed-use development of a site at the Pike Place Market, transportation infrastructure, fire facilities, parks facilities and information technology. The remainder of the LTGO series will refund existing LT maturities for savings, estimated at 10% of refunded par. The \$163 million of UTGO bonds will finance the third installment of funding for the Seawall project.

#### PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating

action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

#### Analysts

Moses Kopmar Lead Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Dan Steed Additional Contact Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

#### Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376 Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 USA



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR

INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.