# **SEPA** ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

# Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

## Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. <u>You may use "not applicable" or</u> <u>"does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown</u>. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to <u>all parts of your proposal</u>, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

#### Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

#### Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the <u>SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D)</u>. Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

# A. Background [HELP]

- 1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: Burke Gilman Playground Park Renovation
  - 2. Name of applicant: City of Seattle, Seattle Parks and Recreation

- Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: Katie Bang, Sr. Captial Projects Coordinator c/o Seattle Parks and Recreation 300 Ellliott Ave W, Suite 100 Seattle WA 98119 206-673-7205
- 4. Date checklist prepared: April 1, 2021
- 5. Agency requesting checklist: City of Seattle, Seattle Parks and Recreation (SPR)
- Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): Planning Fall 2020 Design and Permit Winter 2021 to Winter 2022 Construction Early 2022-End of 2022

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. Additional activity propoed through increased park activation programming. **No.** 

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. Geotech report, Drainage report, Wetland delineation Report, SWPP, Comfort Station Hazardous Materials Report

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

#### None Known

 List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. City of Seattle, Drainage Review City of Seattle, Building Permit City of Seattle, Construction Permit City of Seattle ECA Exemption (Prepared by SPR) Department of Ecology Construction Stormwater General Permit

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.) **Renovation of the east** portion of the Burke Gilman Playground Park. Approximately 70,000 square feet of the park will be improved. The site will be regraded to provide universal accessibility through out. The comfort station will be renovated to provide 3 ADA restroom stalls with adult changing tables, along with a new community room. The park improvements consists of new play equipment, planting, bioretention facilities, art, signage, pedestrian lighting, a picnic shelter, and a overlook. The project will be constructed via public bid led by a general contractor and managed by Seattle Parks and Recreation and their design team. The general contractors, carpenters, landscapers, etc. The scope of work includes earthwork, vertical concrete, asphalt and concrete pavement, wet and dry utilities including lighting, building renovations, playground installation, planting and irrigation. Inspections and permit adherence will be provided by the City of Seattle and the SPR

# team, including both internal and external special inspectors supported by the design team. Construction is expected to take 6-9 months for completion.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. **Burke Gilman Playground Park 5201** 

# Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98105

# Parcel #7974700253

# Legal Description:

STATE LAND COMM PLAT SEC 10-25-4 POR TRS 52-53-54-55-56 LY NWL Y OF SAND PT WAY NE & OAF BEG NXN E MGN 40TH AVE NE WITH N MGN NE 50TH ST WCH PT IS AKA SW CORD SD TR 56 TH N ALG SD E MGN DIST 800.4 FT TO TPOB TH E PLT S LN SD TR 52 DIST 150 FT TH N PL T SD E MGN PROD NLY DIST 65 FT TH E PLT S LN SD TR DIST 150 FT TH S PLT SD E MGN & ITS EXT DIST 865.40 FT M/L TO N MGN NE 50TH ST AAP 300 FT ELY OF SW COR SD TR 56 TH E ALG SD N MGN TO NW MGN SAND POINT WY NE TH 36-07-35 E ALG SD NW MGN 245 FT TH N SA53-ND 52-25 POINT W DIST WY 155 NE TH FT TH N 36-07-35 00-44-23 E ALG E SD DIST NW 125 MGN FT TH 245 NF

35-07-35 PL T SD NW MGN DIST 143. 71 FT TH N 80-28-49 E DIST 125 FT TH S 53-52-25 E DIST 140 FT TO NW MGN SD SAND PT WY NE TH NELY ALG SD NW MGN TAP 990 FT NELY OF NXN OF N MGN NE 50TH ST & NWL Y MGN SAND PT WY NE AS MEAS ALG SD NW MGN TH N 53-52-25 W DIST 55 FT TH N 10-05-19 W DIST 100 FT TH S 79-08-56 W DIST 232.34 FT TH N 45-09-12 W DIST 55 FT TH S 44-50-48 W DIST 60 FT TH S 45-09-12 E DIST 55 FT TH S 10-05-19 E DIST 85 FT TH S 56-08 W DIST 95 FT TH S 79-54-41 W DIST 135 FT TH N 20-03 WDIST 155 FT TH N 10-05-19 W DIST 215 FT TO NL Y LN TR 52 WCH LN IS ALSO SLY MGN BURKE-GILMAN TRAIL AAP 920 FT SWL Y OF NE COR TR 50 AS MEAS ALG N LN TRS 50 & 52 TH SWL Y ALG N MGN SD TR 52 TO E MGN 40TH AVE NE TH S ALG SD E MGN TO TPOB

# B. Environmental Elements [HELP]

## 1. Earth [help]

a. General description of the site: The Burke Gilman Playground Park is within a Public Development Authority (PDA) established in 1982 and comprises of 7.3 acres. The site includes residential dwellings, an existing comfort station structure, playground equipment, swings, loop pathway, berms, sundial, CIP maze walls, picnic table, and plantings. There are berms and a category VI wetland on the site.

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? The steepest slopes on the site are 57% within the ravine.
- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Soils observed at the site typically consists of a relatively shallow layer of topsoil/sod and fill overlying dense to very dense glacial till.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe. The ravine adjacent to the wetland at the south east edge of the site consists of shallow fill soil on the slope face which are likely marginally stable and that surficial failures within this material ahouls be expected during extremely wet winter months and seismic events. Based on static and seismic analyses for the proposed conditions, the slopes are generally stable with a factor of safety of 2.04 for the static case and 1.34 for the seismic case.
- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill. The purpose for regrading the site is to make the majority of the site ADA accessibility. This will require both cut and fill of approximately 4,500 cubic yards. Roughly 4,000 cubic yards of cut will be reused on site with 500 cubic yards of export. We do not anticipate the need to source any additional fill. 65,000 sf of the site will be affected by grading activities.
- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. Surface erosion is always a possibility as a result of clearing and grading operations. Minor localized erosion may occur as a result of construction activities, however these impacts will be prevented from extending beyond the project limits, groundwater, or local utilities by Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) best management practices. Use of on-site erosion control measures such as silt fence, a construction exit, catch basin inlet protection, interceptor swales, mulching, dust control, and other standard construction erosion control practices, as well as seasonal limitations of construction will control potential on-site erosion.
- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)? The Limit of Work for the Burke Gilman Playground Park Improvements is an area of 1.77 acres. The project area is limited to the west portion of the park. This includes the existing comfort station, play area, lawn and concrete paths that are along Mithun Place and adjacent to the apartments. Approximately 10% of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces. This area consists of a comfort station, asphalt, and standard concrete. The rest of the site will be covered by vegetation, pervious concrete, synthetic turf and gravel.
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any: **During the** construction phase, appropriate temporary erosion control best management practices will be implemented and maintained to control erosion. New planting will be installed to assist with erosion control.

# 2. Air [help]

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. During construction, emissions to the air in the form of dust and exhaust from transportation and construction equipment can be expected to occur. Earth moving activities and resulting airborne dust are restricted by State and Local Code, however there will be an increase in passenger vehicle trips to and from the site during the construction work week. No additional emissions than currently exist on the site would result following completion of construction.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. **None known.**
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: All work will be performed in compliance with State and Local Code, and permitting requirements.

### 3. Water [help]

- a. Surface Water: [help]
  - 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. **Category IV wetland. See weltand report.**
- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Yes. The project will be conducting work within 200 feet of the wetland on site. There will be no work over or in the wetland. The work being conducted will including cut and fill, path replacement, the installation of play equipment, artificial turf, trees, planting and an Overlook at the top of the ravine. The developed areas of the park already occur w/in 200' of the wetland and the work proposed is predominantly renovation of an existing park facility.
  - 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. There will be no fill or dredging within the surface water area of the existing wetland.
  - Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No wetland surface water will be withdrawn or diverted.
  - 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. No, the project site is not within a floodplain.
  - 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. No, the project does not propose discharges of waste materials to surface waters.
- b. Ground Water: [help]
  - Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No, there are no onsite wells and water service is through Seattle Public Utilities.
  - 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. No waste materials will be discharged into the ground water due this project.
- c. Water runoff (including stormwater):
  - Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. The existing source of runoff is from

impervious hardscape areas and vegetated areas with poorly draining soils. All surface runoff will be collected by catch basins and underdrains that drain into two bioretention facilities. One bioretention facility will drain into the existing wetland at a rate that matches existing flows. The second bioretention facility will drain into an existing onsite storm drain.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. No waste material will be discharged to groundwater as a result of the proposed project.
- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. The proposal will enhance the drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site by reducing the amount of impervious surfaces on site through the use of porous concrete for the majority of installed hardscape surfaces and providing underdrains within all artificial turf areas. Two bioretention facilies will be installed to manage on-site stormwater to match existing flows into the wetland. Stormwater runoff that is currently draining from the project site onto the adjacent property will now be collected and managed on-site.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any: **During the construction phase, appropriate temporary erosion control best management practices will be implemented and maintained to control runoff. Permanent measures to reduce and control runoff from the completed project will include catch basins, underground conveyance pipe, swales, bioretention facilities and the use of porous concrete.**
- 4. Plants [help]
- a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:
  - \_\_X\_ deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
  - \_X\_ evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
  - \_X\_ shrubs
  - \_X\_ grass
  - \_\_\_\_pasture
  - \_\_\_\_crop or grain
  - \_\_\_\_ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
  - \_X\_\_ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
  - \_\_\_\_water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
  - \_\_\_\_other types of vegetation
- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? Invasive species will be removed from the site to provide improved conditions for existing trees. Existing trees that are not in good health and those in conflict with the proposed design will be removed. The site is predominantly lawn, which will be removed and replaced.
- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. There are no known threatened or endangered species on or near the site.
- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: A diverse pallete of plants will be installed per the project's proposed designed. This includes multiple tree species: deciduous and evergree, bioretention plantings, sensory

garden plantings, shrubs, and grasses. Plants are selected based on the principle right plant, right place and their adaptability to the current and future climate of the region. Native and adaptive plants will be used.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. Himalayan Blackberry

#### 5. Animals [help]

a. <u>List</u> any birds and <u>other</u> animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other: mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other \_\_\_\_\_

#### BIRDS: Pigeons, Crows, Seagulls, Geese

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. There are no known threatened or endangered species on or near the site.
- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. Seattle is within the Pacific Flyway, a major corridor for waterfowl and other migratory birds.
- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: **Proposed plants have been selected** to enhance wildlife by increasing the diversity of plant species on the site and for their ability to provide food and shelter. The biorentention facilities will consist of wildlife attracting plants and provide a surface water source for wildlife.
- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. There are no known invasive animal species on or near the site.

#### 6. Energy and Natural Resources [help]

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. The local utility Seattle City Light provides electricity to the site for lighting and general convenience power. Power to the automatic irrigation system shall also be provided. Perimieter pedestrian pathway lighting is included in the proposal. No other energy sources are used on this site.
- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. No. There are no structures proposed on this site that would obscure adjacent property building roofs from obtaining solar power. The tree house structure is low and does not cast shadows onto adjacent property. The play house structures are semi transparent and do not cast shadows tall enough to prohibit solor to the properties north, east, and south.
- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: LED lighting would be used for pedestrian light fixtures.

# 7. Environmental Health [help]

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. **None known** 
  - 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses. None known
  - 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity. Hazardous materials report shows 1 door that has lead paint that needs to be removed. It is above L&I standards, but below EPA/HUD. Lead will be removed as part of this project.
  - Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. None known.
  - 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required. The project health and safety plan outlines a protocol for any emergency services that could result from an accident during construction.
  - 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any: **None; all appropriate regulatory requirements will be implemented during the lead paint removal.**
- b. Noise
  - What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? Existing ambient and peak noise levels produced off site are generally limited to traffic and neighboring commercial use, none of which will affect the project.
  - 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. Short-term noise impacts from the project are all construction related and will occur as allowed under the City of Seattle Construction Permit, 7:00 a.m. 6:00 p.m., weekdays. 9:00 a.m. 6:00 p.m., weekends and holidays only with expressed written concent from owner.
  - 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: Long term operation of the facility will not result in significant changes to current noise levels. As a public park, it will generate noise typically associated with recreational activities such as children yelling and shouting and occasional crowd noise for gatherings such as picnics.

Public Park operations have certain exemptions from the general noise ordinance. Park operations and park users are subject to Seattle Municipal Code Section 25.08.520.

## 8. Land and Shoreline Use [help]

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. **Public park with play area and comfort station that is within a larger residential development. The project proposal will not change the** 

# existing uses. Adjacent properties include a preschool, Ronald McDonald House, and Burke Gilman Place Apartments. Improvements will serve the neighborhing community.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? There is no documented farm or forest land use on this site.
  - 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how: **There are no nearby working farms or forest lands.**
- c. Describe any structures on the site. Existing comfort station building. Approx 800 SF includes mens and women's stall with 2 toliets and 1 urinal and an unused storage space. Maze wall structures will be modified. Walls are 4'-6" in height.
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what? No.
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? ? Low Rise Multi Family (LR3M)
- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? City Owned Open Space
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? Not applicable.
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify. **Yes.** ECA 1- Steep Slopes over 40% ECA 3- Riparian Corridor, ECA 4 Wetland
- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? **None.**
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? **None**
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: None Proposed
- L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: **Proposed project is maintenance and enhancement of existing land use(s).**
- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any: None proposed – no potential impacts to agricultural and/or forest lands.

#### 9. Housing [help]

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or lowincome housing. **None**
- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. **None**

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: None- no impacts to housing

# 10. Aesthetics [help]

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? The tallest height of any proposed structure is 12'-0". This height is at the top of the Overlook's roof line. The structure is timber framed with a metal roof and does not have any walls.
- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? The views from the proposed site into the adjacent apartment complex will be buffered with trees and shrubs. The plant species have been selected to maintain sightlines to eliminate places to hide and blind spots.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: **Planting has been used to buffer the proposed programming in the park from adjacent residents.** The existing berm along Mithun Place will be kept to maintain a visual and physical buffer from the street.

# 11. Light and Glare [help]

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
   Pedestrian lighting is proposed on perimeter pathway. Lighting will be on at dusk and will be off at dawn.
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? All proposed light fixtures will have light shields installed to reduce glare. The proposed path lighting is at a pedestrian scale, so it will increase the safety of pedestrians within the park by improving night time visibility.
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? None.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: **City of Seattle has light and** glare standards (SMC23.47A.022 Light and Glare standards) that Seattle Parks and Recreation will adhere to. The proposal utilizes high efficiency LED pedestrian lights designed to reduce light and glare impacts.

## 12. Recreation [help]

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? The existing park provides recreational opportunities including a basketball court and recreation path that connect to the Burke Gilman Trail and are outside of the area of improvement. The connector path and basketball court outside the improvement area will not be impacted during construction.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. No.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: **Not applicable.**

## 13. Historic and cultural preservation [help]

- Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers ? If so, specifically describe. No
- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. **None known.**
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. Research tools used include:
  - Washington State Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation WISAARD (Washington Information System for Architectural and Archeological Records Data)
  - City of Seattle Seattle Municipal Archive
  - City of Seattle Cultural & Historical Database (data.seattle.gov)
  - City of Seattle GIS Data
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required. **None proposed.**

# 14. Transportation [help]

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. **Public streets surrounding the site include Mithun PI NE, NE 52nd, and Sand Point Way NE.**
- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? King County Metro serves a transit stop route 75 with a south bound stop approx. 100' from park entrance on Sand Point Way NE and a north bound stop across Sand Point Way NE approx. 500' from the park entrance.
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? There are no additional parking spots being provided, nor are there any being removed. New stripping will be installed for standard and ADA stalls.
- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). Modifications to existing parking lot will be proposed. Modifications to curb will be on park property. Striping will be revised on PDA property. Modifications to crosswalk on private property are being considered.
- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe. **No.**

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? Vehicle trips won't change; the existing park serves a predominantly local population including the adjacent residents and users of the nearby Burke Gilaman Trail. Very few people drive to the park as it is not a destination facility. With the proposed enhancements, usage of the park and play area may increase but the users will likely come from near by no or few new vehicle trips will be generated.
- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe. **No There is no nearby movement of agricultural and forest products.**
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: None.

## 15. Public Services [help]

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. These services are currently provided and no additional will be needed.
- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any. None proposed.

#### 16. Utilities [help]

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, sanitary sewer, storm drainage.
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed. **No new services. Is this right? Please confirm.**

# C. Signature [HELP]

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:

Name of signee: Katie Bang

Position and Agency/Organization Sr. Capital Projects Coordinator / Seattle Parks and Recreation Date Submitted: 05/18/2021