

2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey Results

SEATTLE - CITYWIDE

Summary results of top public safety concerns, most prominent themes, and community perceptions from the 2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey for the City of Seattle and Seattle Police Department's Five Precincts and Micro-Communities

Jacqueline B. Helfgott, PhD I William Parkin, PhD

Research Analysts: Alexander Dvorsky (East and West Precincts), Shannon Christensen (North Precinct), and JT Melbourn (South and Southwest Precincts)



2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey Results

SEATTLE - CITYWIDE

Top Public Safety Concerns

The top public safety concerns for the City of Seattle and Seattle Police Department's five precincts and micro-communities are reported based on quantitative results from the Seattle Public Safety Survey in response to the question "What, if any, are current public safety and security concerns in the neighborhood where you live and/or work? Select all that apply."

Most Prominent Themes

The most prominent themes for the City of Seattle and Seattle Police Department's five precincts and micro-communities were identified from narrative comments in survey responses to questions "Do you have any additional thoughts on public safety and security issues in Seattle, generally, or your neighborhood, specifically, that you would like to share?" and "Do you have any thoughts on the Micro-Community Policing Plan Initiative that you would like to share?"

Measures of Community Perceptions of Public Safety

The survey included question sets that make up distinct scales that measure community perceptions of police, neighborhood features, and crime as related to public safety. Results are reported for question sets measuring Police Legitimacy, Collective Efficacy-Informal Social Control, Collective Efficacy-Social Cohesion, Fear of Crime, and Social Disorganization.

Top Public Safety
Concerns and
Prominent Themes
for Seattle Citywide

TOP PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS

- 1- Police Capacity
- 2- Property Crime
- 3- Homelessness
- 4- Traffic Safety
- 5- Community & Public Safety Capacity

MOST PROMINENT THEMES

- 1- Property Crime
- 2- Public Order Crime
- 3- Violent Crime
- 4- Public Safety & Community Capacity
- 5- City Politics

Introduction and Overview

Seattle Police Department's Micro-Community Policing Plans

The SPD Micro-Community Policing Plans (MCPP) The Seattle Police Department's Micro-Community Policing Plans were implemented in January 2015. The SPD MCPP is based on the notion that public safety can be enhanced, and crime reduced through collaborative police-community attention to distinctive needs of Seattle neighborhoods with focused crime control, crime prevention and quality of life strategies on neighborhood-specific priorities. The SPD MCPP recognizes that no two Seattle neighborhoods are the alike and that resident perceptions of crime and public safety at the micro-community level matter. The MCPPs take a three-prong approach to bring together community engagement, crime data, and police services. MCPPs are tailored to meet the individual needs of each micro-community with a unique approach owned by the community. When used in conjunction with crime data, information gathered through community engagement to develop the MCPPs that take into account resident perceptions at the micro-community level provide a much more accurate picture of the reality of crime and public safety than does official crime data alone. This utilization of resident feedback and community perception of crime and public safety used in conjunction with official crime data to understand and address the reality of crime in communities makes the MCPP strategy unique and unprecedented. A central component of the MCPP is the annual Seattle Public Safety Survey that was first administered in October-November 2015 and has been conducted annually since. The Seattle Public Safety Survey is independently conducted by a research team from Seattle University Department of Criminal Justice including Dr. Jacqueline Helfgott (Principal Investigator), Dr. William Parkin (Co-Investigator), and graduate and undergraduate student research assistant/analysts who work in each of the SPD precincts – Alexander Dvorsky (East and West Precincts), Shannon Christensen (North Precinct), and JT Melbourn (South and Southwest Precinct.

The 2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey

The Seattle Public Safety survey is a non-probability survey designed as part of the SPD MCPP evaluation to collect data from residents at city, precinct, and micro-community levels regarding public safety issues, perceptions of police and neighborhood features, and crime as related to public safety, fear of crime, crime victimization. The purpose of the survey is to collect data regarding what matters to residents regarding public safety, neighborhoods and communities, and the police to better understand the priorities of residents in conjunction with official crime statistics collected by SPD and other avenues used by SPD to identify community-level public safety concerns. The 2021 survey was administered online and on paper from October 15, 2021 - November 30, 2021. The survey was available in 11 languages -- Amharic, Arabic, Chinese, English, Korean, Oromo, Somali, Spanish, Tagalog, Tigrinya, and Vietnamese and administered through multiple channels including Nextdoor.com, Seattle Police Department, Seattle city council's Office, Seattle University, Community Groups, Flyer and business card distribution, tablet administration at community centers, libraries, and public areas with attempt to target underrepresented communities.

How the Seattle Public Safety Survey Results Inform the Seattle Police Micro-Community Policing Plans

The Seattle Public Safety Survey results offer SPD comprehensive data reflecting the views of residents at city, precinct, and micro-community levels to inform the SPD MCPP priorities and strategies. The specific goal of the survey is to collect data that captures citywide resident concerns about public safety, police, and neighborhoods to inform the SPD MCPPs. The survey findings supplement official crime statistics and traditional precinct-level methods of identifying community concerns (e.g., police-community meetings, ad-hoc precinct surveys) to ensure that the MCPP priorities and strategies are closely aligned with the public safety concerns of all residents within the city, precincts, and micro-communities.

Description of Indices and Scales included in the Seattle Public Safety Survey

Indices of Top Concerns and Prominent Themes

For the 2021 results, the top public safety concerns and the themes identified within respondents' comments are presented as both individual items and as those items aggregated into indices. This allows communities and law enforcement to engage in discussions about broader issues (e.g., property crime) as well as the items that may be one component of that broader issue (e.g., car prowls). At the citywide, precinct, and MCPP levels, the top indices are reported both as public safety concern indices and theme indices. The 9 public safety concern indices were conceptually identified from 72 items and are comprised of anywhere between 5 to 13 items. The 15 theme indices were conceptually identified from 49 items and are comprised of anywhere between 1 to 8 items. All public safety concerns and theme results for indices and items are reported in this report's appendices for Seattle, the five precincts, and all MCPPs. This provides transparency specific to how concerns and themes rank across and within varying geographies, as well as the number of respondents connected to those geographies. This more complex presentation of results in the 2021 report required changes to the format of the presentation of results as compared to reports in prior years (2015-2020)-i.e., the inclusion of theme indices and sub-items as well as changes to the label of the top concerns item "Lack of Police Capacity" to "Police Capacity" for the purpose of simplicity and consistency across the top concerns and themes.

To construct the public safety concern indices, the number of items in an index that a respondent identified as a public safety concern were summed and then divided by the total number of items used to create that index. This proportion represented the average number of items a respondent identified within an index. To determine which public safety concerns were most important to Seattle, a precinct, or an MCPP, the individual respondent proportions were then averaged within the varying locations in which they lived and/or worked. Indices and items with higher proportions or percentages, relative to other indices and items, are of greater concern to the survey respondents and their communities.

To construct the theme indices, the number of items in an index that were identified as themes within a respondent's comments were summed and then divided by the total number of items used to create that index. This proportion represented the average number of themes identified within a respondent's comments for an index. Respondents were not required to comment. However, the majority of respondents did provide additional thoughts on public safety and security in Seattle. To determine which themes were most important to Seattle, a precinct, or an MCPP, the individual respondent proportions were then averaged within the varying locations in which they lived and/or

worked. Averages were determined using the number of respondents who commented within a specific geography, not the number of total respondents for that geography. Theme indices and items with higher proportions or percentages, relative to other indices and items, were more frequently mentioned in respondents' comments.

Measures of Community Perceptions of Public Safety

The 2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey included question sets that make up distinct scales that measure community perceptions of police, neighborhood features, and crime as related to public safety based on prior research on aspects of communities that impact resident perceptions of public safety. This document is a supplement to the Precinct Reports on the 2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey findings describing the scales and associated questions included in the survey to measure community perceptions of public safety. The scales included in the survey focus on seven areas of interest: Police Legitimacy, Collective Efficacy-Informal Social Control, Collective Efficacy-Social Cohesion, Social Disorganization, and Fear of Crime.

How Scale Data Can Be Used to Improve Public Safety

The scale data can be used in conjunction with the top concerns and prominent themes to better understand the nature of communities and micro-communities and their unique public safety issues. Concerns of residents within any given micro-community may differ in terms of perceptions of public safety with respect to police legitimacy, informal social control, social cohesion, fear of crime, and social disorganization, perceptions of the SPD Micro-Community Policing Plans, and knowledge of the SPD Micro-Community Policing Plans. The survey findings on the scales can be used in conjunction with the top concerns and prominent themes at the community and micro-community levels to inform and guide law enforcement in developing priorities and to guide strategies in response to distinct community concerns providing a snapshot of the nature of the precinct as a whole and the individual micro-communities regarding resident views of aspects of communities related to public safety. Ideally, a healthy community with positive police-community relations will have positive perception and high knowledge of the SPD MCPP, high police legitimacy, high informal social control, high social cohesion, low social disorganization, and low fear of crime. Survey findings can assist communities to target areas of improvement with respect to areas that stray from the ideal and negatively impact public safety.



Law Enforcement Trust & Legitimacy.

Police legitimacy is an important concept relevant to public safety as it has been consistently found that law enforcement relies on police legitimacy for individuals to cooperate/comply with and support their departments. Gau (2014) defines police legitimacy as "an acceptance of the rules,

2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey Results

. . .

laws, and precepts that define the police role in society, and a willingness to grant deference to police as a consequence of the belief that they are the authorized representatives who dutifully carry out the rules and laws that make society function smoothly" (p. 189). Police legitimacy is an important concept to public safety as it has been consistently found that law enforcement relies on police legitimacy for individuals to cooperate/comply with and support their departments (Gau, 2014; Reisig et al., 2007; Tyler, 2006; Tankebe, 2013). The questions in the Seattle Public Safety survey build on scales developed by Sunshine and Tyler (2003) as well as other research (Gau, 2014 and Reisig et al., 2007, Tyler, 2006; and Tankebe, 2013). In addition, research shows that procedural justice presents an important indicator of levels of police legitimacy within a community and thus questions related to procedural justice were included in the survey (Gau, 2014; Reisig et al., 2007). Resident's perception of procedural justice can be informed by sources that go beyond ones' personal experience, including experiences of friends/family, as well as the presentation of police actions in the media (Gau, 2014). The concept is operationalized by asking respondents to what extent they agree with certain statements when thinking about law enforcement and how they are treated. Finally, there questions were included related to trust and residents' perceived obligation to obey law enforcement officers. While the concept of trust is defined as "people's beliefs that legal authorities are fair, are honest, and uphold people's rights" (Tyler & Huo, 2002, p. 78-79), perceived obligation to obey is defined as the extent to which people feel "they should comply with directives from police officers ... irrespective of their personal feelings" (Tyler, 2006, p. 45). In the effort to assess residents' trust and the obligation to obey, a series of questions that ask about how much respondents agree that SPD officers are honest and protect the rights of the residents, as well as whether residents should obey orders and accept decisions made by law enforcement. In the 2017 version of the survey, two additional questions were added in light of the national discourse around police-community engagement soliciting responses to a question regarding personal interactions with a Seattle police officer in the past year as well as a question asking about views of police at the local and national levels. In the 2018 version, we added a public safety concern regarding hypodermic needles.

The Seattle Public Safety Survey questions build from scales developed by other research showing that procedural justice presents an important indicator of levels of police legitimacy within a community. The concept is operationalized by asking respondents to what extent they agree with certain statements when thinking about your law enforcement agency and how they are treated. Finally, there are also questions related to trust and residents' perceived obligation to obey law enforcement officers. Questions in the Seattle Public Safety Survey that measure police legitimacy scale include:

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following when thinking about the Seattle Police Department and its officers?

Seattle police officers protect people's basic rights in the neighborhood.

Seattle police officers are honest.

Seattle police officers do their jobs well.

Seattle police officers can be trusted to do the right thing for my neighborhood.

I am proud of Seattle police officers.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following when thinking about the Seattle Police Department and its officers?

I have confidence in Seattle police officers.

When a Seattle police officer issues an order, you should do what they say, even if you disagree with it.

You should accept Seattle police officers' decisions even if you think they're wrong.

People should do what Seattle police officers say, even when they do not like the way the police treat them.

Seattle police officers treat people with respect and dignity.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following when thinking about the Seattle Police Department and its officers?

Seattle police officers treat people fairly.

Seattle police officers take time to listen to people.

Seattle police officers respect citizen's rights.

Seattle police officers treat everyone equally.

Seattle police officers make decisions based on facts and law, not personal opinions.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following when thinking about the Seattle Police Department and its officers?

Seattle police officers explain their decisions to people.

Seattle police officers make decisions to handle problems fairly.

Seattle police officers listen to all of the citizens involved before deciding what to do.

Collective Efficacy: Informal Social Control & Social Cohesion.

Collective efficacy has been defined as the connection between mutual trust and willingness to intervene for the common good. The concept is most often conceptualized as a combination of informal social control and social cohesion. Collective efficacy is "the linkage of mutual trust and the willingness to intervene for the common good that defines the neighborhood context of collective efficacy" (Sampson, Raudenbush, & Earls, 1997, p. 919). The concept is most often conceptualized as a combination of informal social control and social cohesion. Both scales, informal social control and social cohesion, are built on the work of Uchida, et al. (2014), which represents a modified version of a scale developed by Sampson, Raudenbush, & Earl (1997) and Sampson & Raudenbush (1999). To assess resident's willingness to react to crime and deviancy, participants were asked to indicate how likely it is that one of their neighbors would do something about specific incidences, such as break-ins, parking infractions, suspicious people hanging around, loud arguments on the street, underage drinking, juvenile spray-painting graffiti, someone being beaten/threatened in front of their house, disrespectful behavior by juveniles, juveniles skipping school, loud music/noise on their block, gun shots fired, and drug selling. Social cohesion was assessed by asking participants to indicate to what extent they agree with specific statements about their community/neighborhood (e.g. "this neighborhood is a good area to raise children" or "people that live in my neighborhood are generally friendly"). Questions included in the survey designed to measure the construct of informal social control through resident willingness to react to a range of crime and deviancy events include:

2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey Results

. . .

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being very unlikely and 100 being very likely, how likely is it that someone in the neighborhood where you live and/or work would intervene if they would witness one of the following?

- Someone is trying to break into a house/business.
- Someone is illegally parking in the street.
- Suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood.
- People are having a loud argument in the street.
- A group of underage kids is drinking alcohol.
- Some children are spray-painting graffiti on a local building.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being very unlikely and 100 being very likely, how likely is it that someone in the neighborhood where you live and/or work would intervene if they would witness one of the following?

- There is a fight in front of your house/work and someone is being beaten or threatened.
- A child is showing disrespect to an adult.
- A group of neighborhood children is skipping school and hanging out on a street corner.
- Someone on your block is playing loud music.
- Someone on your block is firing a gun.
- Drugs are being sold.

Social cohesion, the second component of collective efficacy, is assessed in the survey by asking participants to indicate to what extent they agree with specific statements about their community/ neighborhood:

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following about the neighborhood where you live and/or work?

- The neighborhood is a good area to raise children.
- People in the neighborhood are generally friendly.
- I am happy I live/work in the neighborhood.
- People in the neighborhood take care of each other.
- People in the neighborhood can be trusted.
- People in the neighborhood are willing to help each other.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following about the neighborhood where you live and/or work?

- The neighborhood is close-knit.
- People in the neighborhood generally don't get along with each other.
- People in the neighborhood do not share the same values.
- I regularly stop and talk with people in the neighborhood.
- I know the names of people in the neighborhood.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following about the neighborhood where you live and/or work?

- I share responsibility for the quality of life and safety in the neighborhood
- <u>In the last year, I have been active in helping to improve the quality of life and safety in the neighborhood.</u>

Fear of Crime.

Fear of crime is central to the concept of public safety, due to the argument that fear of crime can have a negative impact not only on the individual but also on communities. Fear of crime can influence residents' behaviors and movements, economics, and social life and can be seen as a "key quality of life" issue (Cordner 2010). It is also important to understand that the effects of fear of crime can outweigh the effects of actual crime on individuals and communities (Warr, 2000). The items utilized in the survey mirror the fear of crime scale developed by Gray, Jackson, & Farall (2008), which was built on the work of Farrall and Gadd (2004). In order to get a better understanding of resident's level of fear of crime participants are asked how worried they have been in the last year about specific crimes in their neighborhood.

2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey Results

. . .

In the 2015 Seattle Public Safety Survey, Fear of Crime was measured without separating in terms of fear of crime during the day and night. In the 2017 survey, two identical questions were included with the distinction of fear of crime during the nighttime and daytime. Table 4 shows question items included to measure fear of crime:

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often have you worried about the following in the neighborhood where you live and/or work during the daytime?

- Somebody breaking into your home/work and stealing or damaging things?
- Somebody stealing your vehicle, things from or off it, or damaging it?
- Somebody stealing from you in a public space?
- You or somebody you know being sexually assaulted?
- You or somebody you know being physically attacked?

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often have you worried about the following in the neighborhood where you live and/or work during the nighttime?

- Somebody breaking into your home/work and stealing or damaging things?
- Somebody stealing your vehicle, things from or off it, or damaging it?
- Somebody stealing from you in a public space?
- You or somebody you know being sexually assaulted?
- You or somebody you know being physically attacked?

Social Disorganization.

To gain a better understanding about the social stability and order of the community the concept of social disorganization, which is argued to be capable in predicting crime, was included in the survey. The classical measures of social disorganization (e.g., residents' socioeconomic status and ethnic heterogeneity) can be assessed through demographic questions (Shaw & McKay, 1942; Sampson & Groves, 1989). These measures can be augmented with questions regarding the perceived level of social disorder and the perceived level of physical disorder, which are also included to assess to what degree certain signs of disorder are a matter of concern to your community (Weisburd et al., 2012; Steenbeek & Hipp, 2011). Questions included in the survey to measure social disorganization include:

On a s	scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often are the following a problem in the
	borhood where you live and/or work?
	Fights on the street/threatening behavior
	People loitering or being disorderly
	Public alcohol/drug consumption
	Public urination or defecation
	<u>Panhandling</u>
	Vandalism
On a s	scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often are the following a problem in the
neigh	borhood where you live and/or work?
	Noise late at night/early in the morning
	Gambling in the street
	<u>Drug sales</u>
	Illegal sex work
	People being bothered on the street
	Buildings with broken windows
On a s	scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often are the following a problem in the
neigh	borhood where you live and/or work?
	Buildings with graffiti
	Abandoned or boarded up buildings
	<u>Areas with litter</u>
	Dog feces on the street or sidewalk
	Street or sidewalks in need of repair

Seattle - Citywide Survey Results

Seattle Citywide Survey Results Overview

Results are presented from 9132 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live and/or work in the City of Seattle. Compared to Seattle demographics, survey respondents were disproportionately more likely to be non-minority and female. Quantitative responses were weighted based on gender and race/ethnicity to better represent the Seattle population. Of the total 9123 citywide responses (Unweighted N=8319), 3760 of respondents offered narrative comments. Narrative comments were analyzed for themes and 49 distinct themes were identified.

Seattle Public Safety Survey CITYWIDE Demographics - 2021

Variable	Responses	2021 Unweighted		2021 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	21.9	1821	19.7	1794
	Work	9.1	754	9.9	906
	Live/Work	69.0	5744	70.4	6422
Age	< 20	0.3	23	0.4	34
	20-29	10.8	895	13.0	1181
	30-39	26.3	2192	30.3	2760
	40-49	20.9	1740	23.6	2153
	50-59	18.3	1523	15.2	1386
	60-69	14.2	1179	11.2	1023
	70-79	7.6	636	5.3	484
	80-89	1.3	110	0.9	83
	> 90	0.2	14	0.1	9
Race*	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	1.7	143	4.0	369
	Asian	7.9	660	18.8	1716
	Black/ African American	2.7	223	6.3	577
	Pacific Islander	0.7	58	1.6	149
	White	85.9	7147	71.7	6543
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4.1	339	9.7	881
Gender*	Female	50.1	4164	46.6	4251
	Male	45.2	3759	47.9	4367
	Transgender	1.8	150	2.0	185
	Other	3.6	296	4.2	381
Marital Status	Divorced	6.0	494	5.4	492
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	60.4	5004	59.6	5407
	Single	31.2	2582	32.7	2965
	Widowed	1.7	140	1.3	122
Education	No High School Diploma	0.4	35	0.6	58
	High School Diploma	2.4	196	2.7	245
	Some College	11.3	935	12.2	1106
	Associate's Degree	7.4	612	7.3	664
	Bachelor's Degree	44.2	3668	44.0	4001
	Graduate Degree	34.3	2846	33.1	3012
Household Income	\$0- \$39,999	9.1	728	9.1	804
	\$40,000- \$79,999	19.4	1561	19.5	1727
	\$80,000- \$119,999	19.6	1580	19.6	1735
	\$120,000- \$159,999	15.5	1246	14.8	1304
	\$160,000- \$199,999	11.8	948	12.0	1060
	\$200,000 or higher	24.6	1981	24.9	2202

^{*}Percentage totals are greater than 100% because respondents could select multiple categories.

72 Public Safety Concerns Grouped into 9 Indices Selected by Respondents in Public Safety Concerns Section of Survey

Drugs & Alcohol

- Alcohol use in public
- Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia
- Drug houses
- Drug use in public
- Marijuana use in public
- Open air drug markets
- Public intoxication

Homelessness

- Aggressive panhandling
- Car/RV camping
- Homeless encampments (non-regulated)
- Squatting
- Transient Camps

Police Capacity

- Delayed police response to emergency calls
- Delayed police response to non-emergency calls
- Inadequate police staffing
- Lack of police follow-up
- Not enough police in the neighborhood

Public Safety & Community Capacity

- Delay in answering emergency calls
- Delay in answering nonemergency calls
- Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers

- Lack of crime prevention education
- Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness
- Lack of resources related to social services
- No block watch or safety related neighborhood group
- Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood

Property Crime

- Auto theft
- Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)
- Commercial burglary
- Non-residential property crime
- Property crime general
- Property damage
- Residential burglary
- Theft
- Vandalism

Public Order Crime

- Disorderly Behavior
- Fireworks
- Graffiti
- Illegal sex work
- Illegal street vending
- Indecent exposure
- Public Order Crime general
- Trespassing
- Youth intimidation or criminal activity

Quality of Life

- Civility issues general
- Crowd Behavior
- Dogs off-leash
- Dogs on the Beach
- General community safety and quality of life issues
- Issues in the Parks
- Littering/dumping
- Loitering
- Noise levels
- Problem/nuisance properties
- Soliciting
- Sporting event issues (or other large events)
- Too many police in the neighborhood

Traffic Safety

- Bicycle safety
- Drag racing
- Parking issues
- Pedestrian safety
- Safety issues at bus stops
- Traffic safety
- Unsafe driving / Speeding
- •

Violent Crime

- Assault
- Domestic violence
- Gang activity
- Gun violence
- Homicide
- Robbery
- Sexual assault
- Shots fired
- Violent crime general

49 Themes Grouped into 15 Theme Indices Identified in Seattle Public Safety Survey Narrative Comments

Behavioral Crisis

City Politics

- City Politics Decreasing Public Safety
- City Politicians not Adequately Addressing Homelessness
- Unsupportive of City Council

Drug Activity

- Drugs Are a Public Health Issue
- Drugs Are a Public Safety Issue
- Needles

Homelessness

- Bring Back Navigation
 Team
- Encampments Are Decreasing Public Safety
- Homelessness General Concern
- Homelessness is a Public Health Issue
- Homelessness is a Public Safety Issue
- RV & Car Camping Decrease Public Safety

Lawlessness

- Crime is on the Rise
- Lawlessness general
- Moving out of Seattle Due to Crime / Public Safety

Police Capacity

- More Foot and Bicycle Police Needed
- More Police Community Outreach Needed
- More Police Needed
- Slow or No Police Response
- Unsupportive of Defunding Police
- Unsupportive of Abolishing Police

Police Legitimacy

- Concerns about Selective Enforcement / Racial Bias
- Concerns about Use of Force / Excessive Use of Force
- Lack of Police Accountability
- Lack of Police Professionalism
- Lack of Trust in Police, generally
- Lack of Trust in SPD, specifically
- Organizational Culture/Stability in Leadership
- Unsupportive of SPD

Police Reform

• Demilitarize Police

- Lack of Adequate Police Training
- Police Should live in Seattle
- Review of SPD's Crowd Management Practices Needed

Property Crime

Protests

Public Order Crime

Public Safety & Community Capacity

- Issues with 911 Dispatch
- Lack of Prosecution, Returning Offenders to Street
- More City Services / Resources Needed to Respond to Behavioral Crises
- More City Services / Resources Needed to Respond to Homelessness
- Police are Being Prevented from Doing Their Job
- Public Parks are Unsafe
- Public Spaces are Unsafe
- Supportive of Defunding Police

SPD Supportive

- SPD Doing a Great Job
- Supportive of SPD


Traffic Safety


Violent Crime


Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety -- Citywide, Precinct, Micro-Communities Findings


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the city as a whole, for each of the five Seattle Police Precincts, and for Precinct Micro-Communities.

Seattle – Citywide (2021 N=9132)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime		
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Order Crime		
3 – Homelessness	3 – Violent Crime		
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – City Politics		









Summary of Findings Citywide

The top public safety concerns Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Community & Police Capacity. The most prominent themes residents in the city of Seattle commented on in their narrative responses are Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and City Politics.

On the scales measuring aspects of micro-community public safety, citywide scale ratings show moderate (48.9) Police Legitimacy, moderate (53.5) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (42) Informal Social Control, low-moderate (39.1) Social Disorganization, and low-moderate (43.1) Fear of Crime (38.1-Day, 47.3-Night).

Precinct Survey Results

East Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for East Precinct as whole and the 9 East Precinct Micro-communities: Capitol Hill, Central Area/Squire Park, Eastlake-East, First Hill, Judkins Park/North Beacon Hill, Madison Park, Madrona/Leschi, Miller Park, Montlake/Portage Bay are presented from 2021. N=1826 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live and/or work in the City of Seattle East Precinct. Of the total 2021 N=1825 East Precinct responses (Unweighted N=1621), 2021 N=779 respondents offered narrative comments.


Seattle Public Safety Survey EAST PRECINCT Demographics - 2021					
Variable	Responses	2021 Un	weighted	2021 V	Veighted
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	22.0	357	19.2	350
	Work	6.9	112	7.4	135
	Live/Work	71.1	1152	73.4	1341
Age	< 20	0.2	4	0.3	5
	20-29	15.6	252	18.3	334
	30-39	30.4	492	34.3	627
	40-49	17.9	290	19.8	361
	50-59	14.1	228	12.0	218


	60-69	10.4	169	8.1	148
	70-79	7.2	116	4.6	83
	80-89	3.7	60	2.3	41
	> 90	0.5	8	0.3	5
Race*	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	1.5	24	3.4	62
	Asian	8.3	134	19.1	349
	Black/ African American	2.8	46	6.5	118
	Native Hawaiian (Including Pacific Islander)	0.6	10	1.4	26
	White	86.9	1409	73.9	1349
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4.6	74	10.6	193
Gender*	Female	45.3	734	42.1	769
	Male	48.4	785	50.5	923
	Transgender	3.0	49	3.5	63
	Other	4.7	76	5.5	101
Marital Status	Divorced	4.1	66	3.6	65
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	52.2	840	50.8	919
	Single	40.3	649	42.3	766
	Widowed	2.7	43	2.2	39
Education	No High School Diploma	0.2	4	0.3	5
	High School Diploma	1.7	27	1.7	31
	Some College	10.7	172	11.8	215
	Associate's Degree	5.9	96	5.9	108
	Bachelor's Degree	45.6	736	46.6	847
	Graduate Degree	35.9	579	33.6	611
Household Income	\$0- \$39,999	11.0	171	11.5	202
	\$40,000- \$79,999	22.3	347	21.9	383
	\$80,000- \$119,999	17.7	276	17.7	310
	\$120,000-\$159,999	14.0	218	12.9	226
	\$160,000-\$199,999	10.0	156	10.9	190
	\$200,000 or higher	24.9	387	25.1	439


Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for East Precinct and East Precinct Micro-Communities


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the East Precinct as a whole and for each East Precinct Micro-Community.

Seattle – East Precinct (2021 N=1826)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime		
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Order Crime		
3 – Homelessness	3 – Violent Crime		
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Legitimacy		












Seattle/East – Capitol Hill (2021 <i>N</i> =980)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime		
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		
3 – Homelessness	3 – Property Crime		
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Police Legitimacy		




Seattle/East – Central Area/Squire Park (2021 N=278)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Violent Crime		
2 – Traffic Safety	2 – Property Crime		
3 – Property Crime	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Police Legitimacy		
5 – Homelessness	5 – Traffic Safety		




Seattle/East – Eastlake East (2021 N=29)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Property Crime	1 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		
2 – Homelessness	2 – Police Capacity		
3 – Police Capacity	3 – Public Order Crime		
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – City Politics		
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Drug Activity		




Seattle/East — First Hill (2021 N=206)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime		
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		
3 – Homelessness	3 – Violent Crime		
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Property Crime		
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Police Capacity		




Seattle/East – Judkins Park/North Beacon Hill (2021 N=89)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime		
2 – Homelessness	2 – Violent Crime		
3 – Traffic Safety	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		
4 – Property Crime	4 – Property Crime		
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Traffic Safety		




Seattle/East— Madison Park (2021 N=71)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Capacity	1 – Police Legitimacy		
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		
3 – Traffic Safety	3 – Police Capacity		
4 – Homelessness	4 – Property Crime		
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Traffic Safety		




Seattle/East – Madrona/Leschi (2021 N=89)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Violent Crime	
3 – Homelessness	3 – City Politics	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Lawlessness	



Seattle/East – Miller Park (2021 N=22)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Property Crime	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Police Capacity	2 – Public Order Crime	
3 – Traffic Safety	3 – Violent Crime	
4 – Homelessness	4 – Lawlessness	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Capacity	




Seattle/East – Montlake/Portage Bay (2021 N=62)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Lawlessness	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Police Legitimacy	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Violent Crime	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	



Summary of Findings for East Precinct

The top public safety concerns indices for the East Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. In comparison with the top public safety concerns Citywide, residents in the East Precinct shared the same top five public safety concern indices in the same order of significance. The most prominent theme indices residents in the East Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and Police Legitimacy. In comparison with the citywide theme indices, there was only one difference between them. The East Precinct listed Police Legitimacy as the fifth most important theme, whereas the fifth most important theme for the Citywide results was City Politics. At the micro-community level, the same five public safety concerns were shared between each of the East Precinct's MCPPs, though the rankings differed. Prominent themes, however, and perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., Lawlessness rose to the top five in Montlake/Portage Bay and Miller Park, whereas Police Legitimacy rose to the top five in Madison Park and Drug Activity was in the top five for Eastlake (East)).

The scale results measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the East Precinct ranks lower in all categories than the scale results Citywide with scale ratings of moderate (41.9) Police Legitimacy, moderate (51.7) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (37) Informal Social Control, lowmoderate (37.2) Social Disorganization, and low-moderate (39.7) Fear of Crime (35.6-Day, 43.8-Night). Ratings on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., high-moderate police legitimacy in Madison Park and Eastlake (East), and low social disorganization in both Madrona/Leschi and Montlake/Portage Bay). The findings on the scale items for East Precinct show that the average scale rating for East Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 41.9 is 7 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 48.9 on this scale item. The East precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 51.7, which is 1.8 points lower than the Citywide average of 53.5. The East average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 37, which is 5 points lower than the Citywide average scale rating of 42. The findings on the scale items for East Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 37.2, which is almost 2 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the East Precinct of 35.6 is 3.3 less than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the East Precinct of 43.8 is 3.5 less than the Citywide average rating of 47.3. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the East Precinct of 39.7 is 3.4 less than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the East Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 39.7 is 5.8 points lower than the Citywide rating of 45.5 for Seattle specifically and almost 7 points lower than the Citywide rating of 44.8 for the United States generally.



North Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for the North Precinct as a whole and the 12 North Precinct Micro-communities: Ballard-North, Ballard-South, Bitter Lake, Fremont, Greenwood, Lake City, Northgate, Phinney Ridge, Roosevelt/Ravenna/Green Lake/Wedgwood, Sandpoint, University District, and Wallingford are presented from 2021- N= 3235 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live and/or work in the City of Seattle North Precinct. Of the total 2021- N= 3235 North Precinct responses (Unweighted N=3113), 2021- N= 1327 respondents offered narrative comments.


	Sa attle F	Public Safaty	Curvoy		
		Public Safety			
	NORTH PRECIN	ICI Demogra	apnics - 2021		
Variable	Responses		weighted	2021 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	23.7	737	22.0	712
	Work	4.4	137	7.4	135
	Live/Work	71.9	2239	73.4	1341
Age	< 20	0.5	15	0.7	24
	20-29	9.9	309	11.9	383
	30-39	24.4	758	28.0	906
	40-49	21.7	675	25.3	818
	50-59	19.8	616	16.3	527
	60-69	14.9	462	11.4	367
	70-79	8.2	255	5.9	190
	80-89	0.6	18	0.5	15
	> 90	0.1	3	0.1	2
Race*	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	1.8	55	4.4	141
	Asian	7.0	218	17.5	567
	Black/ African American	1.7	53	4.3	138
	Pacific Islander	0.5	17	1.3	43
	White	88.1	2743	75.4	2441
	Other	5.7	176	7.5	242
Ethnicity	Hispanic	3.5	108	8.7	281
Gender*	Female	53.0	1649	49.3	1595
	Male	42.4	1320	45.6	1476
	Transgender	1.6	51	1.8	59
	Other	3.7	114	4.1	134
Marital Status	Divorced	6.9	214	6.3	204
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	61.3	1903	60.8	1956
	Single	29.7	992	31.4	1010
	Widowed	1.5	46	1.0	32
Education	No High School Diploma	0.4	12	0.5	16
	High School Diploma	2.2	68	2.4	78
	Some College	10.1	315	10.7	346
	Associate's Degree	6.7	209	6.2	201
	Bachelor's Degree	45.2	1404	45.1	1454
	Graduate Degree	35.4	1099	35.1	1132
Household Income	\$0- \$39,999	9.3	280	9.4	294
	\$40,000- \$79,999	20.1	607	20.0	630
	\$80,000- \$119,999	19.7	594	19.2	602
	\$120,000- \$159,999	15.4	465	15.5	486
	\$160,000- \$199,999	11.6	350	11.5	362
	\$200,000 or higher	23.8	718	24.5	769


. .


Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for North Precinct and North Precinct Micro-Communities


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the North Precinct as a whole and for each North Precinct Micro-Community.

Seattle – North Precinct (2021 N=3235)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Homelessness	2 – Public Order Crime	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Violent Crime	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Capacity	












Seattle/North – Ballard North (2021 N=480)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Homelessness	2 – Public Order Crime	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	4 – Violent Crime	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Homelessness	




Seattle/North – Ballard South (2021 N=492)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Homelessness	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Police Capacity	2 – Violent Crime	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	4 – Homelessness	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Public Order Crime	




Seattle/North — Bitterlake (2021 N=119)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime	
2 – Property Crime	2 – City Politics	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Violent Crime	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Property Crime	
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Homelessness	




Seattle/North – Fremont (2021 <i>N</i> =150)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Homelessness	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Traffic Safety	2 – Violent Crime	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Traffic Safety	
4 – Quality of Life	4 – Drug Activity	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Legitimacy	




Seattle/North – Greenwood (2021 <i>N</i> =301)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Homelessness	2 – Public Order Crime	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Violent Crime	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Traffic Safety	




Seattle/North — Northgate (2021 N=300)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Homelessness	2 – Public Order Crime	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Violent Crime	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Traffic Safety	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	




Seattle/North – Lake City (2021 N=239)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Homelessness	2 – Traffic Safety	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Police Capacity	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Lawlessness	




Seattle/North – Roosevelt/Ravenna (2021 <i>N</i> =355)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Safety & Community Capacity
3 – Homelessness	3 – Public Order Crime
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Violent Crime
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Homelessness




Seattle/North – Phinney Ridge (2021 N=151)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Property Crime	2 – City Politics	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Violent Crime	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Homelessness	
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Public Order	




Seattle/North – Sandpoint (2021 <i>N</i> =188)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Police Capacity	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Public Order Crime	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – City Politics	



Seattle/North – University District (2021 N=226)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Property Crime	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Police Capacity	2 - Violent Crime	
3 – Homelessness	3 – City Politics	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Police Capacity	
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Police Legitimacy	



Seattle/North – Wallingford (2021 N=235)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Homelessness	2 – Public Order Crime	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Traffic Safety	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Violent Crime	




Summary of Findings for North Precinct

The top public safety concerns for the North Precinct are Police Capacity, Homelessness, Property Crime, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community. In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. In comparison with the citywide themes which were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and City Politics, themes prioritized by residents in the North Precinct noted in narrative responses were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Homelessness, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and Police Capacity. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety differ slightly from the precinct as a whole in some micro-communities (e.g., Homelessness as a primary public safety concern in Fremont and Lawlessness is noted as a theme in Lake City).

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the North Precinct scale ratings show moderate (48.8) Police Legitimacy, moderate (55.5) Social Cohesion, moderate-low (44.5) Informal Social control, moderate-low (38.7) Social Disorganization, and moderate-low (42.4) Fear of Crime (38.0 - Day, 46.7 - Night). At the micro-community level, results on the scales differ depending on the micro-community with some similar to the North Precinct as a whole (e.g., Phinney Ridge, Lake City, and Northgate) while others differ (e.g., lower social disorganization in Roosevelt/Ravenna and Sandpoint) reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for North Precinct show that the average scale rating for North Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 48.8 is 0.1 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 48.94 on this scale item. The North precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 55.5, which 1.9 points higher than the Citywide average of 53.5. The North average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 44.5, which is 2.6 points higher than the Citywide average scale rating of 41.9. The findings on the scale items for North Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 38.7, which is 0.4 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the North Precinct of 38.0 is 0.9 less than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the North Precinct of 46.7 is 0.5 less than the Citywide average rating of 47.25. Lastly,

the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the North Precinct of 42.4 is 0.7 less than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the North Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 44.3 is 1.1 points lower than the Citywide rating of 45.45 for Seattle specifically. When asking respondents to rate their views of the police generally, the average response for the North Precinct was 45.2, 0.4 points lower than the Citywide rating of 44.75 for the United States generally.



South Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for South Precinct as whole and the 15 South Precinct Micro-communities: Brighton/Dunlap, Claremont/Rainier Vista, Columbia City, Genesee, Georgetown, Hillman City, Lakewood/Seward Park, Mid-Beacon Hill, Mount Baker, New Holly, North Beacon Hill, Rainier Beach, Rainier View, SODO, and South Beacon Hill are presented from 2021-N=1177 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live and/or work in the City of Seattle South Precinct. Of the total 2021-N=1177 South Precinct responses (Unweighted N=965), 2021-N=(481) respondents offered narrative comments.


Seattle Public Safety Survey SOUTH PRECINCT Demographics - 2021					
Variable	Responses	2021 Unv	veighted	2021 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	20.5	198	10.7	126
	Work	10.8	104	20.1	237
	Live/Work	68.7	663	69.2	815
Age	< 20	0.2	2	0.3	3
	20-29	10.2	98	12.7	150
	30-39	28.2	272	29.0	342
	40-49	23.1	223	24.3	287
	50-59	17.2	166	14.7	173
	60-69	14.1	136	13.1	155
	70-79	6.1	59	5.0	59
	80-89	0.9	9	0.8	10
	> 90	0.0	0	0.0	0
Race*	American Indian/Alaskan Native	1.3	13	2.9	34
	Asian	11.5	111	24.6	289
	Black/ African American	5.2	50	11.0	129
	Pacific Islander	0.9	9	2.0	24
	White	79.8	770	61.3	721
	Other	6.6	64	8.1	96
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4.1	40	8.7	103
Gender*	Female	52.6	508	48.9	575
	Male	41.8	403	44.8	527
	Transgender	1.5	14	1.3	15
	Other	4.4	42	5.2	61
Marital Status	Divorced	4.6	44	3.8	45
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	67.9	653	66.7	783
	Single	25.5	245	27.3	320
	Widowed	1.5	14	1.7	20
Education	No High School Diploma	0.6	6	1.1	13
	High School Diploma	3.2	31	3.9	46
	Some College	10.2	98	11.6	135
	Associate's Degree	8.4	81	8.7	102
	Bachelor's Degree	42.4	407	41.3	482
	Graduate Degree	35.0	336	33.4	390
Household Income	\$0- \$39,999	9.6	90	10.2	117
	\$40,000- \$79,999	17.4	164	18.6	213
	\$80,000- \$119,999	19.0	179	20.4	234
	\$120,000- \$159,999	16.6	156	14.8	170
	\$160,000-\$199,999	14.9	140	14.6	167
	\$200,000 or higher	22.6	213	21.4	245


Survey results are presented for top public safety concerns, most prominent themes, and measures of community perceptions for the precinct as a whole and for each precinct micro-community.


Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for South Precinct and South Precinct Micro-Communities


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the South Precinct as a whole and for each South Precinct Micro-Community.

Seattle – South Precinct (2021 N=1177)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Violent Crime	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Property Crime	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Public Order Crime	
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	4 – Traffic Safety	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	












Seattle/South – Brighton/Dunlap (2021 N=63)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Police Capacity	
2 – Traffic Safety	2 – Traffic Safety	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Property Crime	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5 – Violent Crime	5 - Violent Crime	




Seattle/South – Claremont/Rainier Vista (2021 N=3)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Public Order Crime	1 – Public Order Crime		
2 – Police Capacity	2 – SPD Supportive		
3 – Quality of Life	3 – Drug Activity		
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	4 – Homelessness		
5 – Homelessness	5 – Police Capacity		




Seattle/South – Columbia City (2021 N=169)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Violent Crime		
2 – Traffic Safety	2 – Traffic Safety		
3 – Property Crime	3 – Police Legitimacy		
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Police Capacity		
5 - Violent Crime	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity		




Seattle/South - Genesee (2021 N=44)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Lawlessness	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Order Crime	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Police Capacity	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Behavioral Crisis	
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Violent Crime	




Seattle/South – Georgetown (2021 N=99)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Property Crime	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Police Capacity	2 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Violent Crime	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Public Order Crime	
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – City Politics	




Seattle/South – Hillman City (2021 N=54)			
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Traffic Safety		
2 – Traffic Safety	2 – Police Capacity		
3 – Property Crime	3 – Public Order		
4 - Violent Crime	4 - Violent Crime		
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Legitimacy		




Seattle/South – Lakewood / Seward Park (2021 N=49)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Property Crime	1 – Traffic Safety	
2 – Police Capacity	2 – Police Capacity	
3 – Traffic Safety	3 – Homelessness	
4 – Homelessness	4 – Public Order Crime	
5 – Violent Crime	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	




Seattle/South – Mid Beacon Hill (2021 N=97)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Police Capacity	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Property Crime	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Violent Crime	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Drug Activity	




Seattle/South – Mount Baker (2021 N=133)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns 2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Com		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Violent Crime	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Police Capacity	
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Homelessness	




Seattle/South – New Holly (2021 N=19)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Violent Crime	
2 – Violent Crime	2 – Police Capacity	
3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	3 – Lawlessness	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – City Politics	
5 – Homelessness	5 – Police Reform	




Seattle/South – North Beacon Hill (2021 N=122)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Property Crime	1 – Public Order Crime	
2 – Police Capacity	2 – Property Crime	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Violent Crime	
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Traffic Safety	




Seattle/South – Rainier Beach (2021 N=145)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Property Crime	1 – Violent Crime	
2 – Police Capacity	2 – City Politics	
3 – Traffic Safety	3 – Police Capacity	
4 – Violent Crime	4 – Public Order Crime	
5 – Homelessness	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	




Seattle/South – Rainier View (2021 N=28)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Traffic Safety	
2 – Traffic Safety	2 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Police Capacity	
4 - Violent Crime	4 – Public Order Crime	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Violent Crime	



Seattle/South — SODO (2021 N=75)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Homelessness	1 – Public Order Crime	
2 – Police Capacity	2 – City Politics	
3 – Property Crime	3 – Behavioral Crisis	
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	4 – SPD Supportive	
5 – Violent Crime	5 – Police Capacity	



Seattle/South — South Beacon Hill (2021 N=76)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Order Crime	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Traffic Safety	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Violent Crime	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Capacity	




Summary of Findings for South Precinct

The top public safety concerns for the South Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drugs & Alcohol, and Community & Police Safety Capacity. Several of the South Precinct's top concerns were similar to the citywide concerns which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, and Community and Public Safety Capacity. For residents in the South Precinct, Drugs & Alcohol rose as a concern over Traffic Safety in the citywide rankings. The most prominent themes citizens in the South Precinct included in their narrative responses were Violent Crime, Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety and Community Capacity. In comparison, the themes most noted by residents citywide were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and City Politics. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety are similar to the precinct as a whole. However, some micro-communities differ from others (e.g., Property Crime as a top concern in Rainier Beach but Homelessness as a top concern in SODO).

The results on scales measuring community perceptions of public safety in the South Precinct shows scale rating of moderate Police Legitimacy (48.2), high-moderate Social Cohesion (56.1), lowmoderate Informal Social Control (41.8), low-moderate Social Disorganization (35.8) and lowmoderate (42.1) Fear of Crime (38.3-Day, 45.9-Night). At the micro-community level, results on the scales are different depending on the micro-community with some very similar to the South Precinct as a whole (e.g., Hillman City) while others show differences (e.g., SODO) reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for South Precinct show that the average scale rating for South Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 48.2 is just 0.7 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 48.9 on this scale item. The South precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 56.1, which is 2.6 points higher than the Citywide average of 53.5. The South average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 41.8 which is just 0.2 points lower than the Citywide average scale rating of 42.0. The findings on the scale items for South Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 35.8, which is 3.3 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the South Precinct of 38.3 is 0.6 points less than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the South Precinct of 45.9 is 1.4 points below the Citywide average rating of 47.3. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the South Precinct of 42.1 is 1 point less than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. On the item asking

respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the South Precinct of 45.6 is 0.1 points higher than the citywide rating of 45.5 for SPD specifically. For police in the United States generally, the South Precinct had an average response of 43.7 which was 1.1 points lower than the citywide rating of 44.8.



Southwest Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for Southwest Precinct as whole and the 14 Southwest Precinct Micro-communities: Alaska Junction, Alki, Commercial Duwamish, Commercial Harbor Island, Fauntleroy, High Point, Highland Park, Morgan Junction, North Admiral, North Delridge, Pigeon Point, South Delridge, South Park and Westwood/Roxhill/ Arbor Heights are presented from N = 1124 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live or work in the City of Seattle Southwest Precinct. Compared to Seattle demographics, survey respondents were disproportionately more likely to be non-minority and female. Quantitative responses were weighted based on gender and race/ethnicity to better represent the Seattle population. Of the total N=1124 Southwest Precinct responses (Unweighted N=1061), N=(504) respondents offered narrative comments.


Seattle Public Safety Survey SOUTHWEST PRECINCT Demographics - 2021					
Variable	Responses	2021 Unv	weighted	2021 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	26.6	282	22.7	255
	Work	3.9	41	5.1	57
	Live/Work	69.6	738	72.2	812
Age	< 20	0.1	1	0.1	1
	20-29	6.0	64	6.8	76
	30-39	21.2	225	25.9	290
	40-49	22.7	240	26.9	302
	50-59	21.1	223	18.6	209
	60-69	17.5	185	13.8	155
	70-79	10.5	111	7.2	81
	80-89	0.8	9	0.5	6
	> 90	0.1	1	0.1	1
Race	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	2.9	31	7.0	79
	Asian	5.4	57	13.2	148
	Black/ African American	3.0	32	7.3	82
	Pacific Islander	1.0	11	2.5	28
	White	86.7	920	73.8	830
	Other	7.1	75	9.5	107
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4.3	46	10.8	121
Gender	Female	50.1	532	46.7	525
	Male	46.6	494	49.0	551
	Transgender	8.0	9	1.2	14
	Other	1.9	20	2.5	28
Marital Status	Divorced	8.2	87	7.2	40
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	64.1	678	64.6	722
	Single	25.1	265	25.5	285
	Widowed	1.4	15	1.3	15
Education	No High School Diploma	0.9	10	1.6	18
	High School Diploma	2.9	31	4.0	45
	Some College	15.0	159	15.8	177
	Associate's Degree	9.1	96	9.5	106
	Bachelor's Degree	39.1	414	38.5	432
	Graduate Degree	32.9	348	30.6	343
Household Income	\$0- \$39,999	9.1	92	8.3	89
	\$40,000- \$79,999	18.8	191	18.8	203
	\$80,000- \$119,999	24.2	146	25.3	272
	\$120,000- \$159,999	15.7	159	14.9	160
	\$160,000- \$199,999	10.2	104	10.9	117
	\$200,000 or higher	22.0	223	21.9	236


. .


Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for Southwest Precinct and Southwest Precinct Micro-Communities


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the Southwest Precinct as a whole and for each Southwest Precinct Micro-Community.

Seattle – Southwest Precinct (2021 N=1124)			
2021 Public Safety Concerns	2021 Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime		
2 – Property Crime	2 – City Politics		
3 – Homelessness	3 – Public Order Crime		
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Traffic Safety		
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Capacity		












Seattle – Alaska Junction (2021 N=198)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Property Crime	1 – Property Crime	
2 – Homelessness	2 – City Politics	
3 – Police Capacity	3 – Lawlessness	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Police Capacity	
5 – Drugs & Alcohol	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	




Seattle/Southwest – Alki (2021 N=118)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – City Politics	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Traffic Safety	
3 – Traffic Safety	3 – Police Capacity	
4 – Homelessness	4 – Public Order	
5 - Violent Crime	5 – Violent Crime	




Seattle – Commercial Duwamish (2021 N=5)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Homelessness
2 – Homelessness	-
3 – Property Crime	-
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	-
5 – Quality of Life	-




Seattle – Commercial Harbor Island (2021 N=5)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – City Politics
2 – Property Crime	2 – Homelessness
3 – Public Order Crime	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity
4 – Homelessness	-
5 – Drugs & Alcohol	-




Seattle/Southwest – Fauntleroy (2021 N=75)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime
2 – Property Crime	2 – Police Capacity
3 – Homelessness	3 – Traffic Safety
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – City Politics
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – SPD Supportive




Seattle/Southwest – High Point (2021 N=62)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime
2 – Property Crime	2 – Violent Crime
3 – Homelessness	3 – Police Capacity
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Traffic Safety
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Reform




Seattle/Southwest – Highland Park (2021 N=119)		
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1 – Police Capacity	1 – City Politics	
2 – Property Crime	2 – Property Crime	
3 – Homelessness	3 – Police Capacity	
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Public Order Crime	
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	




Seattle/Southwest – Morgan Junction (2021 N=58)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime
2 – Property Crime	2 – City Politics
3 – Homelessness	3 – Public Order Crime
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Traffic Safety
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Lawlessness




Seattle/Southwest – North Admiral (2021 N=102)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime
2 – Property Crime	2 – City Politics
3 – Homelessness	3 – Property Crime
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Police Capacity
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Violent Crime




Seattle/Southwest – North Delridge (2021 N=82)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime
2 – Property Crime	2 – Lawlessness
3 – Homelessness	3 – SPD Supportive
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – City Politics
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Homelessness




Seattle/Southwest — Pigeon Point (2021 N=38)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – City Politics
2 – Homelessness	2 – Public Order Crime
3 – Property Crime	3 – Police Legitimacy
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Homelessness
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Property Crime




Seattle – South Delridge (2021 N=48)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Property Crime	1 – Public Order Crime
2 – Police Capacity	2 – Property Crime
3 – Homelessness	3 – Violent Crime
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Lawlessness
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Legitimacy



Seattle/Southwest – South Park (2021 N=81)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime
2 – Homelessness	2 – Violent Crime
3 – Property Crime	3 – Homelessness
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Capacity




Seattle/Southwest – Westwood/Roxhill/Arbor Heights (2021 N=134)	
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime
2 – Property Crime	2 – City Politics
3 – Homelessness	3 – Traffic Safety
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Public Order Crime
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Police Capacity
5-Traffic Safety	5-Traffic Safety



Summary of Findings for Southwest Precinct

The top public safety concerns for the Southwest Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. These concerns matched the top public safety concerns citywide. The most prominent themes residents in the Southwest Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were Property Crime, City Politics, Public Order Crime, Traffic Safety, and Police Capacity. In comparison, the top citywide themes were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and City Politics. The top theme prioritized by residents in the Southwest Precinct was Property Crime which aligned with the top citywide theme- Property Crime. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety are similar to the precinct as a whole. However, some micro-communities differ from the others (e.g Violent Crime as a top concern in Alki did not show up in other mico-communities' top concerns).

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety show that the Southwest Precinct as a whole shows scale rating of high-moderate (56.8) Police Legitimacy, high-moderate (58.7) Social Cohesion, moderate (48.7) Informal Social Control, low-moderate (35.0) Social Disorganization and low-moderate (45.0) Fear of Crime (40.6-Day, 49.4-Night). At the microcommunity level, results on the scales are different depending on the micro-community with some very similar to the Southwest Precinct as a whole (e.g., Alaska Junction) while others differ (e.g., South Park), reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for Southwest Precinct show that the average scale rating for Southwest Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 56.8 is 7.9 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 48.9 on this scale item. The Southwest precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 58.7, which is 5.2 points higher than the Citywide average of 53.5. The Southwest average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 48.7, which is 6.7 points higher than the Citywide average scale rating of 42.0. The findings on the scale items for Southwest Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 35.0, which is 4.1 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 40.6 is 1.7 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 49.4 is 2.1 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 47.3. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 45.0 is 1.9 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. This is a notable shift, as the Southwest precinct was 1.6 points lower than the Citywide average of 46.0 in the previous Fear of Crime scale ratings from 2020. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the Southwest Precinct show that the rating of SPD specifically of 50.7 is 5.2 points higher than the Citywide rating of 45.5 for SPD specifically. The Southwest rating of police in the United States generally was 49.8, which is 5 points higher than the Citywide rating of 44.8 for the United States generally.



West Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for the West Precinct as a whole and the 8 West Precinct Micro-communities: Belltown, Downtown Commercial, Eastlake-West, Chinatown/International District, Magnolia, Pioneer Square, Queen Anne, and South Lake Union/Cascade are presented from N=1761 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live or work in the City of Seattle West Precinct. Of the total N=1761 West Precinct responses (Unweighted N=1559), N=(668) respondents offered narrative comments.


Seattle Public Safety Survey WEST PRECINCT Demographics - 2021					
Variable	Responses	2021 Unweighted		2021 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	15.8	247	13.7	241
	Work	23.1	360	25.0	441
	Live/Work	61.1	952	61.3	1079
Age	< 20	0.1	1	0.1	1
	20-29	11.0	172	13.5	238
	30-39	28.6	445	33.9	595
	40-49	20.0	312	21.9	385
	50-59	18.6	290	14.7	259
	60-69	14.6	227	11.2	197
	70-79	6.1	95	4.0	70
	80-89	0.9	14	0.6	11
	> 90	0.1	2	0.1	1
Race*	American Indian/Alaskan Native	1.3	20	3.0	53
	Asian	9.0	140	20.7	364
	Black/ African American	2.7	42	6.2	109
	Pacific Islander	0.7	11	1.6	28
	White	83.7	1305	68.3	1202
	Other	6.9	107	9.1	160
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4.6	71	10.4	184
Gender*	Female	47.5	741	44.7	787
	Male	48.6	757	50.6	891
	Transgender	1.7	27	1.9	34
	Other	2.8	44	3.2	56
Marital Status	Divorced	5.3	83	5.7	99
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	59.9	930	58.8	1027
	Single	32.3	501	33.4	583
	Widowed	1.4	22	0.9	15
Education	No High School Diploma	0.2	3	0.3	6
	High School Diploma	2.5	39	2.6	45
	Some College	12.3	191	13.3	233
	Associate's Degree	8.4	130	8.4	148
	Bachelor's Degree	45.5	707	44.8	786
	Graduate Degree	31.1	484	30.6	536
Household Income	\$0- \$39,999	6.3	95	5.9	101
	\$40,000- \$79,999	16.6	252	17.4	299
	\$80,000- \$119,999	18.8	285	18.5	317
	\$120,000- \$159,999	16.3	248	15.3	263
	\$160,000- \$199,999	13.0	198	13.0	224
	\$200,000 or higher	29.0	440	29.9	513


. .


Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for West Precinct and West Precinct Micro-Communities


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the West Precinct as a whole and for each West Precinct Micro-Community.

Seattle – West Precinct (2021 N=1761)				
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments			
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime			
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Order Crime			
3 – Homelessness	3 – City Politics			
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity			
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Capacity			












Seattle-West Precinct—Belltown (2021 N=266)										
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments									
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime									
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Order Crime									
3 – Homelessness	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity									
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	4 – Violent Crime									
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – City Politics									




Seattle-West Precinct— Downtown Commercial (2021 N=466)											
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments										
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime										
2 – Homelessness	2 – Violent Crime										
3 – Drugs & Alcohol	3 – Property Crime										
4 – Property Crime	4 – City Politics										
5 – Violent Crime	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity										




Seattle-West Precinct – I	Eastlake-West (2021 N=33)
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Police Capacity	1 – City Politics
2 – Property Crime	2 – Property Crime
3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	3 – Violent Crime
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – Police Capacity
5 – Homelessness	5 – Police Legitimacy




Seattle-West Precinct — International District (2021 N=114)										
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments									
1 – Police Capacity	1 – City Politics									
2 – Property Crime	2 – Violent Crime									
3 – Homelessness	3 – Public Safety & Community Capacity									
4 – Drugs & Alcohol	4 – Property Crime									
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Lawlessness									




Seattle-West Precinct – Magnolia (2021 N=187)										
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments									
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime									
2 – Property Crime	2 – City Politics									
3 – Homelessness	3 – Public Order Crime									
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Police Capacity									
5 – Traffic Safety	5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity									




Seattle-West Precinct — Pi	oneer Square (2021 N=133)
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1 – Homelessness	1 – Violent Crime
2 – Police Capacity	2 – Public Safety & Community Capacity
3 – Drugs & Alcohol	3 – Public Order Crime
4 – Property Crime	4 – City Politics
5 - Violent Crime	5 – Lawlessness



Seattle-West Precinct – Queen Anne (2021 N=346)										
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments									
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Property Crime									
2 – Property Crime	2 – Public Order Crime									
3 – Homelessness	3 – 1 – Public Safety & Community Capacity									
4 – Traffic Safety	4 – 1 – Traffic Safety									
5 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	5 – Police Capacity									




Seattle-West Precinct – South Lake Union/Cascade (2021 N=216)										
2021 Top Public Safety Concerns	2021 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments									
1 – Police Capacity	1 – Public Order Crime									
2 – Homelessness	2 – City Politics									
3 – Property Crime	3 – Property Crime									
4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity	4 – Public Safety & Community Capacity									
5 – Drugs & Alcohol	5 – Police Capacity									



Summary of Findings for West Precinct

The top public safety concerns for the West Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drugs & Alcohol, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. While they share most of the same concerns, for residents in the West Precinct concerns surrounding Drugs & Alcohol supplanted Traffic Safety. The most prominent themes residents in the West Precinct commented on in their narrative responses are: Property Crime, Public Order Crime, City Politics, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and Police Capacity. The main difference between the Citywide top themes and those of the West Precinct is West Precinct residents worried more about Police Capacity than Violent Crime. At the microcommunity level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct as a whole in some micro-communities (e.g., Drugs & Alcohol in Eastlake (West) and Pioneer Square, and Violent Crime in the Downtown Commercial District).

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety also suggest that the West Precinct as a whole shows similarity to the scale results citywide with scale ratings of moderate (52) Police Legitimacy, moderate (46.7) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (38.47) Informal Social Control, moderate (46.8) Social Disorganization, and moderate (49.39) Fear of Crime (43.4-Day, 51.4-Night). Ratings on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., high social cohesion in Pioneer Square (73.8) as compared to lowmoderate social cohesion in the Downtown Commercial District (39.6), and high-moderate police legitimacy in the Downtown Commercial District (57.8) and Magnolia (57.9) as compared to lowmoderate in South Lake Union/Cascade (45.6). The findings on the scale items for West Precinct show that the average scale rating for West Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 52 is 3 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 48.9 on this scale item. The West precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 46.7, which is 6.8 points lower than the Citywide average of 52.5. The West average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 38.5 which is 3.5 points lower than the Citywide average scale rating of 42. The findings on the scale items for West Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 46.8, which is 7.7 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime - Day average scale rating for the West Precinct of 43.4 is 4.5 higher than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the West Precinct of 51.4 is 4.1 higher than the Citywide average rating of 47.3. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the West Precinct of 49.4 is 6.3 more than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the West Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 48.3 is 2.9 points higher than the Citywide rating of 45.5 for Seattle specifically and 3.5 points higher than the Citywide rating of 44.8 for the United States generally.



2021 Seattle Public Safety Survey Summary of Findings

The top public safety concerns were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. The most prominent themes residents in the city of Seattle commented on in their narrative responses are Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and City Politics. On the scales measuring aspects of microcommunity public safety, citywide scale ratings show moderate (48.9) Police Legitimacy, moderate (53.5) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (41.9) Informal Social Control, low-moderate (39.1) Social Disorganization, and low-moderate (43.1) Fear of Crime (38.9-Day, 47.3-Night). By precinct and microcommunity, top concerns and prominent themes differ.

For the East Precinct - The top public safety concerns indices for the East Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. In comparison with the top public safety concerns Citywide, residents in the East Precinct shared the same top five public safety concern indices in the same order of significance. The most prominent theme indices residents in the East Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and Police Legitimacy. In comparison with the citywide theme indices, there was only one difference between them. The East Precinct listed Police Legitimacy as the fifth most important theme, whereas the fifth most important theme for the Citywide results was City Politics. At the micro-community level, the same five public safety concerns were shared between each of the East Precinct's MCPPs, though the rankings differed. Prominent themes, however, and perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., Lawlessness rose to the top five in Montlake/Portage Bay and Miller Park, whereas Police Legitimacy rose to the top five in Madison Park and Drug Activity was in the top five for Eastlake (East)).

The scale results measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the East Precinct ranks lower in all categories than the scale results Citywide with scale ratings of moderate (41.9) Police Legitimacy, moderate (51.7) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (37) Informal Social Control, lowmoderate (37.2) Social Disorganization, and low-moderate (39.7) Fear of Crime (35.6-Day, 43.8-Night). Ratings on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., high-moderate police legitimacy in Madison Park and Eastlake (East), and low social disorganization in both Madrona/Leschi and Montlake/Portage Bay). The findings on the scale items for East Precinct show that the average scale rating for East Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 41.9 is 7 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 48.9 on this scale item. The East precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 51.7, which is 1.8 points lower than the Citywide average of 53.5. The East average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 37, which is 5 points lower than the Citywide average scale rating of 42. The findings on the scale items for East Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 37.2, which is almost 2 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the East Precinct of 35.6 is 3.3 less than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the East Precinct of 43.8 is 3.5 less than the Citywide average rating of 47.3. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the East Precinct of 39.7 is 3.4 less than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the East Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 39.7

. . .

is 5.8 points lower than the Citywide rating of 45.5 for Seattle specifically and almost 7 points lower than the Citywide rating of 44.8 for the United States generally.

For the North Precinct - The top public safety concerns for the North Precinct are Police Capacity, Homelessness, Property Crime, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community. In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. In comparison with the citywide themes which were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and City Politics, themes prioritized by residents in the North Precinct noted in narrative responses were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Homelessness, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and Police Capacity. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety differ slightly from the precinct as a whole in some micro-communities (e.g., Homelessness as a primary public safety concern in Fremont and Lawlessness is noted as a theme in Lake City).

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the North Precinct scale ratings show moderate (48.8) Police Legitimacy, moderate (55.5) Social Cohesion, moderate-low (44.5) Informal Social control, moderate-low (38.7) Social Disorganization, and moderate-low (42.4) Fear of Crime (38.0 - Day, 46.7 - Night). At the micro-community level, results on the scales differ depending on the micro-community with some similar to the North Precinct as a whole (e.g., Phinney Ridge, Lake City, and Northgate) while others differ (e.g., lower social disorganization in Roosevelt/Ravenna and Sandpoint) reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for North Precinct show that the average scale rating for North Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 48.8 is 0.1 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 48.94 on this scale item. The North precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 55.5, which 1.9 points higher than the Citywide average of 53.5. The North average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 44.5, which is 2.6 points higher than the Citywide average scale rating of 41.9. The findings on the scale items for North Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 38.7, which is 0.4 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the North Precinct of 38.0 is 0.9 less than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the North Precinct of 46.7 is 0.5 less than the Citywide average rating of 47.25. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the North Precinct of 42.4 is 0.7 less than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the North Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 44.3 is 1.1 points lower than the Citywide rating of 45.45 for Seattle specifically. When asking respondents to rate their views of the police generally, the average response for the North Precinct was 45.2, 0.4 points higher than the Citywide rating of 44.75 for the United States generally.

or the South Precinct - The top public safety concerns for the South Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drugs & Alcohol, and Community & Police Safety Capacity. Several of the South Precinct's top concerns were similar to the citywide concerns which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, and Community and Public Safety Capacity. For residents in the South Precinct, Drugs & Alcohol rose as a concern over Traffic Safety in the citywide rankings. The most prominent themes citizens in the South Precinct included in their narrative responses were Violent Crime, Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety and Community Capacity. In comparison, the themes most noted by residents citywide were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and City Politics. At the micro-

. . .

community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety are similar to the precinct as a whole. However, some micro-communities differ from others (e.g., Property Crime as a top concern in Rainier Beach but Homelessness as a top concern in SODO).

The results on scales measuring community perceptions of public safety in the South Precinct shows scale rating of moderate Police Legitimacy (48.2), high-moderate Social Cohesion (56.1), lowmoderate Informal Social Control (41.8), low-moderate Social Disorganization (35.8) and lowmoderate (42.1) Fear of Crime (38.3-Day, 45.9-Night). At the micro-community level, results on the scales are different depending on the micro-community with some very similar to the South Precinct as a whole (e.g., Hillman City) while others show differences (e.g., SODO) reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for South Precinct show that the average scale rating for South Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 48.2 is just 0.7 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 48.9 on this scale item. The South precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 56.1, which is 2.6 points higher than the Citywide average of 53.5. The South average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 41.8 which is just 0.2 points lower than the Citywide average scale rating of 42.0. The findings on the scale items for South Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 35.8, which is 3.3 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the South Precinct of 38.3 is 0.6 points less than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the South Precinct of 45.9 is 1.4 points below the Citywide average rating of 47.3. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the South Precinct of 42.1 is 1 point less than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the South Precinct of 45.6 is 0.1 points higher than the citywide rating of 45.5 for SPD specifically. For police in the United States generally, the South Precinct had an average response of 43.7 which was 1.1 points lower than the citywide rating of 44.8.

For the Southwest Precinct- The top public safety concerns for the Southwest Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. These concerns matched the top public safety concerns citywide. The most prominent themes residents in the Southwest Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were Property Crime, City Politics, Public Order Crime, Traffic Safety, and Police Capacity. In comparison, the top citywide themes were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, Violent Crime, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and City Politics. The top theme prioritized by residents in the Southwest Precinct was Property Crime which aligned with the top citywide theme- Property Crime. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety are similar to the precinct as a whole. However, some micro-communities differ from the others (e.g., Violent Crime as a top concern in Alki did not show up in other mico-communities' top concerns).

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety show that the Southwest Precinct as a whole shows scale rating of high-moderate (56.8) Police Legitimacy, high-moderate (58.7) Social Cohesion, moderate (48.7) Informal Social Control, low-moderate (35.0) Social Disorganization and low-moderate (45.0) Fear of Crime (40.6-Day, 49.4-Night). At the micro-community level, results on the scales are different depending on the micro-community with some very similar to the Southwest Precinct as a whole (e.g., Alaska Junction) while others differ (e.g., South Park), reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for Southwest Precinct show that the

. . .

average scale rating for Southwest Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 56.8 is 7.9 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 48.9 on this scale item. The Southwest precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 58.7, which is 5.2 points higher than the Citywide average of 53.5. The Southwest average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 48.7, which is 6.7 points higher than the Citywide average scale rating of 42.0. The findings on the scale items for Southwest Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 35.0, which is 4.1 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 40.6 is 1.7 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 49.4 is 2.1 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 47.3. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 45.0 is 1.9 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. This is a notable shift, as the Southwest precinct was 1.6 points lower than the Citywide average of 46.0 in the previous Fear of Crime scale ratings from 2020. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the Southwest Precinct show that the rating of SPD specifically of 50.7 is 5.2 points higher than the Citywide rating of 45.5 for SPD specifically. The Southwest rating of police in the United States generally was 49.8, which is 5 points higher than the Citywide rating of 44.8 for the United States generally.


For the West Precinct- The top public safety concerns for the West Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drugs & Alcohol, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety & Community Capacity. While they share most of the same concerns, for residents in the West Precinct concerns surrounding Drugs & Alcohol supplanted Traffic Safety. The most prominent themes residents in the West Precinct commented on in their narrative responses are Property Crime, Public Order Crime, City Politics, Public Safety & Community Capacity, and Police Capacity. The main difference between the Citywide top themes and those of the West Precinct is West Precinct residents worried more about Police Capacity than Violent Crime. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct as a whole in some micro-communities (e.g., Drugs & Alcohol in Eastlake (West) and Pioneer Square, and Violent Crime in the Downtown Commercial District).

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety also suggest that the West Precinct as a whole shows similarity to the scale results citywide with scale ratings of moderate (52) Police Legitimacy, moderate (46.7) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (38.47) Informal Social Control, moderate (46.8) Social Disorganization, and moderate (49.39) Fear of Crime (43.4-Day, 51.4-Night). Ratings on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., high social cohesion in Pioneer Square (73.8) as compared to low-moderate social cohesion in the Downtown Commercial District (39.6), and high-moderate police legitimacy in the Downtown Commercial District (57.8) and Magnolia (57.9) as compared to low-moderate in South Lake Union/Cascade (45.6). The findings on the scale items for West Precinct show that the average scale rating for West Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 52 is 3 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 48.9 on this scale item. The West precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 46.7, which is 6.8 points lower than the Citywide average of 52.5. The West average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 38.5 which is 3.5 points lower than the Citywide average scale rating for

. . .

Social Disorganization is 46.8, which is 7.7 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 39.1 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the West Precinct of 43.4 is 4.5 higher than the Citywide average rating of 38.9. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the West Precinct of 51.4 is 4.1 higher than the Citywide average rating of 47.3. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the West Precinct of 49.4 is 6.3 more than the Citywide average rating of 43.1. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the West Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 48.3 is 2.9 points higher than the Citywide rating of 45.5 for Seattle specifically and 3.5 points higher than the Citywide rating of 44.8 for the United States generally.

The Seattle Public Safety Survey results offer a picture of the public safety concerns of residents of Seattle at the city, precinct, and micro-community levels. At the micro-community level, results differ by precinct and micro-community reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The scale data can be used in conjunction with the top concerns and prominent themes to better understand the nature of communities and micro-communities and their unique public safety issues. Concerns of residents within any given micro-community may differ in terms of perceptions of public safety with respect to police legitimacy, informal social control, social cohesion, fear of crime, and social disorganization. The survey findings on the top concerns, most prominent themes, and scale ratings of features of the community that impact public safety can be used at the citywide, precinct, and micro-community levels to inform and guide law enforcement in developing priorities and to guide strategies in response to distinct community concerns. The results provide an annual snapshot of the nature of perceptions of public safety citywide, by precinct, and by micro-community. Ideally, a healthy community with positive police-community engagement will have high Police Legitimacy, low Social Disorganization, high Informal Social Control, high Social Cohesion, low Fear of Crime, and positive perception and high knowledge of the SPD MCPP initiative. Survey findings can assist communities to target areas of improvement with respect to areas that stray from the ideal and negatively impact public safety.



Implications for Seattle Micro-Community Policing Plans

The quantitative survey findings of the top public safety concerns, prominent themes identified in the narrative comments, and community perceptions regarding issues related to public safety offer comprehensive information based on survey findings from 9123 respondents who live and/or work in Seattle. The information can be used to inform and guide the SPD MCPP priorities to ensure that resident concerns are considered in the development and evolution of the Seattle Police Department's MCPPs for the city of Seattle, SPD Precinct, and Precinct micro-communities.

The survey findings can be used to assist SPD, community leaders, and residents to better understand the distinct concerns and perceptions of public safety of residents within micro-communities and the nature of the community and distinct neighborhoods. Concerns of residents within any given community differ with respect to concerns about crime and public safety and perceptions of public safety as measured by the survey scales in terms of concerns about crime and public safety and perceptions of public safety with respect to police legitimacy, social cohesion, informal social control, and fear of crime. The survey findings at the community and micro-community levels can be used to inform and guide law the Seattle Police Department in developing SPD MCPP priorities at the community and micro-community levels and to guide strategies in response to distinct community concerns.

The top public safety concerns coupled with the most prominent themes for the precinct and for each micro-community inform the SPD MCPP priorities to reflect the timely concerns of residents. Survey findings can assist SPD at the city, precinct, and micro-community levels to target areas of for improvement with respect to scale items that reflect resident perceptions of community public safety areas that stray from the ideal and negatively impact public safety. The community perceptions regarding public safety as measured through the survey instrument provides a snapshot of resident concerns about public safety and views on police legitimacy, social disorganization, informal social control, social cohesion, fear of crime across the city as a whole, in each SPD Precincts, and individual micro-communities. Ideally, a healthy community with positive police-community relations will have high police legitimacy, low social disorganization, high informal social control and social cohesion, and low fear of crime. Survey findings can assist SPD, the city of Seattle, and micro-communities to target areas of improvement with respect to scale items that reflect resident perceptions of community public safety areas that stray from the ideal and negatively impact public safety.

Appendix: Citywide Public Safety Concerns

Appendix: Citywide Public Safety Concerns	City	wide	Eas	st	Nor	th	Sou	th	South	west	We	st
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank								
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2259	6	0.2053	6	0.2239	6	0.1807	7	0.1962	7	0.3000	4
Alcohol use in public	0.1891	47	0.1656	51	0.1632	50	0.1727	49	0.1828	51	0.2759	39
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.3753	14	0.3606	8	0.4096	11	0.2775	26	0.2820	27	0.4523	6
Drug houses	0.1239	61	0.1034	64	0.1266	59	0.1244	60	0.1558	55	0.1195	64
Drug use in public	0.3195	21	0.2884	25	0.3296	21	0.2302	37	0.2695	32	0.4248	13
Marijuana use in public	0.1624	53	0.1451	55	0.1369	58	0.1418	58	0.1782	53	0.2307	49
Open air drug markets	0.1657	52	0.1515	53	0.1662	47	0.1240	61	0.0902	63	0.2553	44
Public intoxication	0.2455	37	0.2225	39	0.2353	38	0.1944	42	0.2151	47	0.3418	24
Homelessness	0.3512	3	0.2967	3	0.3824	2	0.2923	3	0.3703	3	0.3775	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.3955	10	0.2544	31	0.2192	41	0.1670	51	0.2256	42	0.3483	21
Car/RV camping	0.4769	3	0.2727	27	0.4637	4	0.3890	11	0.5096	2	0.3290	28
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.2309	42	0.4169	3	0.5236	2	0.3996	8	0.4699	9	0.5094	1
Squatting	0.4075	8	0.1926	44	0.2558	35	0.1845	47	0.2340	39	0.2538	47
Transient Camps	0.2452	38	0.3468	9	0.4498	7	0.3212	21	0.4125	16	0.4473	8
Police Capacity	0.4018	1	0.3434	1	0.4173	1	0.3681	1	0.4583	1	0.4204	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4274	7	0.3655	7	0.4436	9	0.4151	5	0.4889	4	0.4310	12
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4397	5	0.3913	4	0.4611	5	0.3926	9	0.4949	3	0.4468	9
Inadequate police staffing	0.4034	9	0.3277	16	0.4176	10	0.3543	15	0.4884	5	0.4346	11
Lack of police follow-up	0.3470	19	0.3190	18	0.3691	18	0.3243	20	0.3563	18	0.3450	22
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.3915	12	0.3138	19	0.3952	15	0.3543	14	0.4628	10	0.4447	10
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.2555	5	0.2563	5	0.2575	5	0.2420	5	0.2417	5	0.2690	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2470	36	0.2044	43	0.2506	36	0.2447	32	0.2615	34	0.2768	38
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2640	35	0.2329	35	0.2790	29	0.2384	35	0.2901	26	0.2690	41
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0827	68	0.0726	68	0.0806	66	0.0698	68	0.1108	61	0.0876	71
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1536	56	0.1565	52	0.1431	57	0.1660	52	0.1352	57	0.1736	55
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.1663	50	0.5450	1	0.5229	3	0.4366	3	0.4368	11	0.5043	2
Lack of resources related to social services	0.3539	18	0.3203	17	0.2733	30	0.2605	29	0.2207	44	0.2744	40
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.5020	2	0.1788	49	0.1638	48	0.1516	57	0.1334	58	0.1887	54
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.2748	31	0.3398	12	0.3464	20	0.3683	12	0.3454	19	0.3779	17
Property Crime	0.3647	2	0.3115	2	0.3819	3	0.3398	2	0.4047	2	0.3796	2
Auto theft	0.3724	15	0.2931	24	0.3867	16	0.4062	6	0.4723	8	0.3421	23
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5229	1	0.4473	2	0.5579	1	0.5097	1	0.5984	1	0.4974	3
Commercial burglary	0.2220	44	0.1789	48	0.2347	39	0.1917	43	0.2007	49	0.2772	37
Non-residential property crime	0.2393	40	0.1902	46	0.2472	37	0.1915	44	0.2481	37	0.3021	30
Property crime – general	0.4297	6	0.3660	6	0.4460	8	0.3917	10	0.4840	6	0.4566	5
Property damage	0.3924	11	0.3385	14	0.4019	14	0.3348	18	0.4200	14	0.4518	7
Residential burglary	0.3610	16	0.3096	20	0.4089	12	0.3529	16	0.4260	12	0.2903	34
Theft	0.3879	13	0.3408	11	0.4050	13	0.3606	13	0.4161	15	0.4059	15
Vandalism *The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents wh	0.3550	17	0.3394	13	0.3487	19	0.3190	22	0.3765	17	0.3929	16

^{*}The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Public Order Crime	0.1804	9	0.1462	9	0.1827	8	0.1689	9	0.1823	8	0.2181	9
Disorderly Behavior	0.2668	32	0.2427	33	0.2629	32	0.1893	46	0.2548	36	0.3582	19
Fireworks	0.1661	51	0.1119	62	0.1686	46	0.2089	39	0.2973	25	0.1053	69
Graffiti	0.3140	24	0.2697	28	0.3155	23	0.3516	17	0.2776	29	0.3552	20
Illegal sex work	0.1040	66	0.0411	70	0.1527	52	0.0899	67	0.0595	70	0.1173	65
Illegal street vending	0.0554	70	0.0440	69	0.0351	72	0.0393	70	0.0481	71	0.1198	63
Indecent exposure	0.1455	57	0.1300	57	0.1500	54	0.1072	65	0.0901	64	0.2141	50
Public Order Crime – general	0.1879	48	0.1513	54	0.1727	45	0.1800	48	0.1962	50	0.2538	45
Trespassing	0.2755	30	0.2254	38	0.2962	26	0.2430	33	0.3030	24	0.2934	33
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1086	65	0.0993	65	0.0909	64	0.1113	63	0.1139	60	0.1457	60
Quality of Life	0.1932	7	0.1866	7	0.1851	7	0.1731	8	0.2008	6	0.2238	8
Civility issues - general	0.3075	25	0.2973	23	0.2946	27	0.2203	38	0.2698	31	0.4242	14
Crowd Behavior	0.0802	69	0.0968	66	0.0437	71	0.0589	69	0.0896	65	0.1385	61
Dogs off-leash	0.1561	55	0.1689	50	0.1451	56	0.1700	50	0.2175	45	0.1142	66
Dogs on the Beach	0.0458	72	0.0287	72	0.0449	69	0.0348	72	0.1208	59	0.0244	72
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3178	23	0.2631	30	0.2733	31	0.2925	25	0.2818	28	0.3347	27
Issues in the Parks	0.4504	4	0.2862	26	0.3697	17	0.2641	28	0.3267	21	0.2856	35
Littering/dumping	0.2320	41	0.3909	5	0.4571	6	0.4635	2	0.4805	7	0.4717	4
Loitering	0.1740	49	0.2160	41	0.2146	42	0.1533	55	0.2281	40	0.3355	26
Noise levels	0.1232	62	0.1818	47	0.1471	55	0.1607	53	0.2117	48	0.2003	52
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1247	60	0.1251	59	0.1586	51	0.1582	54	0.1782	52	0.1951	53
Soliciting	0.2866	28	0.1244	60	0.1092	62	0.1094	64	0.1034	62	0.1694	56
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.1613	54	0.0343	71	0.0439	70	0.0375	71	0.0289	72	0.1125	67
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0526	71	0.2118	42	0.1047	63	0.1265	59	0.0732	69	0.1028	70
Traffic Safety	0.2679	4	0.2612	4	0.2609	4	0.2819	4	0.2955	4	0.2607	6
Bicycle safety	0.1412	58	0.3087	21	0.3131	24	0.2495	31	0.2748	30	0.2856	36
Drag racing	0.2986	26	0.0883	67	0.1258	60	0.1898	45	0.2260	41	0.1378	62
Parking issues	0.3180	22	0.3010	22	0.2874	28	0.2983	24	0.3252	22	0.2997	31
Pedestrian safety	0.2659	33	0.3440	10	0.3196	22	0.3050	23	0.3063	23	0.3046	29
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2248	43	0.2372	34	0.2599	33	0.2384	34	0.2426	38	0.3399	25
Traffic safety	0.3326	20	0.2165	40	0.2074	43	0.2672	27	0.2676	33	0.2099	51
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.2940	27	0.3328	15	0.3129	25	0.4248	4	0.4258	13	0.2477	48
Violent Crime	0.1842	8	0.1844	8	0.1504	9	0.2214	6	0.1773	9	0.2258	7
Assault	0.2820	29	0.2676	29	0.2598	34	0.2527	30	0.2571	35	0.3732	18
Domestic violence	0.0966	67	0.1106	63	0.0806	67	0.1121	62	0.0864	67	0.1076	68
Gang activity	0.1262	59	0.1401	56	0.0637	68	0.2072	40	0.1441	56	0.1609	58
Gun violence	0.2176	45	0.2279	36	0.1501	53	0.3300	19	0.2165	46	0.2564	43
Homicide	0.1144	64	0.1158	61	0.0851	65	0.1523	56	0.0872	66	0.1589	59
Robbery	0.2412	39	0.2274	37	0.2263	40	0.2357	36	0.2253	43	0.2967	32
Sexual assault	0.1206	63	0.1269	58	0.1189	61	0.0977	66	0.0744	68	0.1623	57
Shots fired	0.2657	34	0.2506	32	0.2058	44	0.4060	7	0.3340	20	0.2538	46
Violent crime - general	0.1936	46	0.1923	45	0.1633	49	0.1986	41	0.1705	54	0.2622	42

. . .

Appendix: Citywide Weighted Themes

Appendix. Cilywide Weigined memes		Citywide (3760)		East (1361)		North (2399)		South (597)		Southwest (606)		(1744)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0283	11	0.0343	9	0.0345	11	0.0094	14	0.0183	13	0.0303	12
City Politics	0.0593	5	0.0381	7	0.0551	7	0.0340	8	0.0946	2	0.0840	3
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0590	14	0.0327	24	0.0482	18	0.0305	20	0.1093	4	0.0935	7
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0289	28	0.0176	31	0.0265	30	0.0156	33	0.0347	26	0.0524	17
Unsupportive of city council	0.0900	7	0.0639	12	0.0906	8	0.0560	14	0.1399	2	0.1060	4
Drug Activity	0.0273	12	0.0240	12	0.0327	12	0.0190	11	0.0142	14	0.0361	9
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0085	41	0.0089	39	0.0092	40	0.0031	43	0.0025	43	0.0149	33
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0587	15	0.0524	14	0.0699	14	0.0352	18	0.0298	29	0.0826	8
Needles	0.0147	35	0.0107	36	0.0191	33	0.0186	30	0.0104	38	0.0108	35
Homelessness	0.0423	9	0.0290	10	0.0565	6	0.0335	9	0.0439	9	0.0346	10
Bring back navigation team	0.0051	43	0.0011	43	0.0053	43	0.0079	40	0.0041	42	0.0078	40
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0699	12	0.0467	17	0.1132	2	0.0297	22	0.0577	11	0.0492	18
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0483	18	0.0365	21	0.0563	16	0.0574	13	0.0540	13	0.0353	25
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0147	36	0.0129	33	0.0160	35	0.0230	26	0.0117	37	0.0104	36
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0888	8	0.0664	10	0.1124	3	0.0708	9	0.0871	6	0.0821	10
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0270	30	0.0103	38	0.0358	24	0.0122	36	0.0487	18	0.0231	30
Lawlessness	0.0379	10	0.0250	11	0.0404	9	0.0321	10	0.0493	8	0.0432	8
Crime is on the rise	0.0462	19	0.0282	26	0.0491	17	0.0658	11	0.0533	14	0.0421	22
Lawlessness general	0.0295	27	0.0187	29	0.0305	26	0.0090	39	0.0376	23	0.0488	19
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0378	24	0.0281	27	0.0417	21	0.0215	28	0.0570	12	0.0386	24
Police Capacity	0.0573	6	0.0454	6	0.0597	5	0.0611	6	0.0671	5	0.0561	6
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0121	37	0.0122	34	0.0102	38	0.0184	31	0.0162	35	0.0081	38
More police community outreach needed	0.0109	38	0.0038	41	0.0094	39	0.0256	24	0.0207	32	0.0043	43
More police needed	0.1616	1	0.1339	2	0.1647	1	0.1822	1	0.1896	1	0.1515	1
Slow or no police response	0.0619	13	0.0836	8	0.0846	11	0.0799	7	0.1295	3	0.1084	3
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0940	5	0.0392	20	0.0822	12	0.0591	12	0.0455	19	0.0624	15
Police Legitimacy	0.0445	8	0.0619	5	0.0395	10	0.0434	7	0.0331	11	0.0435	7
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0525	16	0.0655	11	0.0440	20	0.0464	16	0.0430	20	0.0658	14
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0261	31	0.0328	23	0.0274	29	0.0176	32	0.0162	34	0.0296	29
Lack of police accountability	0.0372	25	0.0514	15	0.0336	25	0.0229	27	0.0351	25	0.0397	23
Lack of police professionalism	0.0383	21	0.0442	18	0.0276	28	0.0156	34	0.0258	30	0.0155	32
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0382	23	0.0486	16	0.0258	31	0.0533	15	0.0306	28	0.0456	20
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0920	6	0.1299	3	0.0865	9	0.1074	4	0.0508	17	0.0790	11
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0271	29	0.1191	6	0.0708	13	0.0783	8	0.0508	16	0.0746	13
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0798	11	0.0080	40	0.0120	37	0.0067	41	0.0101	40	0.0077	41
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selecte	0.0095	40	0.0579	13	0.0282	27	0.0426	17	0.0355	24	0.0343	26 es. the

The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Police Reform	0.0205	14	0.0179	30	0.0146	36	0.0266	23	0.0178	33	0.0087	37
Demilitarize Police	0.0162	34	0.0413	19	0.0454	19	0.0187	29	0.0426	21	0.0312	28
Lack of adequate police training	0.0382	22	0.0104	37	0.0092	41	0.0112	38	0.0104	39	0.0080	39
Police should live in Seattle	0.0096	39	0.0258	28	0.0200	32	0.0131	35	0.0129	36	0.0124	34
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0180	32	0.0849	1	0.1900	1	0.0846	2	0.1050	1	0.1100	1
Property Crime	0.1291	1	0.0053	15	0.0039	15	0.0000	15	0.0086	15	0.0023	15
Protests	0.0040	15	0.0820	2	0.0946	2	0.0843	3	0.0943	3	0.1089	2
Public Order Crime	0.0932	2	0.0758	4	0.0771	4	0.0663	5	0.0555	7	0.0777	5
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0727	4	0.0113	35	0.0170	34	0.0256	25	0.0226	31	0.0183	31
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0179	33	0.0740	9	0.0850	10	0.0843	6	0.0953	5	0.1021	6
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0870	9	0.1375	1	0.1031	5	0.1090	3	0.0728	7	0.1052	5
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1073	2	0.1225	4	0.1021	6	0.1142	2	0.0624	9	0.0823	9
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0990	4	0.0157	32	0.0381	23	0.0327	19	0.0404	22	0.0548	16
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0360	26	0.0336	22	0.0917	7	0.0114	37	0.0318	27	0.0316	27
Public parks are unsafe	0.0507	17	0.0924	7	0.1110	4	0.0678	10	0.0675	8	0.1488	2
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1025	3	0.1197	5	0.0691	15	0.0850	5	0.0519	15	0.0788	12
Supportive of defunding police	0.0811	10	0.0177	14	0.0248	13	0.0176	12	0.0332	10	0.0240	13
SPD Supportive	0.0234	13	0.0032	42	0.0088	42	0.0051	42	0.0060	41	0.0056	42
SPD doing a great job	0.0062	42	0.0322	25	0.0408	22	0.0301	21	0.0604	10	0.0425	21
Supportive of SPD	0.0406	20	0.0367	8	0.0550	8	0.0717	4	0.0704	4	0.0318	11
Traffic Safety	0.0513	7	0.0803	3	0.0926	3	0.1031	1	0.0570	6	0.0822	4
Violent Crime	0.0848	3	0.0179	30	0.0146	36	0.0266	23	0.0178	33	0.0087	37

Appendix: East Public Safety Concerns

Appendix. Easi Fubile Safety Concerns	Е	ast	Capit	ol Hill	Central Area	Squire Park	Eastlake (Eas	st Precinct)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2053	6	0.2388	6	0.1331	8	0.2299	6
Alcohol use in public	0.1656	51	0.1919	49	0.0854	60	0.1748	49
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.3606	8	0.4088	5	0.2530	21	0.3814	18
Drug houses	0.1034	64	0.1188	65	0.0892	58	0.1078	63
Drug use in public	0.2884	25	0.3344	18	0.1911	33	0.3434	24
Marijuana use in public	0.1451	55	0.1655	56	0.0844	61	0.1781	48
Open air drug markets	0.1515	53	0.1759	53	0.1077	55	0.2121	39
Public intoxication	0.2225	39	0.2763	32	0.1206	52	0.2121	40
Homelessness	0.2967	3	0.3205	3	0.2161	5	0.4050	2
Aggressive panhandling	0.2544	31	0.3034	26	0.1429	41	0.3435	23
Car/RV camping	0.2727	27	0.2545	36	0.2868	13	0.4724	7
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4169	3	0.4430	2	0.2638	18	0.5405	3
Squatting	0.1926	44	0.2343	42	0.1177	53	0.1720	50
Transient Camps	0.3468	9	0.3673	9	0.2691	17	0.4965	6
Police Capacity	0.3434	11	0.3517	1	0.2593	1	0.3820	3
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.3655	7	0.3713	7	0.3001	8	0.4010	14
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.3913	4	0.3991	6	0.2953	9	0.3442	22
Inadequate police staffing	0.3277	16	0.3263	20	0.2167	28	0.5162	5
Lack of police follow-up	0.3190	18	0.3400	17	0.2735	16	0.2100	42
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.3138	19	0.3217	21	0.2108	30	0.4384	10
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2563	5	0.2733	4	0.2323	4	0.2667	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2044	43	0.2320	43	0.1366	45	0.2323	38
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2329	35	0.2512	38	0.1946	32	0.2121	41
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0726	68	0.0790	68	0.0580	65	0.0340	69
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1565	52	0.1649	57	0.1213	51	0.3383	26
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5450	1	0.5773	1	0.5208	1	0.6559	1
Lack of resources related to social services	0.3203	17	0.3446	15	0.3494	3	0.2022	43
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1788	49	0.1743	54	0.1903	34	0.0302	70
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3398	12	0.3631	11	0.2878	12	0.4287	12
Property Crime	0.3115	2	0.3219	2	0.2438	3	0.4061	1
Auto theft	0.2931	24	0.2793	30	0.2515	23	0.3776	19
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.4473	2	0.4319	3	0.3759	2	0.6035	2
Commercial burglary	0.1789	48	0.2056	46	0.1214	50	0.2904	31
Non-residential property crime	0.1902	46	0.2181	45	0.1377	44	0.2663	34
Property crime – general	0.3660	6	0.3695	8	0.2900	11	0.5338	4
Property damage	0.3385	14	0.3613	13	0.2518	22	0.4325	11
Residential burglary	0.3096	20	0.3040	25	0.2755	15	0.3238	29
Theft	0.3408	11	0.3620	12	0.2572	20	0.3985	15
Vandalism *The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected	0.3394	13	0.3651	10	0.2336	25	0.4285	13

The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Public Order Crime	0.1462	9	0.1698	9	0.854	9	0.1890	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.2427	33	0.2787	31	0.1386	43	0.1478	55
Fireworks	0.1119	62	0.1158	66	0.1160	54	0.1922	46
Graffiti	0.2697	28	0.2933	28	0.1413	42	0.3944	16
Illegal sex work	0.0411	70	0.0565	70	0.0074	72	0.1380	58
Illegal street vending	0.0440	69	0.0625	69	0.0159	69	0.0843	64
Indecent exposure	0.1300	57	0.1689	55	0.0514	67	0.2016	44
Public Order Crime – general	0.1513	54	0.1797	51	0.0856	59	0.2329	36
Trespassing	0.2254	38	0.2520	37	0.1573	38	0.1955	45
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0993	65	0.1206	64	0.0551	66	0.1140	62
Quality of Life	0.1866	7	0.2090	8	0.1385	7	0.2237	7
Civility issues - general	0.2973	23	0.3414	16	0.1848	35	0.3750	20
Crowd Behavior	0.0968	66	0.1244	62	0.0394	68	0.1485	54
Dogs off-leash	0.1689	50	0.1893	50	0.1262	48	0.1447	57
Dogs on the Beach	0.0287	72	0.0266	72	0.0099	71	0.1183	61
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2631	30	0.2947	27	0.2130	29	0.3410	25
Issues in the Parks	0.2862	26	0.2884	29	0.2286	27	0.4456	8
Littering/dumping	0.3909	5	0.4102	4	0.3043	7	0.4418	9
Loitering	0.2160	41	0.2409	41	0.1332	46	0.2423	35
Noise levels	0.1818	47	0.2039	48	0.1217	49	0.2904	32
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1251	59	0.1524	59	0.0835	62	0.0235	71
Soliciting	0.1244	60	0.1398	60	0.1074	56	0.1478	56
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0343	71	0.0381	71	0.0156	70	0.0000	72
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.2118	42	0.2674	33	0.2334	26	0.1893	47
Traffic Safety	0.2612	4	0.2648	5	0.2459	2	0.2723	4
Bicycle safety	0.3087	21	0.3308	19	0.2795	14	0.3886	17
Drag racing	0.0883	67	0.0898	67	0.0710	64	0.0680	65
Parking issues	0.3010	22	0.3049	24	0.2596	19	0.3737	21
Pedestrian safety	0.3440	10	0.3475	14	0.3289	5	0.3306	27
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2372	34	0.2603	34	0.1990	31	0.1546	52
Traffic safety	0.2165	40	0.2054	47	0.2365	24	0.3140	30
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3328	15	0.3152	23	0.3467	4	0.2765	33
Violent Crime	0.1844	8	0.2103	7	0.1710	6	0.1425	9
Assault	0.2676	29	0.3204	22	0.1519	40	0.3266	28
Domestic violence	0.1106	63	0.1230	63	0.0904	57	0.2329	37
Gang activity	0.1401	56	0.1526	58	0.1608	37	0.0537	66
Gun violence	0.2279	36	0.2442	40	0.2917	10	0.0537	67
Homicide	0.1158	61	0.1380	61	0.1267	47	0.0537	68
Robbery	0.2274	37	0.2602	35	0.1733	36	0.1513	53
Sexual assault	0.1269	58	0.1778	52	0.0758	63	0.1278	59
Shots fired	0.2506	32	0.2496	39	0.3126	6	0.1217	60
Violent crime - general	0.1923	45	0.2267	44	0.1557	39	0.1615	51

Index / Item	First	Hill	Judkins I North Bea		Madiso	n Park	Madro Les		Miller	Park	Montla Portage	
	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2663	6	0.1403	8	0.0993	9	0.1599	6	0.1679	6	0.0775	8
Alcohol use in public	0.2382	39	0.1327	49	0.0798	57	0.1138	53	0.2361	30	0.0558	49
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.4650	5	0.2792	20	0.1540	40	0.2520	28	0.3412	15	0.2382	18
Drug houses	0.0959	65	0.0472	68	0.0446	64	0.1777	33	0.0443	54	0.0095	65
Drug use in public	0.3676	19	0.1851	39	0.1722	34	0.2012	31	0.2436	28	0.1305	30
Marijuana use in public	0.2074	47	0.0693	64	0.0964	54	0.1557	43	0.1130	44	0.0320	55
Open air drug markets	0.2100	46	0.1116	56	0.0097	71	0.1291	47	0.0000	62	0.0446	51
Public intoxication	0.2799	33	0.1567	42	0.1385	45	0.0898	59	0.1967	36	0.0320	56
Homelessness	0.3240	3	0.2877	2	0.2242	4	0.3089	3	0.2741	4	0.2260	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.2984	31	0.2211	31	0.1841	30	0.1592	42	0.0749	52	0.1206	34
Car/RV camping	0.2314	42	0.3590	6	0.1883	28	0.4797	6	0.2006	35	0.2412	17
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4668	4	0.4112	4	0.4124	8	0.4465	9	0.5700	3	0.3828	3
Squatting	0.2530	38	0.1402	46	0.0514	63	0.1144	52	0.1700	40	0.0351	54
Transient Camps	0.3702	17	0.3069	13	0.2848	17	0.3446	16	0.3549	14	0.3504	5
Police Capacity	0.3671	1	0.2913	11	0.4262	1	0.4832	1	0.3519	2	0.2704	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.3770	16	0.2973	14	0.5045	3	0.5247	3	0.2755	23	0.2539	15
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4439	9	0.3467	7	0.4747	4	0.5476	2	0.4592	7	0.2649	11
Inadequate police staffing	0.3419	24	0.2922	15	0.4163	7	0.5052	5	0.4242	9	0.3727	4
Lack of police follow-up	0.3030	30	0.3204	9	0.3098	14	0.3891	14	0.2370	29	0.2321	19
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.3694	18	0.1999	35	0.4257	6	0.4493	8	0.3638	12	0.2286	20
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2973	4	0.2136	5	0.1827	5	0.2513	4	0.2007	5	0.1261	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2369	40	0.1213	53	0.1326	48	0.2820	21	0.0837	47	0.0825	40
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2683	34	0.1211	54	0.2083	25	0.2863	19	0.3065	21	0.0920	38
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0724	68	0.1104	57	0.0437	65	0.1052	56	0.0000	63	0.0142	63
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1770	52	0.1260	52	0.1360	47	0.1638	40	0.0443	55	0.1252	33
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5916	1	0.5220	1	0.3834	10	0.4585	7	0.3954	10	0.3312	8
Lack of resources related to social services	0.3449	23	0.2603	25	0.1693	36	0.2640	27	0.3374	17	0.1127	35
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.2565	37	0.1722	41	0.1512	41	0.1734	35	0.1544	42	0.0699	46
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.4309	11	0.2754	21	0.2368	23	0.2770	25	0.2843	22	0.1812	25
Property Crime	0.3322	2	0.2827	4	0.3597	2	0.3423	2	0.3860	1	0.2541	2
Auto theft	0.3192	28	0.2875	18	0.3383	13	0.4447	10	0.4279	8	0.2631	12
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.4516	6	0.5085	2	0.5630	1	0.5564	1	0.6392	2	0.4777	1
Commercial burglary	0.2006	49	0.0953	60	0.1826	32	0.1606	41	0.0264	61	0.0875	39
Non-residential property crime	0.1510	54	0.2001	34	0.2591	21	0.1158	51	0.0443	56	0.1458	28
Property crime – general	0.3914	13	0.3132	12	0.4069	9	0.4140	11	0.6572	1	0.3427	6
Property damage	0.4443	8	0.2901	17	0.2741	19	0.2803	22	0.3273	19	0.2014	24
Residential burglary	0.1851	50	0.2918	16	0.5280	2	0.5230	4	0.5429	4	0.3412	7
Theft	0.3546	21	0.3166	10	0.3045	15	0.4135	12	0.4986	5	0.2206	21
Vandalism	0.4915	3	0.2416	28	0.3811	11	0.1719	36	0.3107	20	0.2065	23

Public Order Crime	0.1802	9	0.1161	9	0.1100	7	0.0972	9	0.1040	8	0.0819	7
Disorderly Behavior	0.3776	15	0.1260	51	0.1832	31	0.1758	34	0.2143	34	0.0794	41
Fireworks	0.1060	63	0.1535	43	0.1196	49	0.0812	64	0.0000	64	0.0302	59
Graffiti	0.4318	10	0.1961	36	0.2270	24	0.1312	46	0.1879	37	0.2574	14
Illegal sex work	0.0269	70	0.0443	69	0.0141	69	0.0077	71	0.0443	57	0.0237	61
Illegal street vending	0.0284	69	0.0177	71	0.0000	72	0.0276	68	0.0787	49	0.0095	67
Indecent exposure	0.1347	56	0.0994	58	0.0641	60	0.0232	70	0.1130	45	0.0967	37
Public Order Crime – general	0.1804	51	0.0812	62	0.1694	35	0.0912	58	0.0700	53	0.0572	48
Trespassing	0.2276	43	0.2455	27	0.1560	39	0.2498	29	0.2273	32	0.1317	29
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1080	61	0.0812	61	0.0571	62	0.0872	60	0.0000	65	0.0508	50
Quality of Life	0.2240	7	0.1531	7	0.1408	6	0.1411	8	0.1338	7	0.0894	6
Civility issues - general	0.4504	7	0.2090	32	0.1424	43	0.1268	49	0.2498	26	0.1255	32
Crowd Behavior	0.1127	60	0.1138	55	0.0359	67	0.0422	65	0.0000	66	0.0000	68
Dogs off-leash	0.1403	55	0.1845	40	0.1781	33	0.1817	32	0.2322	31	0.0714	45
Dogs on the Beach	0.0173	72	0.0000	72	0.1039	52	0.0972	57	0.0000	67	0.0095	66
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3325	25	0.2468	26	0.1903	27	0.1675	37	0.0749	51	0.0320	57
Issues in the Parks	0.3454	22	0.2689	23	0.2659	20	0.2941	18	0.3283	18	0.2596	13
Littering/dumping	0.5141	2	0.3147	11	0.2983	16	0.3637	15	0.3562	13	0.3053	9
Loitering	0.3589	20	0.2069	33	0.0990	53	0.1379	45	0.0837	48	0.0160	62
Noise levels	0.2201	45	0.1305	50	0.2048	26	0.1285	48	0.1130	46	0.0715	44
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1279	57	0.0756	63	0.0335	68	0.1674	38	0.1788	39	0.0142	64
Soliciting	0.1547	53	0.0575	67	0.1567	38	0.0383	66	0.0443	58	0.0585	47
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0177	71	0.0390	70	0.0141	70	0.0077	72	0.0787	50	0.1672	27
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1205	58	0.1426	45	0.1077	51	0.0817	63	0.0000	68	0.0320	58
Traffic Safety	0.2906	5	0.2860	3	0.2317	3	0.2204	5	0.2992	3	0.2132	4
Bicycle safety	0.2883	32	0.2638	24	0.2756	18	0.2693	26	0.2674	24	0.2939	10
Drag racing	0.0752	67	0.1332	48	0.0703	59	0.1249	50	0.1573	41	0.0748	43
Parking issues	0.3964	12	0.2850	19	0.2421	22	0.3174	17	0.2236	33	0.1684	26
Pedestrian safety	0.3906	14	0.4756	3	0.3432	12	0.1533	44	0.4729	6	0.2480	16
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3309	27	0.2304	29	0.1108	50	0.1055	55	0.3378	16	0.0779	42
Traffic safety	0.2346	41	0.2727	22	0.1389	44	0.1668	39	0.3766	11	0.2179	22
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3181	29	0.3416	8	0.4411	5	0.4059	13	0.2586	25	0.4114	2
Violent Crime	0.1882	8	0.1652	6	0.1091	8	0.1536	7	0.0738	9	0.0388	9
Assault	0.3321	26	0.2295	30	0.1866	29	0.0836	62	0.2449	27	0.1298	31
Domestic violence	0.1033	64	0.1400	47	0.1614	37	0.0377	67	0.0443	59	0.0000	69
Gang activity	0.1145	59	0.0956	59	0.0419	66	0.2800	23	0.0000	69	0.0000	70
Gun violence	0.2241	44	0.1865	38	0.0704	58	0.2772	24	0.0000	70	0.0301	60
Homicide	0.1069	62	0.0634	66	0.0607	61	0.0843	61	0.0000	71	0.0000	71
Robbery	0.2606	36	0.1877	37	0.1376	46	0.2015	30	0.1524	43	0.1000	36
Sexual assault	0.0847	66	0.0667	65	0.0954	55	0.0275	69	0.0000	72	0.0000	72
Shots fired	0.2657	35	0.3744	5	0.0842	56	0.2826	20	0.0394	60	0.0446	52
Violent crime - general	0.2024	48	0.1430	44	0.1432	42	0.1077	54	0.1830	38	0.0444	53

. . .

Appendix: East Weighted Themes

Index / Item	East	(779)	Capitol H	lill (412)	Central Squire Pa		Eastl East Pre	ake – cinct (17)	First H	ill (99)
	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0343	9	0.0365	8	0.0232	9	0.0000	10	0.0427	8
City Politics	0.0381	7	0.0335	9	0.0213	11	0.0606	4	0.0507	6
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0327	24	0.0250	26	0.0286	20	0.0000	20	0.0539	14
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0176	31	0.0167	33	0.0144	28	0.0000	21	0.0188	27
Unsupportive of city council	0.0639	12	0.0587	11	0.0208	22	0.1817	4	0.0794	8
Drug Activity	0.0240	12	0.0210	12	0.0124	12	0.0566	5	0.0451	7
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0089	39	0.0058	39	0.0091	31	0.0000	22	0.0100	30
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0524	14	0.0450	18	0.0280	21	0.1697	5	0.1253	3
Needles	0.0107	36	0.0122	34	0.0000	39	0.0000	23	0.0000	38
Homelessness	0.0290	10	0.0249	10	0.0251	8	0.0302	7	0.0357	9
Bring back navigation team	0.0011	43	0.0000	43	0.0081	35	0.0000	24	0.0000	39
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0467	17	0.0466	17	0.0117	29	0.0526	14	0.0774	9
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0365	21	0.0352	23	0.0652	8	0.0000	25	0.0308	23
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0129	33	0.0108	37	0.0172	25	0.0000	26	0.0060	35
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0664	10	0.0543	13	0.0485	11	0.0762	8	0.0941	6
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0103	38	0.0024	42	0.0000	40	0.0526	15	0.0060	36
Lawlessness	0.0250	11	0.0185	14	0.0078	13	0.0000	11	0.0209	11
Crime is on the rise	0.0282	26	0.0179	31	0.0180	24	0.0000	27	0.0129	29
Lawlessness general	0.0187	29	0.0184	30	0.0000	41	0.0000	28	0.0089	32
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0281	27	0.0194	27	0.0054	37	0.0000	29	0.0408	17
Police Capacity	0.0454	6	0.0457	6	0.0300	7	0.0831	2	0.0544	5
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0122	34	0.0115	36	0.0054	36	0.0353	18	0.0207	26
More police community outreach needed	0.0038	41	0.0041	41	0.0000	42	0.0000	30	0.0069	34
More police needed	0.1339	2	0.1510	3	0.0557	10	0.2287	3	0.1204	4
Slow or no police response	0.0836	8	0.0683	10	0.0765	7	0.2347	2	0.1018	5
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0392	20	0.0395	20	0.0423	14	0.0000	31	0.0764	10
Police Legitimacy	0.0619	5	0.0722	4	0.0598	4	0.0183	8	0.0321	10
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0655	11	0.0553	12	0.0966	6	0.0000	32	0.0339	20
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0328	23	0.0490	16	0.0334	17	0.0000	33	0.0069	33
Lack of police accountability	0.0514	15	0.0494	15	0.0471	12	0.0593	11	0.0508	15
Lack of police professionalism	0.0442	18	0.0749	8	0.0344	16	0.0000	36	0.0158	28
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0486	16	0.0507	14	0.1049	5	0.0000	34	0.0277	25
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.1299	3	0.1584	1	0.1205	4	0.0526	16	0.0707	13
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.1191	6	0.0432	19	0.0317	18	0.0000	37	0.0348	18
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0080	40	0.1569	2	0.0641	9	0.0526	17	0.0478	16
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0579	13	0.0120	35	0.0054	38	0.0000	35	0.0000	40

^{*}The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Police Reform	0.0239	13	0.0248	11	0.0218	10	0.0088	9	0.0177	13
Demilitarize Police	0.0179	30	0.0192	28	0.0154	27	0.0000	38	0.0339	19
Lack of adequate police training	0.0413	19	0.0352	24	0.0376	15	0.0353	19	0.0308	22
Police should live in Seattle	0.0104	37	0.0072	38	0.0182	23	0.0000	39	0.0060	37
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0258	28	0.0375	21	0.0162	26	0.0000	40	0.0000	41
Property Crime	0.0849	1	0.0744	3	0.0707	2	0.0000	12	0.0546	4
Protests	0.0053	15	0.0100	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	13	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.0820	2	0.0880	1	0.0440	6	0.0698	3	0.0952	1
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0758	4	0.0746	2	0.0702	3	0.0882	1	0.0686	2
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0113	35	0.0170	32	0.0081	32	0.0000	41	0.0089	31
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0740	9	0.0713	9	0.0295	19	0.2576	1	0.0739	11
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1375	1	0.1278	5	0.1548	2	0.0945	7	0.1506	1
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.1225	4	0.1068	6	0.1569	1	0.0593	12	0.1335	2
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0157	32	0.0184	29	0.0081	33	0.0698	9	0.0000	42
Public parks are unsafe	0.0336	22	0.0292	25	0.0117	30	0.0000	42	0.0308	21
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0924	7	0.0915	7	0.0458	13	0.1653	6	0.0711	12
Supportive of defunding police	0.1197	5	0.1343	4	0.1466	3	0.0593	13	0.0799	7
SPD Supportive	0.0177	14	0.0207	13	0.0040	14	0.0349	6	0.0149	14
SPD doing a great job	0.0032	42	0.0045	40	0.0000	43	0.0000	43	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0322	25	0.0368	22	0.0081	34	0.0698	10	0.0297	24
Traffic Safety	0.0367	8	0.0389	7	0.0480	5	0.0000	14	0.0189	12
Violent Crime	0.0803	3	0.0653	5	0.1311	1	0.0000	15	0.0612	3

Index / Item		ns Park/ acon Hill (36)	Madiso (3		Madro Leschi		Miller (8		Montla Portage E	
	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0711	6	0.0182	10	0.0289	11	0.0000	9	0.0247	13
City Politics	0.0623	8	0.0202	9	0.0870	3	0.0000	10	0.0440	9
City politics decreasing public safety	0.1151	8	0.0211	19	0.0312	23	0.0000	12	0.0247	29
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0247	24	0.0000	24	0.0619	19	0.0000	13	0.0000	32
Unsupportive of city council	0.0471	18	0.0393	15	0.1677	1	0.0000	14	0.1072	9
Drug Activity	0.0543	9	0.0061	13	0.0000	13	0.0000	11	0.0476	8
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	29	0.0000	25	0.0000	31	0.0000	15	0.1059	10
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0938	10	0.0182	21	0.0000	32	0.0000	16	0.0000	33
Needles	0.0690	12	0.0000	26	0.0000	33	0.0000	17	0.0369	26
Homelessness	0.0239	11	0.0248	6	0.0545	7	0.0000	12	0.0689	6
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	30	0.0000	27	0.0000	34	0.0000	18	0.0000	34
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0495	16	0.0000	28	0.0835	10	0.0000	19	0.0904	15
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0000	31	0.0000	29	0.0144	28	0.0000	20	0.1307	8
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	32	0.0000	30	0.0619	20	0.0000	21	0.0247	31
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0690	13	0.1093	9	0.0835	11	0.0000	22	0.1675	6
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0247	25	0.0393	14	0.0835	12	0.0000	23	0.0000	35
Lawlessness	0.0220	12	0.0243	7	0.0599	5	0.1844	4	0.1386	2
Crime is on the rise	0.0247	26	0.0000	31	0.0979	8	0.2767	4	0.1731	3
Lawlessness general	0.0413	19	0.0182	22	0.0168	26	0.0000	24	0.1399	7
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0000	33	0.0546	13	0.0649	17	0.2767	5	0.1029	11
Police Capacity	0.0252	10	0.0540	3	0.0459	8	0.1142	5	0.0412	10
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0247	27	0.0000	32	0.0000	35	0.0700	10	0.0000	36
More police community outreach needed	0.0000	34	0.0000	33	0.0000	36	0.0700	11	0.0000	37
More police needed	0.0687	15	0.1304	7	0.1503	3	0.3943	1	0.1685	5
Slow or no police response	0.0166	28	0.1938	1	0.1106	6	0.1512	6	0.0785	16
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0413	20	0.0000	34	0.0144	30	0.0000	25	0.0000	38
Police Legitimacy	0.0700	7	0.0965	1	0.0217	12	0.0131	8	0.0766	3
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.1222	6	0.1611	6	0.0144	27	0.0000	26	0.1731	4
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0000	35	0.0000	35	0.0000	37	0.0000	27	0.0415	20
Lack of police accountability	0.0000	36	0.1827	3	0.0216	25	0.0000	28	0.0702	17
Lack of police professionalism	0.0279	21	0.1827	4	0.0243	24	0.0000	32	0.0415	22
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0495	17	0.0000	36	0.0000	38	0.0000	29	0.0415	21
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.1584	5	0.1281	8	0.0674	15	0.0000	30	0.0949	13
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0279	22	0.1927	2	0.0000	40	0.0000	33	0.1029	12
Unsupportive of SPD	0.2443	2	0.0211	20	0.0674	16	0.1176	8	0.0949	14
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0000	37	0.0000	37	0.0000	39	0.0000	31	0.0287	27

Police Reform	0.0219	13	0.0098	12	0.0459	9	0.0378	7	0.0330	11
Demilitarize Police	0.0000	38	0.0000	38	0.0000	41	0.0000	34	0.0415	23
Lack of adequate police training	0.0876	11	0.0393	16	0.0826	13	0.1512	7	0.0370	24
Police should live in Seattle	0.0000	39	0.0000	39	0.0626	18	0.0000	35	0.0000	39
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0000	40	0.0000	40	0.0383	22	0.0000	36	0.0534	19
Property Crime	0.1216	4	0.0393	4	0.2032	1	0.4987	1	0.1740	1
Protests	0.0000	14	0.0000	14	0.0000	14	0.0000	13	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.1429	1	0.0211	8	0.0360	10	0.3943	2	0.0663	7
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.1345	3	0.0573	2	0.0778	4	0.1022	6	0.0699	5
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0000	41	0.0000	41	0.0000	42	0.0000	37	0.0000	40
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.1182	7	0.0575	12	0.1388	4	0.0000	38	0.0656	18
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.2402	3	0.0818	10	0.1665	2	0.3501	2	0.0000	41
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.3175	1	0.0636	11	0.0674	14	0.3501	3	0.0369	25
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0279	23	0.0306	17	0.0000	43	0.0000	39	0.0247	30
Public parks are unsafe	0.0690	14	0.0182	23	0.0431	21	0.0000	40	0.2058	2
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0958	9	0.1792	5	0.1177	5	0.0000	41	0.2262	1
Supportive of defunding police	0.2074	4	0.0272	18	0.0890	9	0.1176	9	0.0000	42
SPD Supportive	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0599	6	0.0000	14	0.0124	14
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	42	0.0000	42	0.0144	29	0.0000	42	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0000	43	0.0000	43	0.1053	7	0.0000	43	0.0247	28
Traffic Safety	0.1052	5	0.0306	5	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0287	12
Violent Crime	0.1398	2	0.0182	11	0.1363	2	0.2767	3	0.0739	4

Appendix: North Public Safety Concerns

Index / Item	No	rth	Ballard ((North)	Ballard (South)	Bitter	lake	Frem	ont
index / item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2239	6	0.2823	4	0.3189	4	0.2459	6	0.1798	6
Alcohol use in public	0.1632	50	0.2108	44	0.2285	48	0.1496	55	0.1236	53
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.4096	11	0.4866	11	0.5348	7	0.4822	7	0.3494	16
Drug houses	0.1266	59	0.1616	53	0.2289	47	0.1203	59	0.0833	61
Drug use in public	0.3296	21	0.4291	17	0.4689	17	0.3907	19	0.2987	21
Marijuana use in public	0.1369	58	0.1394	54	0.1461	59	0.1210	58	0.1345	51
Open air drug markets	0.1662	47	0.2365	42	0.2951	41	0.2407	36	0.0754	62
Public intoxication	0.2353	38	0.3119	29	0.3299	33	0.2171	41	0.1940	42
Homelessness	0.3824	2	0.4678	2	0.4981	1	0.3699	3	0.3282	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.2192	41	0.3023	31	0.3161	36	0.1854	48	0.1592	45
Car/RV camping	0.4637	4	0.5666	3	0.5670	5	0.4228	14	0.4041	6
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5236	2	0.6138	2	0.6387	1	0.5275	4	0.4823	1
Squatting	0.2558	35	0.3287	26	0.3912	28	0.2437	34	0.1921	43
Transient Camps	0.4498	7	0.5277	5	0.5774	4	0.4701	8	0.4033	7
Police Capacity	0.4173	1	0.4847	1	0.4902	2	0.4518	1	0.3533	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4436	9	0.5128	7	0.5113	10	0.4959	6	0.4129	5
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4611	5	0.5250	6	0.5450	6	0.5504	2	0.3915	9
Inadequate police staffing	0.4176	10	0.4854	12	0.4819	13	0.3841	20	0.3423	17
Lack of police follow-up	0.3691	18	0.4367	15	0.4336	22	0.4102	16	0.2668	27
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.3952	15	0.4634	13	0.4791	14	0.4186	15	0.3532	15
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2575	5	0.2813	5	0.2978	5	0.2786	4	0.2257	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2506	36	0.3035	30	0.2748	42	0.3230	26	0.2372	32
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2790	29	0.3202	28	0.3279	34	0.3481	22	0.2285	33
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0806	66	0.0778	65	0.1051	62	0.0778	66	0.1026	58
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1431	57	0.1386	55	0.1749	54	0.1134	60	0.1045	57
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5229	3	0.5600	4	0.5830	3	0.5048	5	0.4704	3
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2733	30	0.2959	33	0.2990	40	0.3116	27	0.2068	38
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1638	48	0.1622	52	0.1981	52	0.1567	54	0.1483	48
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3464	20	0.3920	22	0.4198	24	0.3937	18	0.3075	20
Property Crime	0.3819	3	0.4325	3	0.4748	3	0.3821	2	0.3485	2
Auto theft	0.3867	16	0.4581	14	0.4562	19	0.4360	12	0.3282	19
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5579	1	0.6186	1	0.5837	2	0.6385	1	0.4757	2
Commercial burglary	0.2347	39	0.2965	32	0.3729	29	0.1980	45	0.1789	44
Non-residential property crime	0.2472	37	0.2761	39	0.4127	25	0.2171	40	0.2399	31
Property crime – general	0.4460	8	0.5045	8	0.5235	9	0.4263	13	0.4457	4
Property damage	0.4019	14	0.4220	19	0.5085	11	0.3998	17	0.3826	10
Residential burglary	0.4089	12	0.4880	10	0.4766	15	0.4492	11	0.3696	11
Theft	0.4050	13	0.4323	16	0.4944	12	0.3820	21	0.3551	14
Vandalism	0.3487	19	0.3962	21	0.4451	21	0.2921	30	0.3611	13

The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Public Order Crime	0.1827	8	0.2020	7	0.2258	8	0.2275	7	0.1509	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.2629	32	0.3323	25	0.4244	23	0.2324	37	0.2496	29
Fireworks	0.1686	46	0.1659	50	0.1378	60	0.2174	39	0.2116	37
Graffiti	0.3155	23	0.4061	20	0.3452	31	0.2430	35	0.2526	28
Illegal sex work	0.1527	52	0.1128	60	0.1473	57	0.5415	3	0.0633	64
Illegal street vending	0.0351	72	0.0439	70	0.0552	71	0.0393	70	0.0296	72
Indecent exposure	0.1500	54	0.1770	47	0.2085	51	0.1228	57	0.1099	55
Public Order Crime – general	0.1727	45	0.1852	46	0.2290	46	0.2123	43	0.1077	56
Trespassing	0.2962	26	0.2923	35	0.3920	27	0.3084	28	0.2779	26
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0909	64	0.1025	62	0.0925	66	0.1304	56	0.0558	66
Quality of Life	0.1851	7	0.1998	8	0.2383	7	0.1810	8	0.1669	7
Civility issues - general	0.2946	27	0.3780	23	0.4569	18	0.2748	31	0.2428	30
Crowd Behavior	0.0437	71	0.0434	71	0.0674	70	0.0376	71	0.0348	69
Dogs off-leash	0.1451	56	0.1647	51	0.1462	58	0.0546	69	0.1282	52
Dogs on the Beach	0.0449	69	0.0834	63	0.0727	68	0.0604	68	0.0309	71
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2733	31	0.3237	27	0.3520	30	0.2547	32	0.2875	23
Issues in the Parks	0.3697	17	0.4247	18	0.4700	16	0.4611	9	0.2819	25
Littering/dumping	0.4571	6	0.4995	9	0.5285	8	0.4514	10	0.3980	8
Loitering	0.2146	42	0.2180	43	0.3090	37	0.1892	47	0.1994	40
Noise levels	0.1471	55	0.1073	61	0.2330	45	0.0756	67	0.2031	39
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1586	51	0.1711	49	0.2171	50	0.1666	52	0.1411	49
Soliciting	0.1092	62	0.1147	59	0.1184	61	0.2306	38	0.0375	67
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0439	70	0.0185	72	0.0328	72	0.0141	72	0.0334	70
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1047	63	0.0506	69	0.0935	65	0.0830	65	0.1509	47
Traffic Safety	0.2609	4	0.2440	6	0.2630	6	0.2510	5	0.2620	4
Bicycle safety	0.3131	24	0.3356	24	0.4054	26	0.1840	49	0.3373	18
Drag racing	0.1258	60	0.0659	66	0.0706	69	0.1722	51	0.1014	59
Parking issues	0.2874	28	0.2767	38	0.3003	39	0.2160	42	0.2827	24
Pedestrian safety	0.3196	22	0.2955	34	0.3028	38	0.3302	25	0.3634	12
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2599	33	0.2753	40	0.3352	32	0.3477	24	0.2254	35
Traffic safety	0.2074	43	0.1761	48	0.1799	53	0.1585	53	0.2282	34
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3129	25	0.2828	36	0.2468	44	0.3480	23	0.2954	22
Violent Crime	0.1504	9	0.1478	9	0.2081	9	0.1653	9	0.1223	9
Assault	0.2598	34	0.2821	37	0.4454	20	0.2476	33	0.2169	36
Domestic violence	0.0806	67	0.0632	68	0.1015	63	0.0852	63	0.0749	63
Gang activity	0.0637	68	0.0650	67	0.0755	67	0.0838	64	0.0374	68
Gun violence	0.1501	53	0.1308	58	0.1715	56	0.1779	50	0.0940	60
Homicide	0.0851	65	0.0790	64	0.0990	64	0.1072	61	0.0623	65
Robbery	0.2263	40	0.2576	41	0.3233	35	0.2089	44	0.1984	41
Sexual assault	0.1189	61	0.1327	56	0.1729	55	0.0934	62	0.1182	54
Shots fired	0.2058	44	0.1318	57	0.2233	49	0.2926	29	0.1584	46
Violent crime - general	0.1633	49	0.1880	45	0.2600	43	0.1915	46	0.1400	50

	Greenwood		Lake (City	North	gate	Phinne	y Ridge
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.1785	6	0.2480	6	0.2058	6	0.2058	6
Alcohol use in public	0.1044	60	0.2089	49	0.1439	56	0.1517	49
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.3833	8	0.3997	16	0.4304	7	0.3621	17
Drug houses	0.0773	66	0.1853	52	0.0969	65	0.0712	64
Drug use in public	0.2848	22	0.3220	26	0.2985	25	0.3220	20
Marijuana use in public	0.1091	58	0.1586	59	0.1204	59	0.1633	46
Open air drug markets	0.1157	57	0.2123	48	0.1295	58	0.1467	50
Public intoxication	0.1750	41	0.2491	41	0.2210	39	0.2236	36
Homelessness	0.3234	2	0.4004	2	0.3686	2	0.3623	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.1619	45	0.2197	47	0.2368	35	0.1984	42
Car/RV camping	0.4039	4	0.5212	3	0.4267	8	0.5080	2
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4796	2	0.5092	5	0.5049	3	0.5017	3
Squatting	0.1742	42	0.2744	35	0.2128	41	0.1861	44
Transient Camps	0.3972	5	0.4776	6	0.4617	5	0.4173	10
Police Capacity	0.3625	1	0.4388	1	0.3988	1	0.3972	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.3923	6	0.4680	8	0.3848	12	0.4486	5
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.3782	10	0.4547	9	0.4570	6	0.4301	7
Inadequate police staffing	0.3511	12	0.4417	11	0.3876	11	0.4246	8
Lack of police follow-up	0.3409	14	0.4136	15	0.3835	13	0.2996	23
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.3500	13	0.4161	14	0.3809	15	0.3830	15
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2237	5	0.2735	5	0.2648	5	0.2612	4
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2001	34	0.2898	33	0.2431	34	0.3183	22
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2380	30	0.2815	34	0.2909	28	0.3347	18
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0891	62	0.0928	66	0.0597	68	0.1271	54
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1213	54	0.1727	56	0.1603	51	0.1631	47
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.4414	3	0.5315	2	0.5607	2	0.4888	4
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2409	29	0.2644	36	0.3065	23	0.2165	38
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1335	52	0.1762	54	0.1598	52	0.1231	57
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3250	16	0.3795	20	0.3376	20	0.3184	21
Property Crime	0.3116	3	0.4000	3	0.3515	3	0.3695	2
Auto theft	0.2741	25	0.3682	21	0.3691	18	0.4039	13
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.4994	1	0.5776	1	0.5752	1	0.5362	1
Commercial burglary	0.1763	39	0.2520	39	0.1746	47	0.2410	31
Non-residential property crime	0.1654	44	0.2628	37	0.2004	44	0.2306	35
Property crime – general	0.3822	9	0.4729	7	0.4173	9	0.4147	11
Property damage	0.3283	15	0.4436	10	0.3795	16	0.4176	9
Residential burglary	0.3215	17	0.4297	12	0.3672	19	0.4113	12
Theft	0.3651	11	0.4287	13	0.3824	14	0.3732	16
Vandalism	0.2919	20	0.3642	23	0.2977	26	0.2969	24

Public Order Crime	0.1740	7	0.1978	9	0.2016	7	0.1915	7
Disorderly Behavior	0.1997	35	0.2603	38	0.2155	40	0.2080	41
Fireworks	0.1474	47	0.2339	45	0.2006	43	0.1971	43
Graffiti	0.2478	27	0.3678	22	0.3107	22	0.2948	25
Illegal sex work	0.3166	18	0.1307	63	0.2828	29	0.2101	40
Illegal street vending	0.0193	72	0.0308	71	0.0338	70	0.0470	67
Indecent exposure	0.1414	49	0.1597	57	0.1494	55	0.1688	45
Public Order Crime – general	0.1361	51	0.1809	53	0.2024	42	0.1577	48
Trespassing	0.2761	24	0.3337	25	0.3055	24	0.3339	19
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0816	63	0.0828	67	0.1135	62	0.1058	60
Quality of Life	0.1524	8	0.2061	8	0.1702	8	0.1593	8
Civility issues - general	0.2069	33	0.3161	28	0.2474	33	0.2429	30
Crowd Behavior	0.0238	69	0.0428	70	0.0470	69	0.0171	72
Dogs off-leash	0.1460	48	0.1455	61	0.1503	54	0.1462	51
Dogs on the Beach	0.0219	71	0.0476	69	0.0145	72	0.0202	71
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2614	26	0.3146	29	0.2307	38	0.2376	32
Issues in the Parks	0.2878	21	0.3806	19	0.3141	21	0.4304	6
Littering/dumping	0.3923	7	0.5197	4	0.4649	4	0.4019	14
Loitering	0.1754	40	0.3064	32	0.1921	46	0.1297	53
Noise levels	0.0933	61	0.1743	55	0.1179	61	0.1257	55
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1199	56	0.2271	46	0.1627	49	0.1156	58
Soliciting	0.1090	59	0.1174	64	0.1307	57	0.1039	61
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0223	70	0.0160	72	0.0204	71	0.0329	69
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1210	55	0.0708	68	0.1201	60	0.0663	65
Traffic Safety	0.2243	4	0.3033	4	0.2774	4	0.2316	5
Bicycle safety	0.1984	36	0.2433	43	0.2498	32	0.2798	26
Drag racing	0.1402	50	0.1963	50	0.1534	53	0.0933	62
Parking issues	0.2130	32	0.3195	27	0.2739	30	0.2752	27
Pedestrian safety	0.2454	28	0.3570	24	0.3720	17	0.2623	28
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2773	23	0.3145	30	0.2541	31	0.2350	34
Traffic safety	0.1837	38	0.3107	31	0.2346	37	0.2165	39
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3117	19	0.3822	18	0.4039	10	0.2593	29
Violent Crime	0.1299	9	0.2082	7	0.1598	9	0.1154	9
Assault	0.1942	37	0.2503	40	0.2359	36	0.2223	37
Domestic violence	0.0689	68	0.1079	65	0.1015	64	0.0249	70
Gang activity	0.0703	67	0.1515	60	0.0761	67	0.0431	68
Gun violence	0.1676	43	0.2378	44	0.1954	45	0.0846	63
Homicide	0.0795	65	0.1590	58	0.0961	66	0.1152	59
Robbery	0.1478	46	0.2470	42	0.1701	48	0.2351	33
Sexual assault	0.0806	64	0.1311	62	0.1088	63	0.0567	66
Shots fired	0.2339	31	0.3972	17	0.2929	27	0.1243	56
Violent crime - general								

	Roosevelt	/ Ravenna	Sand I	Point	University	District	Wallingford		
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	
Drugs & Alcohol	0.1356	7	0.1056	8	0.2183	6	0.2247	6	
Alcohol use in public	0.0853	59	0.0782	58	0.2424	33	0.1312	54	
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.3106	21	0.2203	27	0.3076	19	0.4383	13	
Drug houses	0.0620	63	0.0447	67	0.0922	64	0.1451	53	
Drug use in public	0.1977	31	0.1488	37	0.2519	31	0.3521	23	
Marijuana use in public	0.1205	50	0.0935	52	0.2108	43	0.1283	55	
Open air drug markets	0.0549	66	0.0552	63	0.1242	60	0.1474	52	
Public intoxication	0.1184	51	0.0985	49	0.2991	21	0.2304	38	
Homelessness	0.3126	3	0.2718	3	0.2597	3	0.4066	2	
Aggressive panhandling	0.1066	53	0.0935	53	0.2058	45	0.2489	36	
Car/RV camping	0.4007	5	0.4311	3	0.2093	44	0.4988	6	
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4694	3	0.3787	8	0.3980	6	0.5506	3	
Squatting	0.2092	30	0.1286	43	0.2185	40	0.2636	31	
Transient Camps	0.3773	10	0.3272	15	0.2669	27	0.4709	7	
Police Capacity	0.3672	1	0.3759	1	0.3250	2	0.4333	1	
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.3901	7	0.4024	6	0.3777	9	0.4440	12	
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4045	4	0.4152	4	0.3694	10	0.5026	5	
Inadequate police staffing	0.3831	9	0.4474	2	0.2919	23	0.4532	9	
Lack of police follow-up	0.3000	22	0.2562	21	0.3203	14	0.3986	18	
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.3583	12	0.3584	10	0.2656	28	0.3682	21	
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2166	5	0.1849	5	0.2565	4	0.2697	5	
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.1802	35	0.1622	35	0.2309	36	0.2506	35	
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2096	29	0.2268	26	0.2302	38	0.2819	30	
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0581	65	0.0947	51	0.0732	67	0.0255	70	
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1217	49	0.0880	54	0.1809	49	0.1279	56	
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5237	2	0.3554	11	0.4707	1	0.6167	1	
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2416	26	0.1725	32	0.2999	20	0.3442	24	
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1642	41	0.1430	40	0.1713	53	0.1754	46	
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.2332	28	0.2366	24	0.3952	7	0.3350	26	
Property Crime	0.3250	2	0.3181	2	0.3380	1	0.4028	3	
Auto theft	0.3549	13	0.3610	9	0.2833	24	0.4509	10	
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5280	1	0.5387	1	0.4468	2	0.6062	2	
Commercial burglary	0.1389	44	0.1636	34	0.1804	50	0.2576	33	
Non-residential property crime	0.1721	37	0.1598	36	0.2385	34	0.2126	42	
Property crime – general	0.3909	6	0.3835	7	0.4148	5	0.4495	11	
Property damage	0.3514	15	0.2633	20	0.3864	8	0.4232	16	
Residential burglary	0.3624	11	0.4070	5	0.3202	15	0.4093	17	
Theft	0.3332	19	0.3373	14	0.4225	4	0.4270	15	
Vandalism	0.2937	23	0.2485	22	0.3494	12	0.3886	19	

Public Order Crime	0.1316	8	0.1229	7	0.1453	9	0.1778	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.1825	33	0.1020	48	0.2446	32	0.2536	34
Fireworks	0.1525	42	0.1682	33	0.0658	68	0.2107	43
Graffiti	0.2863	24	0.2449	23	0.2740	25	0.3381	25
Illegal sex work	0.0450	67	0.0546	65	0.0318	71	0.0722	63
Illegal street vending	0.0236	69	0.0137	72	0.0319	70	0.0329	69
Indecent exposure	0.0641	62	0.1034	47	0.1215	61	0.1958	45
Public Order Crime – general	0.1291	45	0.1380	41	0.1736	51	0.1531	51
Trespassing	0.2393	27	0.2037	30	0.2297	39	0.2843	29
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0619	64	0.0781	59	0.1350	59	0.0592	66
Quality of Life	0.1486	6	0.1471	6	0.1936	7	0.1912	7
Civility issues - general	0.1771	36	0.1477	38	0.3087	18	0.2929	28
Crowd Behavior	0.0196	70	0.0352	70	0.0971	63	0.0346	68
Dogs off-leash	0.1433	43	0.2088	28	0.0818	66	0.1632	49
Dogs on the Beach	0.0127	72	0.0981	50	0.0171	72	0.0235	72
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.1945	32	0.1922	31	0.2542	30	0.2588	32
Issues in the Parks	0.3471	16	0.3396	13	0.1728	52	0.4307	14
Littering/dumping	0.3885	8	0.3491	12	0.4366	3	0.5161	4
Loitering	0.1266	47	0.1281	44	0.2649	29	0.2260	39
Noise levels	0.1277	46	0.1319	42	0.2137	42	0.1191	59
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1171	52	0.0756	60	0.1536	56	0.1532	50
Soliciting	0.0968	55	0.0724	61	0.1084	62	0.0794	62
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0743	60	0.0520	66	0.1689	54	0.0617	65
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1059	54	0.0811	56	0.2385	35	0.1269	57
Traffic Safety	0.2719	4	0.2597	4	0.2560	5	0.2855	4
Bicycle safety	0.3164	20	0.2910	19	0.3092	17	0.4615	8
Drag racing	0.1243	48	0.3217	16	0.1600	55	0.0639	64
Parking issues	0.3390	18	0.2353	25	0.3512	11	0.3119	27
Pedestrian safety	0.3433	17	0.3065	18	0.3235	13	0.3675	22
Safety issues at bus stops	0.1683	39	0.1463	39	0.2697	26	0.2136	41
Traffic safety	0.2603	25	0.2044	29	0.1482	58	0.2043	44
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3516	14	0.3130	17	0.2303	37	0.3759	20
Violent Crime	0.1002	9	0.0700	9	0.1848	8	0.1303	9
Assault	0.1806	34	0.0824	55	0.2966	22	0.2339	37
Domestic violence	0.0675	61	0.0799	57	0.1491	57	0.0238	71
Gang activity	0.0136	71	0.0384	68	0.0533	69	0.0477	67
Gun violence	0.0901	56	0.0548	64	0.1818	48	0.1753	47
Homicide	0.0392	68	0.0343	71	0.0820	65	0.0827	61
Robbery	0.1644	40	0.1263	45	0.3178	16	0.2176	40
Sexual assault	0.0869	58	0.0354	69	0.2163	41	0.1018	60
Shots fired	0.1700	38	0.1104	46	0.1827	47	0.1694	48
Violent crime - general	0.0891	57	0.0683	62	0.1834	46	0.1209	58

Appendix: North Weighted Themes

In Jan Henry	North (1327)		Ballard North (212)		Ballard South (222)		Bitterlake (54)		Fremont (52)	
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0345	11	0.0348	10	0.0422	8	0.0519	9	0.0469	11
City Politics	0.0551	7	0.0505	7	0.0524	6	0.1081	2	0.0467	12
City politics decreasing public safety City Politicians not adequately addressing	0.0482	18	0.0323	26	0.0419	19	0.0984	11	0.0613	21
homelessness	0.0265	30	0.0354	24	0.0195	30	0.0686	15	0.0320	28
Unsupportive of city council	0.0906	8	0.0840	8	0.0957	9	0.1575	4	0.0469	23
Drug Activity	0.0327	12	0.0304	12	0.0273	11	0.0386	10	0.0801	4
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0092	40	0.0000	43	0.0000	41	0.0000	32	0.0939	10
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0699	14	0.0753	10	0.0708	13	0.1157	6	0.1297	4
Needles	0.0191	33	0.0158	34	0.0110	35	0.0000	33	0.0168	33
Homelessness	0.0565	6	0.0698	5	0.0716	4	0.0826	5	0.0479	10
Bring back navigation team	0.0053	43	0.0042	42	0.0066	36	0.0110	31	0.0189	29
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.1132	2	0.1406	3	0.1379	4	0.1993	2	0.0393	25
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0563	16	0.0571	14	0.0888	11	0.0824	13	0.0582	22
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0160	35	0.0295	29	0.0255	27	0.0000	34	0.0000	35
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.1124	3	0.1447	2	0.1454	1	0.1808	3	0.1595	2
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0358	24	0.0433	21	0.0254	28	0.0220	25	0.0113	34
Lawlessness	0.0404	9	0.0362	9	0.0401	9	0.0334	12	0.0696	6
Crime is on the rise	0.0491	17	0.0400	22	0.0270	26	0.0681	16	0.0964	9
Lawlessness general	0.0305	26	0.0331	25	0.0319	23	0.0000	35	0.0189	31
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0417	21	0.0356	23	0.0612	17	0.0322	23	0.0934	11
Police Capacity	0.0597	5	0.0602	6	0.0520	7	0.0752	6	0.0544	7
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0102	38	0.0070	38	0.0128	33	0.0000	36	0.0000	36
More police community outreach needed	0.0094	39	0.0204	33	0.0031	39	0.0000	37	0.0000	37
More police needed	0.1647	1	0.2045	1	0.1419	3	0.2210	1	0.1384	3
Slow or no police response	0.0846	11	0.0594	13	0.0790	12	0.1201	5	0.0790	15
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0822	12	0.0672	11	0.0625	16	0.1104	8	0.1092	6
Police Legitimacy	0.0395	10	0.0309	11	0.0398	10	0.0367	11	0.0720	5
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0440	20	0.0227	31	0.0314	24	0.1017	9	0.0996	8
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0274	29	0.0070	39	0.0356	20	0.0164	29	0.0716	18
Lack of police accountability	0.0336	25	0.0551	16	0.0339	21	0.0000	38	0.1226	5
Lack of police professionalism	0.0276	28	0.0275	30	0.0178	31	0.0000	39	0.0430	24
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0258	31	0.0219	32	0.0208	29	0.0329	22	0.0000	38
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0865	9	0.0563	15	0.1044	6	0.0642	17	0.1918	1
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0708	13	0.0302	28	0.0128	32	0.0423	21	0.0379	26
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0120	37	0.0531	18	0.0888	10	0.0292	24	0.0811	13
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0282	27	0.0042	41	0.0125	34	0.0439	20	0.0000	39

^{*}The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Police Reform	0.0223	14	0.0224	14	0.0161	13	0.0087	14	0.0287	14
Demilitarize Police	0.0146	36	0.0158	36	0.0058	37	0.0000	40	0.0000	40
Lack of adequate police training	0.0454	19	0.0454	19	0.0542	18	0.0000	41	0.0189	30
Police should live in Seattle	0.0092	41	0.0158	35	0.0000	42	0.0185	27	0.0189	32
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0200	32	0.0126	37	0.0045	38	0.0164	30	0.0768	17
Property Crime	0.1900	1	0.1978	1	0.1749	1	0.0850	4	0.1123	1
Protests	0.0039	15	0.0065	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.0946	2	0.1108	2	0.0632	5	0.1925	1	0.0527	8
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0771	4	0.0829	3	0.0786	3	0.0628	7	0.0524	9
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0170	34	0.0319	27	0.0027	40	0.0000	42	0.0000	41
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0850	10	0.0933	7	0.0704	14	0.0522	18	0.0638	19
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1031	5	0.0987	6	0.0960	8	0.1107	7	0.0769	16
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.1021	6	0.0800	9	0.1158	5	0.0735	14	0.0790	14
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0381	23	0.0619	12	0.0324	22	0.0220	26	0.0000	42
Public parks are unsafe	0.0917	7	0.1278	4	0.1030	7	0.0513	19	0.0638	20
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1110	4	0.1146	5	0.1449	2	0.0926	12	0.0357	27
Supportive of defunding police	0.0691	15	0.0548	17	0.0636	15	0.0997	10	0.0998	7
SPD Supportive	0.0248	13	0.0249	13	0.0140	14	0.0093	13	0.0452	13
SPD doing a great job	0.0088	42	0.0060	40	0.0000	43	0.0000	43	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0408	22	0.0437	20	0.0279	25	0.0185	28	0.0903	12
Traffic Safety	0.0550	8	0.0422	8	0.0266	12	0.0578	8	0.0902	3
Violent Crime	0.0926	3	0.0750	4	0.1145	2	0.0920	3	0.1092	2

	Greenw	ood (107)	Lake C	City (91)	Northg	ate (121)	Phinney F	lidge (54)
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0478	7	0.0097	14	0.0295	12	0.0110	15
City Politics	0.0421	9	0.0548	6	0.0534	7	0.1013	2
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0146	35	0.0421	23	0.0606	15	0.1266	5
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0378	19	0.0075	35	0.0179	32	0.0217	28
Unsupportive of city council	0.0737	11	0.1147	6	0.0817	8	0.1555	2
Drug Activity	0.0248	13	0.0165	12	0.0463	9	0.0468	10
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0055	41	0.0065	38	0.0000	39	0.0164	29
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0552	14	0.0431	22	0.0858	6	0.0968	9
Needles	0.0137	37	0.0000	39	0.0530	19	0.0274	25
Homelessness	0.0244	14	0.0486	9	0.0307	11	0.0780	4
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	43	0.0065	37	0.0000	40	0.0000	35
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0331	21	0.0961	9	0.0660	13	0.2288	1
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0302	27	0.0249	29	0.0155	33	0.1086	7
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0148	32	0.0000	40	0.0000	41	0.0000	36
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0533	15	0.0972	8	0.0669	12	0.1180	6
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0148	33	0.0668	15	0.0359	25	0.0127	31
Lawlessness	0.0266	12	0.0616	5	0.0472	8	0.0495	9
Crime is on the rise	0.0284	28	0.1047	7	0.0547	18	0.0732	13
Lawlessness general	0.0055	40	0.0000	41	0.0614	14	0.0458	17
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0459	17	0.0802	12	0.0253	30	0.0294	24
Police Capacity	0.0603	6	0.0876	3	0.0611	6	0.0417	11
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0312	26	0.0109	32	0.0049	38	0.0000	37
More police community outreach needed	0.0146	36	0.0413	24	0.0000	42	0.0000	38
More police needed	0.0817	10	0.2213	1	0.2162	1	0.1308	4
Slow or no police response	0.1096	6	0.1230	4	0.0818	7	0.0756	12
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0990	7	0.1218	5	0.0579	17	0.0438	18
Police Legitimacy	0.0438	8	0.0413	10	0.0339	10	0.0182	13
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0330	22	0.0596	17	0.0474	22	0.0328	21
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0395	18	0.0193	31	0.0360	24	0.0000	39
Lack of police accountability	0.0148	34	0.0453	21	0.0073	36	0.0000	40
Lack of police professionalism	0.0613	13	0.0323	26	0.0318	27	0.0471	16
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0257	29	0.0528	18	0.0302	28	0.0000	41
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0865	8	0.0508	19	0.0482	21	0.0383	20
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0055	42	0.0239	30	0.0336	26	0.0220	27
Unsupportive of SPD	0.1185	3	0.0875	11	0.0604	16	0.0127	32
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0093	38	0.0000	42	0.0106	34	0.0110	33

Police Reform	0.0322	11	0.0117	13	0.0234	14	0.0128	14
Demilitarize Police	0.0175	31	0.0089	33	0.0278	29	0.0110	34
Lack of adequate police training	0.0737	12	0.0291	27	0.0374	23	0.0237	26
Police should live in Seattle	0.0064	39	0.0089	34	0.0212	31	0.0000	42
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0312	25	0.0000	43	0.0073	35	0.0164	30
Property Crime	0.2347	1	0.1553	1	0.2015	1	0.2209	1
Protests	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0230	15	0.0185	12
Public Order Crime	0.1857	2	0.0496	8	0.1067	2	0.0678	5
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0910	4	0.0868	4	0.0874	5	0.0634	6
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0220	30	0.0324	25	0.0049	37	0.0000	43
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.1096	5	0.1479	3	0.0678	11	0.0915	10
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1407	2	0.0941	10	0.1134	3	0.0511	15
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.1893	1	0.0767	14	0.1477	2	0.0401	19
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0314	24	0.0475	20	0.0754	10	0.0592	14
Public parks are unsafe	0.0357	20	0.0668	16	0.0758	9	0.1352	3
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1140	4	0.0787	13	0.1059	5	0.1006	8
Supportive of defunding police	0.0854	9	0.1500	2	0.1086	4	0.0294	22
SPD Supportive	0.0404	10	0.0167	11	0.0249	13	0.0532	8
SPD doing a great job	0.0322	23	0.0075	36	0.0000	43	0.0294	23
Supportive of SPD	0.0487	16	0.0258	28	0.0498	20	0.0769	11
Traffic Safety	0.0844	5	0.0993	2	0.0889	4	0.0567	7
Violent Crime	0.1520	3	0.0506	7	0.0953	3	0.0964	3

1.1.7%	Roosevelt / I	Ravenna (142)	Sand Po	oint (75)	University	District (89)	Wallingfo	ord (108)
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0216	14	0.0527	8	0.0581	7	0.0136	14
City Politics	0.0339	10	0.0618	5	0.0792	3	0.0424	8
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0152	33	0.0817	9	0.0762	10	0.0435	20
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0257	27	0.0288	28	0.0000	36	0.0374	24
Unsupportive of city council	0.0608	12	0.0749	10	0.1615	2	0.0464	17
Drug Activity	0.0255	12	0.0141	14	0.0511	9	0.0294	11
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0111	36	0.0000	38	0.0099	35	0.0256	28
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0542	15	0.0196	32	0.0925	7	0.0482	16
Needles	0.0111	37	0.0226	30	0.0510	18	0.0145	36
Homelessness	0.0608	5	0.0596	6	0.0315	12	0.0599	6
Bring back navigation team	0.0179	30	0.0000	39	0.0000	37	0.0000	43
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.1406	2	0.1087	5	0.0297	28	0.1268	4
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0691	11	0.0209	31	0.0731	11	0.0401	23
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0042	43	0.0343	22	0.0000	38	0.0419	21
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0982	7	0.1231	4	0.0664	14	0.0933	9
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0348	24	0.0707	13	0.0198	33	0.0573	13
Lawlessness	0.0301	11	0.0516	9	0.0434	10	0.0259	13
Crime is on the rise	0.0350	23	0.0539	17	0.0727	12	0.0310	26
Lawlessness general	0.0484	19	0.0353	21	0.0311	27	0.0246	29
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0070	38	0.0657	14	0.0264	30	0.0222	32
Police Capacity	0.0463	7	0.0638	3	0.0745	4	0.0551	7
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0062	40	0.0328	24	0.0000	39	0.0092	41
More police community outreach needed	0.0042	41	0.0000	40	0.0000	40	0.0136	37
More police needed	0.1279	3	0.1611	1	0.1900	1	0.1429	1
Slow or no police response	0.0549	14	0.1362	2	0.1103	6	0.0626	12
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0847	9	0.0524	18	0.1258	5	0.1022	7
Police Legitimacy	0.0381	8	0.0364	11	0.0607	5	0.0395	9
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0487	18	0.0340	23	0.0588	15	0.0436	19
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0418	21	0.0091	37	0.0423	22	0.0146	35
Lack of police accountability	0.0195	29	0.0328	25	0.0685	13	0.0092	40
Lack of police professionalism	0.0132	34	0.0446	20	0.0198	32	0.0238	30
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0355	22	0.0170	33	0.0368	25	0.0228	31
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0967	8	0.1062	7	0.1261	4	0.1057	6
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0294	26	0.0091	35	0.0780	9	0.0302	27
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0424	20	0.0746	11	0.0882	8	0.0839	10
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0160	31	0.0000	41	0.0276	29	0.0218	33

Police Reform	0.0247	13	0.0187	13	0.0320	11	0.0324	10
Demilitarize Police	0.0153	32	0.0091	36	0.0461	21	0.0109	39
Lack of adequate police training	0.0494	17	0.0656	15	0.0507	19	0.0446	18
Police should live in Seattle	0.0042	42	0.0000	42	0.0000	41	0.0201	34
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0299	25	0.0000	43	0.0311	26	0.0538	14
Property Crime	0.2229	1	0.1415	1	0.1638	1	0.2639	1
Protests	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	14	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.0737	3	0.0627	4	0.0582	6	0.1256	2
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0771	2	0.0694	2	0.0550	8	0.0791	3
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0062	39	0.0499	19	0.0384	24	0.0118	38
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0760	10	0.0866	8	0.0516	17	0.1021	8
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1190	4	0.1246	3	0.0486	20	0.1291	2
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0996	6	0.0599	16	0.0563	16	0.1281	3
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0111	35	0.0300	27	0.0176	34	0.0337	25
Public parks are unsafe	0.1417	1	0.0708	12	0.0263	31	0.1070	5
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1084	5	0.1085	6	0.1602	3	0.0791	11
Supportive of defunding police	0.0551	13	0.0250	29	0.0409	23	0.0418	22
SPD Supportive	0.0373	9	0.0209	12	0.0000	15	0.0286	12
SPD doing a great job	0.0216	28	0.0091	34	0.0000	42	0.0082	42
Supportive of SPD	0.0530	16	0.0326	26	0.0000	43	0.0491	15
Traffic Safety	0.0494	6	0.0460	10	0.0099	13	0.0655	4
Violent Crime	0.0689	4	0.0577	7	0.1418	2	0.0604	5

Appendix: South Public Safety Concerns

In day / How	Soi	uth	Brighton	/ Dunlap	Claremont / R	ainier Vista	Colum	Columbia City		
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank		
Drugs & Alcohol	0.1807	7	0.1192	9	0.2200	4	0.1083	9		
Alcohol use in public	0.1727	49	0.1412	51	0.2567	12	0.1226	50		
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.2775	26	0.1663	45	0.2567	13	0.1992	30		
Drug houses	0.1244	60	0.1580	47	0.0000	49	0.0485	68		
Drug use in public	0.2302	37	0.0911	59	0.2567	14	0.1126	52		
Marijuana use in public	0.1418	58	0.0911	61	0.2567	15	0.0935	59		
Open air drug markets	0.1240	61	0.0382	67	0.2567	16	0.0574	65		
Public intoxication	0.1944	42	0.1488	49	0.2567	17	0.1242	49		
Homelessness	0.2923	3	0.1531	7	0.2053	5	0.1793	6		
Aggressive panhandling	0.1670	51	0.0341	68	0.2567	18	0.1034	55		
Car/RV camping	0.3890	11	0.2518	29	0.2567	19	0.2451	23		
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.3996	8	0.1951	39	0.2567	20	0.2686	19		
Squatting	0.1845	47	0.0985	58	0.0000	50	0.1116	53		
Transient Camps	0.3212	21	0.1860	41	0.2567	21	0.1679	40		
Police Capacity	0.3681	1	0.3712	1	0.2797	2	0.3228	1		
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4151	5	0.3523	10	0.2567	22	0.3693	7		
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.3926	9	0.4570	3	0.2567	23	0.3528	9		
Inadequate police staffing	0.3543	15	0.3185	12	0.2567	24	0.3232	12		
Lack of police follow-up	0.3243	20	0.4285	4	0.3717	8	0.2952	14		
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.3543	14	0.2997	20	0.2567	25	0.2736	17		
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2420	5	0.2470	4	0.1571	7	0.2073	4		
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2447	32	0.2500	30	0.0000	51	0.1933	31		
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2384	35	0.2949	22	0.0000	52	0.1530	43		
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0698	68	0.0187	72	0.3717	9	0.0993	58		
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1660	52	0.1941	40	0.0000	53	0.1296	48		
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.4366	3	0.3685	8	0.2567	26	0.4118	3		
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2605	29	0.3170	13	0.0000	54	0.2271	25		
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1516	57	0.2317	33	0.0000	55	0.1107	54		
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3683	12	0.3012	18	0.6283	1	0.3335	10		
Property Crime	0.3398	2	0.2781	3	0.1426	8	0.2347	3		
Auto theft	0.4062	6	0.3572	9	0.2567	27	0.2817	16		
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5097	1	0.3852	7	0.2567	28	0.4065	4		
Commercial burglary	0.1917	43	0.1441	50	0.0000	56	0.1549	42		
Non-residential property crime	0.1915	44	0.1285	55	0.0000	57	0.0851	61		
Property crime – general	0.3917	10	0.3359	11	0.2567	29	0.2708	18		
Property damage	0.3348	18	0.2313	34	0.0000	58	0.1885	34		
Residential burglary	0.3529	16	0.3150	14	0.0000	59	0.2499	22		
Theft	0.3606	13	0.3062	17	0.2567	30	0.2560	20		
Vandalism	0.3190	22	0.2998	19	0.2567	31	0.2192	26		

^{*}The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Public Order Crime	0.1689	9	0.1487	8	0.3235	1	0.1264	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.1893	46	0.2609	28	0.2567	32	0.1028	56
Fireworks	0.2089	39	0.2976	21	0.6283	2	0.1845	36
Graffiti	0.3516	17	0.3138	15	0.2567	33	0.3273	11
Illegal sex work	0.0899	67	0.0725	62	0.6283	3	0.0566	66
Illegal street vending	0.0393	70	0.0202	71	0.0000	60	0.0041	72
Indecent exposure	0.1072	65	0.0591	64	0.2567	34	0.0416	70
Public Order Crime – general	0.1800	48	0.0521	65	0.2567	35	0.1721	38
Trespassing	0.2430	33	0.1345	54	0.6283	4	0.1677	41
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1113	63	0.1273	56	0.0000	61	0.0806	64
Quality of Life	0.1731	8	0.1649	6	0.2723	3	0.1505	7
Civility issues - general	0.2203	38	0.1794	42	0.2567	36	0.1850	35
Crowd Behavior	0.0589	69	0.0498	66	0.2567	37	0.0456	69
Dogs off-leash	0.1700	50	0.1364	52	0.0000	62	0.2516	21
Dogs on the Beach	0.0348	72	0.0250	69	0.0000	63	0.0508	67
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2925	25	0.2798	25	0.6283	5	0.1700	39
Issues in the Parks	0.2641	28	0.2179	37	0.6283	6	0.1904	32
Littering/dumping	0.4635	2	0.5183	1	0.2567	38	0.4177	2
Loitering	0.1533	55	0.1506	48	0.2567	39	0.0888	60
Noise levels	0.1607	53	0.2312	35	0.2567	40	0.1750	37
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1582	54	0.1364	53	0.6283	7	0.1436	46
Soliciting	0.1094	64	0.0696	63	0.0000	64	0.0829	63
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0375	71	0.0250	70	0.0000	65	0.0111	71
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1265	59	0.1244	57	0.3717	10	0.1447	45
Traffic Safety	0.2819	4	0.3036	2	0.1998	6	0.3120	2
Bicycle safety	0.2495	31	0.2488	31	0.0000	66	0.2912	15
Drag racing	0.1898	45	0.2907	24	0.2567	41	0.2400	24
Parking issues	0.2983	24	0.2935	23	0.2567	42	0.2049	28
Pedestrian safety	0.3050	23	0.3124	16	0.3717	11	0.4021	5
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2384	34	0.2422	32	0.0000	67	0.1903	33
Traffic safety	0.2672	27	0.2705	26	0.2567	43	0.3694	6
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.4248	4	0.4669	2	0.2567	44	0.4859	1
Violent Crime	0.2214	6	0.2347	5	0.1141	9	0.1829	5
Assault	0.2527	30	0.2093	38	0.2567	45	0.2019	29
Domestic violence	0.1121	62	0.1610	46	0.0000	68	0.1011	57
Gang activity	0.2072	40	0.2189	36	0.0000	69	0.2063	27
Gun violence	0.3300	19	0.4150	5	0.2567	46	0.2982	13
Homicide	0.1523	56	0.1691	44	0.0000	70	0.1372	47
Robbery	0.2357	36	0.2612	27	0.0000	71	0.1489	44
Sexual assault	0.0977	66	0.0911	60	0.0000	72	0.0829	62
Shots fired	0.4060	7	0.4146	6	0.2567	47	0.3544	8
Violent crime - general	0.1986	41	0.1720	43	0.2567	48	0.1150	51

	Gene	esee	Georg	getown	Hillma	ın Citv	Lakewood	9 43 46 62 42 49 65 51 4 48 11 22 41 31 2 9 24 5 40 12 6 45 54 66 25 21 38 28 30 1	
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean		
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2046	6	0.2405	6	0.1136	9	0.1158	9	
Alcohol use in public	0.1588	52	0.1286	55	0.0145	71	0.1515	43	
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.2880	28	0.5443	8	0.1230	54	0.1411		
Drug houses	0.1585	53	0.1991	47	0.1751	41	0.0586		
Drug use in public	0.2436	34	0.3550	28	0.2229	34	0.1533	42	
Marijuana use in public	0.2325	36	0.0928	65	0.0913	60	0.1311	49	
Open air drug markets	0.1696	48	0.1802	52	0.0798	64	0.0523	65	
Public intoxication	0.1814	45	0.1837	51	0.0887	61	0.1229	51	
Homelessness	0.3772	3	0.4418	3	0.1982	6	0.2272	4	
Aggressive panhandling	0.1949	43	0.2048	46	0.1199	55	0.1348	48	
Car/RV camping	0.5251	5	0.5515	6	0.3129	16	0.3498	11	
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5320	4	0.5628	4	0.2947	18	0.2692	22	
Squatting	0.2054	39	0.3522	29	0.1436	48	0.1609	41	
Transient Camps	0.4287	13	0.5377	9	0.1199	56	0.2213	31	
Police Capacity	0.4508	1	0.4586	2	0.3433	1	0.3142	2	
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.5098	7	0.5018	11	0.3088	17	0.3819	9	
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4004	17	0.5003	12	0.3983	6	0.2579	24	
Inadequate police staffing	0.4824	9	0.4443	17	0.3749	8	0.4044	5	
Lack of police follow-up	0.3926	18	0.4446	16	0.2339	27	0.1771	40	
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4691	10	0.4018	21	0.4007	5	0.3495	12	
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2484	4	0.3079	4	0.2268	5	0.1837	6	
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2772	29	0.3349	31	0.2646	21	0.1432	45	
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2998	27	0.3595	27	0.2023	36	0.0986	54	
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0762	61	0.1243	58	0.0936	59	0.0407	66	
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1589	51	0.1451	53	0.0642	66	0.2567	25	
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5328	3	0.5714	3	0.4624	2	0.2758	21	
Lack of resources related to social services	0.1885	44	0.3728	26	0.2236	33	0.1894	38	
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group Not enough public safety resources in the	0.1207	55	0.1073	60	0.1246	53	0.2429	28	
neighborhood	0.3332	24	0.4479	15	0.3790	7	0.2227		
Property Crime	0.4395	2	0.4944	11	0.2721	3	0.3493	•	
Auto theft	0.5163	6	0.5570	5	0.3269	13	0.4640	3	
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.6216	1	0.6479	1	0.4590	3	0.5509	1	
Commercial burglary	0.3088	26	0.3739	25	0.1714	44	0.2047	35	
Non-residential property crime	0.2040	40	0.2953	36	0.1555	46	0.1407	47	
Property crime – general	0.5414	2	0.6210	2	0.2610	22	0.3921	6	
Property damage	0.4245	14	0.5359	10	0.2515	25	0.2678	23	
Residential burglary	0.5028	8	0.4305	18	0.3180	14	0.4803	2	
Theft	0.4674	11	0.4896	14	0.2534	23	0.4338	4	
Vandalism	0.3690	21	0.4983	13	0.2520	24	0.2092	33	

Public Order Crime	0.1675	8	0.2072	7	0.1484	7	0.1329	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.2442	33	0.2811	40	0.0587	68	0.0806	59
Fireworks	0.0671	65	0.1077	59	0.3642	9	0.2074	34
Graffiti	0.3703	20	0.3741	24	0.3355	11	0.2478	27
Illegal sex work	0.0561	66	0.1882	49	0.0614	67	0.0141	69
Illegal street vending	0.0561	67	0.0339	70	0.0185	70	0.0586	63
Indecent exposure	0.1633	49	0.1903	48	0.0851	63	0.1029	52
Public Order Crime – general	0.2285	38	0.2448	41	0.0949	58	0.1910	37
Trespassing	0.2351	35	0.3917	22	0.1436	49	0.1911	36
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0873	59	0.0528	68	0.1737	43	0.1029	53
Quality of Life	0.1887	7	0.1867	9	0.1405	8	0.1379	7
Civility issues - general	0.1748	47	0.3293	32	0.1441	47	0.1271	50
Crowd Behavior	0.0561	68	0.0368	69	0.0273	69	0.0586	64
Dogs off-leash	0.2578	32	0.1248	57	0.1615	45	0.0863	58
Dogs on the Beach	0.1120	57	0.0100	72	0.0957	57	0.0181	68
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3796	19	0.4043	20	0.1303	51	0.2853	19
Issues in the Parks	0.4198	15	0.2855	37	0.2270	29	0.3130	16
Littering/dumping	0.4470	12	0.5475	7	0.3569	10	0.2825	20
Loitering	0.2321	37	0.2311	42	0.1260	52	0.0746	61
Noise levels	0.0539	69	0.1299	54	0.1852	39	0.3157	15
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1614	50	0.1249	56	0.2015	37	0.0363	67
Soliciting	0.0852	60	0.1046	62	0.0887	62	0.0887	57
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	71	0.0281	71	0.0128	72	0.0141	70
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0734	63	0.0706	66	0.0698	65	0.0927	55
Traffic Safety	0.2318	5	0.2918	5	0.2982	2	0.2736	3
Bicycle safety	0.1142	56	0.3234	33	0.2237	32	0.3259	14
Drag racing	0.1521	54	0.1880	50	0.3175	15	0.2188	32
Parking issues	0.3375	23	0.3914	23	0.2254	31	0.2373	29
Pedestrian safety	0.2620	30	0.2150	44	0.2264	30	0.2936	18
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3272	25	0.2101	45	0.2884	20	0.0907	56
Traffic safety	0.0762	62	0.3022	35	0.2908	19	0.3632	10
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3537	22	0.4127	19	0.5151	1	0.3856	8
Violent Crime	0.1584	9	0.2015	8	0.2400	4	0.1885	5
Assault	0.2038	41	0.3464	30	0.1987	38	0.3038	17
Domestic violence	0.0000	72	0.0937	64	0.1768	40	0.0000	72
Gang activity	0.0922	58	0.1001	63	0.2414	26	0.1834	39
Gun violence	0.1767	46	0.2847	38	0.3294	12	0.3281	13
Homicide	0.0717	64	0.1064	61	0.2229	35	0.0766	60
Robbery	0.2592	31	0.2823	39	0.2282	28	0.2556	26
Sexual assault	0.0156	70	0.0627	67	0.1344	50	0.0121	71
Shots fired	0.4031	16	0.3200	34	0.4535	4	0.3904	7
Violent crime - general	0.2034	42	0.2172	43	0.1751	42	0.1469	44

	Mid Bea	acon Hill	Mount	Baker	New I	lolly	North Bea	acon Hill
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.1239	9	0.1592	8	0.0354	9	0.2167	7
Alcohol use in public	0.1533	42	0.1831	43	0.0000	62	0.1799	46
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.1917	35	0.2349	33	0.0519	48	0.3751	18
Drug houses	0.0802	61	0.0665	65	0.0980	45	0.0510	68
Drug use in public	0.1969	32	0.2017	38	0.0519	49	0.3205	29
Marijuana use in public	0.0662	63	0.1623	49	0.0000	63	0.1417	55
Open air drug markets	0.0345	67	0.1026	61	0.0461	54	0.1379	56
Public intoxication	0.1449	45	0.1633	48	0.0000	64	0.3105	30
Homelessness	0.2787	3	0.2971	3	0.1475	5	0.3711	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.2019	30	0.2225	35	0.1186	39	0.1599	51
Car/RV camping	0.3576	9	0.4391	4	0.2743	16	0.4371	12
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4147	5	0.4286	5	0.1647	32	0.5151	5
Squatting	0.1077	51	0.1112	58	0.0000	65	0.1851	45
Transient Camps	0.3113	17	0.2840	24	0.1799	29	0.5582	4
Police Capacity	0.3205	1	0.3443	1	0.3435	1	0.3823	2
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4047	6	0.4120	6	0.4759	1	0.4428	10
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.3599	8	0.3904	10	0.2851	15	0.3920	16
Inadequate police staffing	0.2420	25	0.2939	23	0.3102	12	0.3544	21
Lack of police follow-up	0.3197	14	0.2986	21	0.2223	23	0.3388	24
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.2764	21	0.3265	16	0.4240	5	0.3834	17
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2005	5	0.2580	4	0.2559	3	0.2855	4
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.1699	39	0.2646	29	0.4037	7	0.2036	41
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.1241	48	0.2583	30	0.2954	14	0.2405	36
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0630	64	0.0577	68	0.0819	47	0.0677	64
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1604	40	0.1852	42	0.1813	28	0.1889	44
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.4255	4	0.4392	3	0.2684	17	0.6531	1
Lack of resources related to social services	0.1845	36	0.3526	15	0.1288	38	0.3579	19
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1490	44	0.1437	53	0.2275	22	0.1463	54
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3273	13	0.3630	13	0.4600	3	0.4257	15
Property Crime	0.3117	2	0.3412	2	0.1236	6	0.3989	1
Auto theft	0.4428	3	0.3832	12	0.2332	20	0.4861	7
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5310	1	0.5503	1	0.1647	34	0.6249	2
Commercial burglary	0.1007	55	0.1100	59	0.0519	50	0.1712	49
Non-residential property crime	0.1493	43	0.2269	34	0.0358	59	0.1901	43
Property crime – general	0.3169	15	0.3537	14	0.1857	27	0.4944	6
Property damage	0.2821	20	0.3904	11	0.0519	51	0.3563	20
Residential burglary	0.3139	16	0.4488	2	0.1974	24	0.4756	8
Theft	0.4007	7	0.2971	22	0.1038	40	0.4526	9
Vandalism	0.2674	22	0.3108	19	0.0877	46	0.3386	25

Public Order Crime	0.1305	8	0.1524	9	0.0981	7	0.1897	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.0733	62	0.1263	55	0.0980	43	0.1797	47
Fireworks	0.2203	27	0.2154	37	0.1486	35	0.2528	35
Graffiti	0.3294	12	0.3213	17	0.1705	30	0.4317	13
Illegal sex work	0.0286	68	0.1091	60	0.0000	66	0.0826	62
Illegal street vending	0.0286	69	0.0372	70	0.0358	55	0.0525	66
Indecent exposure	0.1057	52	0.0640	66	0.0358	56	0.0801	63
Public Order Crime – general	0.1047	53	0.1584	50	0.1966	25	0.2591	34
Trespassing	0.1704	38	0.2393	32	0.0519	52	0.3306	27
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1136	50	0.1005	62	0.1455	36	0.0380	69
Quality of Life	0.1520	7	0.1748	7	0.0914	8	0.1755	9
Civility issues - general	0.1946	34	0.2483	31	0.0000	67	0.2149	39
Crowd Behavior	0.0152	72	0.0171	72	0.0000	68	0.0284	71
Dogs off-leash	0.2048	29	0.1961	40	0.0358	60	0.1185	59
Dogs on the Beach	0.0254	71	0.0295	71	0.0000	69	0.0000	72
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3100	19	0.2796	25	0.4088	6	0.3245	28
Issues in the Parks	0.1975	31	0.2738	27	0.3052	13	0.3369	26
Littering/dumping	0.4728	2	0.4118	7	0.1647	33	0.5875	3
Loitering	0.1013	54	0.1564	51	0.0000	70	0.1562	52
Noise levels	0.0957	57	0.1534	52	0.0358	57	0.1370	57
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1401	46	0.1254	56	0.1038	41	0.1628	50
Soliciting	0.0577	65	0.1910	41	0.0000	71	0.0665	65
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0286	70	0.0589	67	0.0358	58	0.0334	70
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1330	47	0.1311	54	0.0981	42	0.1155	61
Traffic Safety	0.2316	4	0.2534	5	0.1781	4	0.2719	5
Bicycle safety	0.2574	23	0.1824	44	0.1696	31	0.3446	23
Drag racing	0.0986	56	0.1140	57	0.0980	44	0.0517	67
Parking issues	0.2544	24	0.3195	18	0.0519	53	0.2806	32
Pedestrian safety	0.3318	11	0.2736	28	0.1857	26	0.2991	31
Safety issues at bus stops	0.1733	37	0.2156	36	0.2626	18	0.2171	38
Traffic safety	0.1573	41	0.2766	26	0.2317	21	0.2685	33
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3482	10	0.3923	9	0.2474	19	0.4413	11
Violent Crime	0.1549	6	0.1825	6	0.2890	2	0.2184	6
Assault	0.1955	33	0.1670	47	0.3882	8	0.2052	40
Domestic violence	0.0820	60	0.0472	69	0.0358	61	0.1496	53
Gang activity	0.1186	49	0.1714	45	0.4549	4	0.2197	37
Gun violence	0.2418	26	0.3079	20	0.3824	10	0.3487	22
Homicide	0.0934	58	0.0878	64	0.1447	37	0.1269	58
Robbery	0.2173	28	0.1997	39	0.3421	11	0.1977	42
Sexual assault	0.0479	66	0.0978	63	0.0000	72	0.1155	60
Shots fired	0.3111	18	0.3956	8	0.4651	2	0.4307	14
Violent crime - general	0.0863	59	0.1679	46	0.3882	9	0.1715	48

	Rainie	r Beach	Rainie	r View	SOI	DO	South Be	acon Hill
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.1594	8	0.1577	6	0.4798	4	0.2303	7
Alcohol use in public	0.1793	42	0.0982	55	0.4821	27	0.2450	38
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.1959	38	0.1504	40	0.6995	4	0.2548	34
Drug houses	0.1707	47	0.1923	32	0.2512	53	0.2025	49
Drug use in public	0.1731	44	0.0982	56	0.5932	15	0.2805	28
Marijuana use in public	0.1106	65	0.2179	30	0.3211	48	0.2927	24
Open air drug markets	0.1216	63	0.1191	50	0.4658	31	0.1330	63
Public intoxication	0.1649	48	0.2277	26	0.5454	21	0.2034	48
Homelessness	0.2363	5	0.1543	7	0.6034	1	0.2941	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.1471	59	0.0000	67	0.3708	41	0.1832	55
Car/RV camping	0.3345	12	0.2595	21	0.7756	1	0.2876	25
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.3320	13	0.1856	33	0.7455	2	0.4027	4
Squatting	0.1734	43	0.0982	57	0.4782	29	0.2722	29
Transient Camps	0.1942	39	0.2282	25	0.6468	8	0.3246	16
Police Capacity	0.3109	2	0.3443	1	0.5750	2	0.3544	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.3702	8	0.4200	5	0.6045	13	0.3574	12
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.2982	22	0.3530	9	0.6562	7	0.3764	7
Inadequate police staffing	0.3193	15	0.2236	27	0.6171	11	0.3331	14
Lack of police follow-up	0.2815	26	0.3004	14	0.3549	43	0.3762	8
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.2853	24	0.4244	4	0.6421	9	0.3287	15
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.1959	6	0.1704	5	0.3319	8	0.2532	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.1554	52	0.1817	36	0.5433	22	0.2626	31
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.1599	50	0.2695	19	0.4896	26	0.2937	23
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0297	70	0.0209	66	0.0615	70	0.0962	69
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1211	64	0.1211	48	0.2424	56	0.2344	41
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.3477	11	0.3043	13	0.4613	33	0.3054	19
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2338	34	0.0874	61	0.2499	54	0.2006	50
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1503	55	0.0982	58	0.1388	66	0.2597	32
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3692	9	0.2799	16	0.4687	30	0.3730	9
Property Crime	0.3177	1	0.2484	3	0.5257	3	0.2981	2
Auto theft	0.3866	7	0.2236	29	0.5106	23	0.3973	5
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.4231	4	0.4518	2	0.7365	3	0.3806	6
Commercial burglary	0.1499	57	0.0000	68	0.5926	16	0.1005	68
Non-residential property crime	0.2004	37	0.1295	44	0.4450	36	0.1906	53
Property crime – general	0.3887	6	0.2548	23	0.6200	10	0.2953	22
Property damage	0.3290	14	0.3781	8	0.6003	14	0.2834	26
Residential burglary	0.3130	19	0.3446	10	0.1716	61	0.3632	11
Theft	0.3491	10	0.2715	18	0.4643	32	0.3693	10
Vandalism	0.3193	16	0.1822	34	0.5905	17	0.3022	20

Public Order Crime	0.1486	9	0.1460	8	0.3592	6	0.1847	9
Disorderly Behavior	0.1716	46	0.1504	41	0.6139	12	0.2549	33
Fireworks	0.2668	31	0.3070	11	0.0707	68	0.1877	54
Graffiti	0.2902	23	0.1406	43	0.6685	6	0.3572	13
Illegal sex work	0.0453	69	0.1191	51	0.2264	57	0.1381	62
Illegal street vending	0.0230	71	0.0000	69	0.1517	63	0.0660	72
Indecent exposure	0.0781	67	0.0982	59	0.3439	45	0.1287	65
Public Order Crime – general	0.1543	53	0.1191	49	0.3303	46	0.1665	56
Trespassing	0.1557	51	0.2924	15	0.5640	19	0.2379	39
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1528	54	0.0874	62	0.2631	51	0.1254	66
Quality of Life	0.1659	7	0.1314	9	0.2820	9	0.2068	8
Civility issues - general	0.1636	49	0.0522	63	0.5563	20	0.2139	47
Crowd Behavior	0.0789	66	0.0000	70	0.2557	52	0.1243	67
Dogs off-leash	0.1447	61	0.2129	31	0.0681	69	0.2522	35
Dogs on the Beach	0.0494	68	0.0000	71	0.0000	72	0.0705	70
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3024	20	0.2378	24	0.4805	28	0.2205	45
Issues in the Parks	0.2058	36	0.1295	45	0.3882	40	0.3098	18
Littering/dumping	0.4070	5	0.4434	3	0.6866	5	0.4677	1
Loitering	0.1457	60	0.1191	52	0.3452	44	0.1501	60
Noise levels	0.1502	56	0.1817	37	0.0906	67	0.2998	21
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1728	45	0.1044	54	0.3589	42	0.1617	59
Soliciting	0.1481	58	0.0982	60	0.1636	62	0.1501	61
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	72	0.0000	72	0.2126	59	0.0677	71
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1887	40	0.1295	47	0.0600	71	0.1997	51
Traffic Safety	0.3037	3	0.2883	2	0.3400	7	0.2637	4
Bicycle safety	0.1874	41	0.1652	39	0.3271	47	0.1642	57
Drag racing	0.2749	28	0.2799	17	0.1508	64	0.2452	37
Parking issues	0.3173	17	0.4133	6	0.5064	24	0.2822	27
Pedestrian safety	0.3143	18	0.3053	12	0.4001	39	0.2332	42
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2735	29	0.1822	35	0.4959	25	0.2454	36
Traffic safety	0.2591	33	0.2627	20	0.2102	60	0.2271	43
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.4992	1	0.4097	7	0.2893	49	0.4489	2
Violent Crime	0.2946	4	0.1973	4	0.3620	5	0.2400	6
Assault	0.2831	25	0.1504	42	0.5781	18	0.2355	40
Domestic violence	0.2130	35	0.0313	64	0.1452	65	0.1308	64
Gang activity	0.3016	21	0.1191	53	0.2856	50	0.2719	30
Gun violence	0.4278	3	0.2236	28	0.4553	35	0.3179	17
Homicide	0.2637	32	0.1295	46	0.2480	55	0.2148	46
Robbery	0.2688	30	0.1714	38	0.4567	34	0.1958	52
Sexual assault	0.1302	62	0.0313	65	0.2209	58	0.1618	58
Shots fired	0.4858	2	0.6602	1	0.4450	37	0.4079	3
Violent crime - general	0.2777	27	0.2589	22	0.4229	38	0.2237	44

. . .

Appendix: South Weighted Themes

	South	(481)	Brighton / D	unlap (23)	Claremont / Ra	inier Vista (1)	Columbia	City (72)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank⁺	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0094	14	0.0000	13	0.0000	7	0.0000	12
City Politics	0.0340	8	0.0098	12	0.0000	8	0.0000	13
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0305	20	0.0294	18	0.0000	6	0.0000	28
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0156	33	0.0000	23	0.0000	7	0.0000	29
Unsupportive of city council	0.0560	14	0.0000	24	0.0000	8	0.0000	30
Drug Activity	0.0190	11	0.0000	14	0.3333	3	0.0032	10
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0031	43	0.0000	25	0.0000	9	0.0000	31
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0352	18	0.0000	26	1.0000	1	0.0095	24
Needles	0.0186	30	0.0000	27	0.0000	10	0.0000	32
Homelessness	0.0335	9	0.0520	6	0.1667	4	0.0169	7
Bring back navigation team	0.0079	40	0.0253	21	0.0000	11	0.0000	33
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0297	22	0.1054	9	0.0000	12	0.0000	34
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0574	13	0.0378	15	0.0000	13	0.0506	10
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0230	26	0.0000	28	0.0000	14	0.0385	12
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0708	9	0.1432	7	1.0000	2	0.0122	23
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0122	36	0.0000	29	0.0000	15	0.0000	35
Lawlessness	0.0321	10	0.1007	3	0.0000	9	0.0032	11
Crime is on the rise	0.0658	11	0.2728	1	0.0000	16	0.0000	36
Lawlessness general	0.0090	39	0.0000	30	0.0000	17	0.0000	37
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0215	28	0.0294	20	0.0000	18	0.0095	25
Police Capacity	0.0611	6	0.0303	10	0.1667	5	0.0586	4
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0184	31	0.0000	31	0.0000	19	0.0350	13
More police community outreach needed	0.0256	24	0.0000	32	0.0000	20	0.0350	14
More police needed	0.1822	1	0.1440	6	1.0000	3	0.1480	4
Slow or no police response	0.0799	7	0.0000	33	0.0000	22	0.0300	19
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0591	12	0.0378	14	0.0000	21	0.1033	7
Police Legitimacy	0.0434	7	0.0486	7	0.0000	10	0.0653	3
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0464	16	0.0000	34	0.0000	23	0.0340	16
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0176	32	0.0000	35	0.0000	24	0.0259	20
Lack of police accountability	0.0229	27	0.0000	36	0.0000	25	0.0340	18
Lack of police professionalism	0.0156	34	0.0000	39	0.0000	29	0.0570	9
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0533	15	0.0000	37	0.0000	26	0.0679	8
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.1074	4	0.1949	4	0.0000	27	0.1920	1
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0783	8	0.0426	11	0.0000	30	0.0082	26
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0067	41	0.1997	3	0.0000	31	0.1690	3
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0426	17	0.0000	38	0.0000	28	0.0000	38

The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Police Reform	0.0174	13	0.0276	11	0.0000	11	0.0152	8
Demilitarize Police	0.0266	23	0.0426	12	0.0000	32	0.0258	21
Lack of adequate police training	0.0187	29	0.0253	22	0.0000	33	0.0000	39
Police should live in Seattle	0.0112	38	0.0000	40	0.0000	34	0.0350	15
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0131	35	0.0426	13	0.0000	35	0.0000	40
Property Crime	0.0846	2	0.1187	1	0.0000	12	0.0466	6
Protests	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	13	0.0000	14
Public Order Crime	0.0843	3	0.1187	2	1.0000	1	0.0000	15
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0663	5	0.0734	4	0.1250	6	0.0582	5
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0256	25	0.0000	41	0.0000	36	0.0340	17
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0843	6	0.0294	19	0.0000	37	0.0385	11
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1090	3	0.1688	5	0.0000	38	0.1049	6
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.1142	2	0.2113	2	0.0000	39	0.1171	5
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0327	19	0.0000	42	0.0000	40	0.0000	41
Public parks are unsafe	0.0114	37	0.0000	43	0.0000	41	0.0000	42
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0678	10	0.0547	10	1.0000	4	0.0000	43
Supportive of defunding police	0.0850	5	0.1229	8	0.0000	42	0.1708	2
SPD Supportive	0.0176	12	0.0378	8	0.5000	2	0.0150	9
SPD doing a great job	0.0051	42	0.0378	16	0.0000	43	0.0082	27
Supportive of SPD	0.0301	21	0.0378	17	1.0000	5	0.0219	22
Traffic Safety	0.0717	4	0.0631	5	0.0000	14	0.1295	2
Violent Crime	0.1031	1	0.0378	9	0.0000	15	0.1404	1

	Genes	ee (19)	Georgeto	wn (37)	Hillman (City (21)	Lakewood / Se	eward Park (20)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0476	4	0.0000	12	0.0000	12	0.0000	12
City Politics	0.0456	6	0.0605	5	0.0487	6	0.0000	13
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0000	18	0.0973	6	0.0730	10	0.0000	21
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0000	19	0.0000	29	0.0000	22	0.0000	22
Unsupportive of city council	0.1368	3	0.0843	10	0.0730	9	0.0000	23
Drug Activity	0.0000	11	0.0288	11	0.0155	11	0.0166	11
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	20	0.0236	24	0.0000	23	0.0000	24
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0000	21	0.0472	18	0.0000	24	0.0498	15
Needles	0.0000	22	0.0158	28	0.0464	16	0.0000	25
Homelessness	0.0220	9	0.0429	7	0.0000	13	0.0663	3
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	23	0.0000	30	0.0000	25	0.0000	26
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0000	24	0.0943	7	0.0000	26	0.0000	27
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0476	14	0.0659	12	0.0000	27	0.1769	3
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	25	0.0236	23	0.0000	28	0.0000	28
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0847	10	0.0236	25	0.0000	29	0.2208	1
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0000	26	0.0501	16	0.0000	30	0.0000	29
Lawlessness	0.1943	1	0.0292	10	0.0000	14	0.0361	7
Crime is on the rise	0.3173	1	0.0876	9	0.0000	31	0.0000	30
Lawlessness general	0.1329	5	0.0000	31	0.0000	32	0.0443	19
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.1329	6	0.0000	32	0.0000	33	0.0641	13
Police Capacity	0.0960	3	0.0497	6	0.0975	2	0.0711	2
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0000	27	0.0000	33	0.0464	13	0.0000	31
More police community outreach needed	0.0000	28	0.0000	34	0.0464	14	0.1615	4
More police needed	0.3061	2	0.1792	2	0.3735	1	0.2010	2
Slow or no police response	0.1368	4	0.0578	15	0.0000	34	0.0641	14
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.1329	7	0.0610	14	0.1189	4	0.0000	32
Police Legitimacy	0.0188	10	0.0412	8	0.0643	5	0.0490	6
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0477	11	0.1130	5	0.0876	6	0.0498	17
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0000	29	0.0000	35	0.0000	35	0.0000	33
Lack of police accountability	0.0000	30	0.0236	27	0.0994	5	0.0344	20
Lack of police professionalism	0.0370	17	0.0236	26	0.0876	7	0.0498	16
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0476	15	0.0236	22	0.0412	17	0.0740	12
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0000	31	0.0472	17	0.1287	3	0.1384	5
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0000	32	0.0658	13	0.0000	37	0.0000	35
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0000	33	0.0738	11	0.1339	2	0.0942	9
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0370	16	0.0000	36	0.0000	36	0.0000	34

Police Reform	0.0000	12	0.0000	13	0.0301	9	0.0271	10
Demilitarize Police	0.0000	34	0.0000	37	0.0740	8	0.0000	36
Lack of adequate police training	0.0000	35	0.0000	38	0.0464	15	0.1083	8
Police should live in Seattle	0.0000	36	0.0000	39	0.0000	38	0.0000	37
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0000	37	0.0000	40	0.0000	39	0.0000	38
Property Crime	0.0000	13	0.1426	1	0.0320	7	0.0297	8
Protests	0.0000	14	0.0000	14	0.0000	15	0.0000	14
Public Order Crime	0.1329	2	0.0866	4	0.0876	3	0.0593	4
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0391	7	0.0937	2	0.0303	8	0.0543	5
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0000	38	0.0452	20	0.0000	40	0.0000	39
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0847	9	0.2256	1	0.0000	41	0.1091	6
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.0476	12	0.1566	3	0.0276	21	0.0443	18
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0476	13	0.0896	8	0.0688	11	0.0787	11
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0000	39	0.1429	4	0.0365	19	0.0000	40
Public parks are unsafe	0.0000	40	0.0000	41	0.0000	42	0.0000	41
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1329	8	0.0472	19	0.0685	12	0.1083	7
Supportive of defunding police	0.0000	41	0.0423	21	0.0412	18	0.0941	10
SPD Supportive	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0183	10	0.0000	15
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	42	0.0000	42	0.0000	43	0.0000	42
Supportive of SPD	0.0000	43	0.0000	43	0.0365	20	0.0000	43
Traffic Safety	0.0319	8	0.0394	9	0.2116	1	0.0885	1
Violent Crime	0.0476	5	0.0894	3	0.0829	4	0.0297	9

	Mid Beaco	on Hill (43)	Mount E	Baker (53)	New H	olly (11)	North Bea	con Hill (57)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0000	14	0.0000	14	0.0000	9	0.0000	14
City Politics	0.0218	11	0.0407	6	0.0584	4	0.0120	11
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0000	29	0.0302	22	0.0825	10	0.0239	23
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0000	30	0.0581	11	0.0000	17	0.0120	30
Unsupportive of city council	0.0654	14	0.0338	19	0.0929	5	0.0000	33
Drug Activity	0.0525	5	0.0056	13	0.0000	10	0.0430	7
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0139	27	0.0000	38	0.0000	18	0.0000	34
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.1296	5	0.0168	28	0.0000	19	0.0276	19
Needles	0.0139	28	0.0000	39	0.0000	20	0.1012	6
Homelessness	0.0293	9	0.0514	5	0.0155	8	0.0360	8
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	31	0.0000	40	0.0000	21	0.0442	13
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0300	18	0.0168	29	0.0000	22	0.0273	20
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0372	17	0.1456	4	0.0929	8	0.0326	18
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	32	0.0595	10	0.0000	23	0.0743	10
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.1089	7	0.0750	7	0.0000	24	0.0223	24
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0000	33	0.0113	36	0.0000	25	0.0154	28
Lawlessness	0.0100	13	0.0220	11	0.0868	3	0.0284	10
Crime is on the rise	0.0300	19	0.0168	30	0.2605	2	0.0596	11
Lawlessness general	0.0000	34	0.0189	24	0.0000	26	0.0000	35
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0000	35	0.0302	23	0.0000	27	0.0257	21
Police Capacity	0.0705	2	0.0517	4	0.1067	2	0.0432	6
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0000	36	0.0483	13	0.0000	28	0.0000	36
More police community outreach needed	0.0595	15	0.0000	41	0.0000	29	0.0257	22
More police needed	0.1823	3	0.2013	1	0.3246	1	0.1521	2
Slow or no police response	0.1809	4	0.0414	18	0.0553	12	0.0813	9
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0000	37	0.0189	25	0.2605	3	0.0000	37
Police Legitimacy	0.0428	6	0.0405	7	0.0413	6	0.0293	9
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0233	21	0.0952	5	0.0929	6	0.0223	25
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0233	22	0.0113	37	0.0000	30	0.0000	38
Lack of police accountability	0.0000	38	0.0189	26	0.0000	31	0.0402	15
Lack of police professionalism	0.0834	11	0.0469	15	0.0000	34	0.0413	14
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0963	9	0.0168	32	0.0000	32	0.0327	17
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.1150	6	0.0651	9	0.1857	4	0.0923	8
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0000	39	0.0131	34	0.0000	35	0.0000	39
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0233	23	0.0840	6	0.0929	7	0.0173	27
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0207	25	0.0131	33	0.0000	33	0.0173	26

Police Reform	0.0247	10	0.0155	12	0.0508	5	0.0064	12
Demilitarize Police	0.0756	12	0.0131	35	0.0553	13	0.0000	40
Lack of adequate police training	0.0233	24	0.0302	21	0.0553	14	0.0103	32
Police should live in Seattle	0.0000	40	0.0000	42	0.0929	9	0.0154	29
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0000	41	0.0189	27	0.0000	36	0.0000	41
Property Crime	0.0654	3	0.1401	1	0.0000	11	0.1616	2
Protests	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	12	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.0207	12	0.0357	8	0.0000	13	0.1975	1
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0901	1	0.0761	3	0.0218	7	0.0854	4
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0139	26	0.0469	17	0.0553	15	0.0000	42
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0932	10	0.1562	3	0.0000	37	0.0486	12
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.2205	1	0.0747	8	0.0553	16	0.1836	1
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.2205	2	0.1831	2	0.0641	11	0.1080	3
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0000	42	0.0469	16	0.0000	38	0.1069	4
Public parks are unsafe	0.0300	20	0.0168	31	0.0000	39	0.0377	16
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0439	16	0.0509	12	0.0000	40	0.0928	7
Supportive of defunding police	0.0989	8	0.0336	20	0.0000	41	0.1054	5
SPD Supportive	0.0367	7	0.0235	10	0.0000	14	0.0052	13
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	43	0.0000	43	0.0000	42	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0734	13	0.0470	14	0.0000	43	0.0103	31
Traffic Safety	0.0346	8	0.0281	9	0.0000	15	0.0589	5
Violent Crime	0.0533	4	0.1253	2	0.2590	1	0.1220	3

	Rainier E	Beach (48)	Rainier Vie	w (10)	SOD	O (38)	South Beaco	on Hill (29)
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0000	13	0.0000	8	0.0735	3	0.0309	9
City Politics	0.0622	2	0.0000	9	0.1224	2	0.0000	13
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0781	8	0.0000	12	0.0314	18	0.0000	26
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0206	21	0.0000	13	0.0735	10	0.0000	27
Unsupportive of city council	0.0880	5	0.0000	14	0.2625	1	0.0000	28
Drug Activity	0.0000	14	0.0000	10	0.0333	10	0.0239	10
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	31	0.0000	15	0.0000	30	0.0000	29
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0000	32	0.0000	16	0.0735	11	0.0718	12
Needles	0.0000	33	0.0000	17	0.0263	19	0.0000	30
Homelessness	0.0287	9	0.0000	11	0.0288	11	0.0558	8
Bring back navigation team	0.0142	27	0.0000	18	0.0000	31	0.0000	31
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0526	13	0.0000	19	0.0000	32	0.0718	13
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0000	34	0.0000	20	0.0420	16	0.0886	9
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	35	0.0000	21	0.0000	33	0.0000	32
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0526	14	0.0000	22	0.1308	4	0.1743	1
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0526	15	0.0000	23	0.0000	34	0.0000	33
Lawlessness	0.0239	10	0.0201	7	0.0445	8	0.0103	12
Crime is on the rise	0.0717	9	0.0000	24	0.0916	8	0.0309	21
Lawlessness general	0.0000	36	0.0000	25	0.0000	35	0.0000	34
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0000	37	0.0603	11	0.0420	17	0.0000	35
Police Capacity	0.0578	3	0.0945	3	0.0602	5	0.0658	5
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0000	38	0.0000	26	0.0000	36	0.0975	7
More police community outreach needed	0.0123	30	0.0000	27	0.0263	22	0.0000	36
More police needed	0.0595	10	0.5672	1	0.1329	3	0.1592	3
Slow or no police response	0.1540	1	0.0000	29	0.1308	5	0.0409	15
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.1208	2	0.0000	28	0.0527	15	0.0975	8
Police Legitimacy	0.0319	7	0.0480	6	0.0261	13	0.0603	6
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0366	19	0.1014	6	0.0000	37	0.0309	24
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0549	11	0.0000	30	0.0000	38	0.0818	10
Lack of police accountability	0.0000	39	0.0000	31	0.0182	28	0.0309	19
Lack of police professionalism	0.0183	25	0.0901	10	0.0000	40	0.1165	5
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0183	24	0.0000	32	0.1466	2	0.1592	4
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0837	7	0.0901	9	0.0234	25	0.0000	37
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0206	23	0.0000	34	0.0234	26	0.0617	14
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0549	12	0.1504	4	0.0234	27	0.0309	22
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0000	40	0.0000	33	0.0000	39	0.0309	25

Police Reform	0.0301	8	0.0000	12	0.0198	14	0.0164	11
Demilitarize Police	0.0183	26	0.0000	35	0.0527	14	0.0348	17
Lack of adequate police training	0.0306	20	0.0000	36	0.0000	41	0.0000	38
Police should live in Seattle	0.0206	22	0.0000	37	0.0000	42	0.0000	39
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0508	18	0.0000	38	0.0263	23	0.0309	20
Property Crime	0.0329	6	0.0000	13	0.0496	6	0.1782	1
Protests	0.0000	15	0.0000	14	0.0000	15	0.0000	14
Public Order Crime	0.0575	4	0.0603	4	0.1572	1	0.1743	2
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0512	5	0.1113	2	0.0468	7	0.0569	7
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0123	28	0.0000	39	0.0263	24	0.1027	6
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0512	16	0.2828	3	0.1018	6	0.0309	23
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1060	3	0.1014	7	0.0577	12	0.0000	40
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0876	6	0.1014	8	0.0577	13	0.0348	18
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0000	41	0.0000	40	0.0263	20	0.0000	41
Public parks are unsafe	0.0000	42	0.0000	41	0.0000	43	0.0409	16
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1014	4	0.2844	2	0.0157	29	0.1642	2
Supportive of defunding police	0.0508	17	0.1207	5	0.0888	9	0.0818	11
SPD Supportive	0.0061	12	0.0000	15	0.0631	4	0.0000	15
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	43	0.0000	42	0.0263	21	0.0000	42
Supportive of SPD	0.0123	29	0.0000	43	0.0998	7	0.0000	43
Traffic Safety	0.0142	11	0.2844	1	0.0263	12	0.1579	3
Violent Crime	0.1451	1	0.0603	5	0.0391	9	0.1504	4

Appendix: Southwest Public Safety Concerns

budos Diese	South	west	Alaska J	unction	All	Alki		Duwamish
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.1962	7	0.2159	5	0.2866	8	0.4164	4
Alcohol use in public	0.1828	51	0.2385	41	0.3772	34	0.0000	41
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.2820	27	0.2998	27	0.2859	46	0.5830	4
Drug houses	0.1558	55	0.0879	62	0.1422	65	0.5830	5
Drug use in public	0.2695	32	0.3057	25	0.3933	31	0.5830	6
Marijuana use in public	0.1782	53	0.2090	46	0.3208	42	0.5830	7
Open air drug markets	0.0902	63	0.0763	65	0.1027	70	0.5830	8
Public intoxication	0.2151	47	0.2938	28	0.3840	33	0.0000	42
Homelessness	0.3703	3	0.4097	2	0.3726	4	0.4664	2
Aggressive panhandling	0.2256	42	0.3640	18	0.2478	52	0.5830	9
Car/RV camping	0.5096	2	0.4875	4	0.5400	8	0.5830	10
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4699	9	0.5045	2	0.4362	26	0.5830	11
Squatting	0.2340	39	0.2601	35	0.2444	54	0.5830	12
Transient Camps	0.4125	16	0.4323	13	0.3944	30	0.0000	43
Police Capacity	0.4583	1	0.4061	3	0.5223	1	0.6661	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4889	4	0.4324	12	0.5247	12	0.7908	1
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4949	3	0.4349	11	0.5311	10	0.5830	13
Inadequate police staffing	0.4884	5	0.4531	8	0.5856	4	0.5830	14
Lack of police follow-up	0.3563	18	0.2589	36	0.3918	32	0.7908	2
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4628	10	0.4514	9	0.5783	5	0.5830	15
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2417	5	0.2044	7	0.2975	7	0.2237	6
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2615	34	0.2310	42	0.3688	36	0.0000	44
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2901	26	0.2486	40	0.3955	29	0.0000	45
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.1108	61	0.0808	63	0.2136	57	0.2079	33
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1352	57	0.0767	64	0.1566	63	0.0000	46
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.4368	11	0.4302	14	0.4471	24	0.2079	34
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2207	44	0.2083	47	0.1972	60	0.2079	35
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1334	58	0.0933	60	0.1523	64	0.5830	16
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3454	19	0.2660	31	0.4490	23	0.5830	17
Property Crime	0.4047	2	0.4175	1	0.4780	2	0.4534	3
Auto theft	0.4723	8	0.4901	3	0.4659	19	0.5830	18
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5984	1	0.5800	1	0.6626	1	0.5830	19
Commercial burglary	0.2007	49	0.2636	34	0.2114	58	0.5830	20
Non-residential property crime	0.2481	37	0.3035	26	0.2389	55	0.0000	47
Property crime – general	0.4840	6	0.4570	6	0.6012	2	0.5830	21
Property damage	0.4200	14	0.4632	5	0.5599	6	0.0000	48
Residential burglary	0.4260	12	0.3852	15	0.5169	13	0.5830	22
Theft	0.4161	15	0.4358	10	0.5524	7	0.5830	23
Vandalism	0.3765	17	0.3788	16	0.4926	16	0.5830	24

^{*}The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Public Order Crime	0.1823	8	0.1694	8	0.2713	9	0.1943	7
Disorderly Behavior	0.2548	36	0.3451	20	0.4124	28	0.0000	49
Fireworks	0.2973	25	0.1656	52	0.4967	15	0.0000	50
Graffiti	0.2776	29	0.2501	38	0.3198	43	0.0000	51
Illegal sex work	0.0595	70	0.0559	68	0.0601	71	0.5830	25
Illegal street vending	0.0481	71	0.0201	71	0.1991	59	0.0000	52
Indecent exposure	0.0901	64	0.1589	54	0.1413	66	0.0000	53
Public Order Crime – general	0.1962	50	0.1703	50	0.2803	48	0.5830	26
Trespassing	0.3030	24	0.2651	32	0.3042	44	0.5830	27
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1139	60	0.0940	59	0.2280	56	0.0000	54
Quality of Life	0.2008	6	0.2083	6	0.3079	6	0.2273	5
Civility issues - general	0.2698	31	0.3749	17	0.5352	9	0.5830	28
Crowd Behavior	0.0896	65	0.0513	69	0.4793	18	0.0000	55
Dogs off-leash	0.2175	45	0.2135	44	0.2745	49	0.0000	56
Dogs on the Beach	0.1208	59	0.1652	53	0.2807	47	0.0000	57
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2818	28	0.2638	33	0.3021	45	0.5830	29
Issues in the Parks	0.3267	21	0.3346	23	0.3629	37	0.5830	30
Littering/dumping	0.4805	7	0.4560	7	0.5290	11	0.7908	3
Loitering	0.2281	40	0.3223	24	0.2624	50	0.0000	58
Noise levels	0.2117	48	0.1731	48	0.4612	21	0.0000	59
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1782	52	0.1361	56	0.1815	61	0.0000	60
Soliciting	0.1034	62	0.1353	57	0.1701	62	0.2079	36
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0289	72	0.0201	72	0.0508	72	0.0000	61
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0732	69	0.0611	66	0.1127	69	0.2079	37
Traffic Safety	0.2955	4	0.2753	4	0.4386	3	0.1724	8
Bicycle safety	0.2748	30	0.3411	22	0.3252	40	0.2079	38
Drag racing	0.2260	41	0.1572	55	0.5115	14	0.0000	62
Parking issues	0.3252	22	0.3429	21	0.4879	17	0.5830	31
Pedestrian safety	0.3063	23	0.2512	37	0.4652	20	0.2079	39
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2426	38	0.2712	30	0.2460	53	0.2079	40
Traffic safety	0.2676	33	0.2115	45	0.4390	25	0.0000	63
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.4258	13	0.3521	19	0.5955	3	0.0000	64
Violent Crime	0.1773	9	0.1561	9	0.3084	5	0.0648	9
Assault	0.2571	35	0.2746	29	0.3770	35	0.0000	65
Domestic violence	0.0864	67	0.0611	67	0.1378	67	0.0000	66
Gang activity	0.1441	56	0.1170	58	0.3296	39	0.0000	67
Gun violence	0.2165	46	0.1730	49	0.4560	22	0.0000	68
Homicide	0.0872	66	0.0493	70	0.2524	51	0.0000	69
Robbery	0.2253	43	0.2493	39	0.3584	38	0.5830	32
Sexual assault	0.0744	68	0.0882	61	0.1257	68	0.0000	70
Shots fired	0.3340	20	0.2259	43	0.4172	27	0.0000	71
Violent crime - general	0.1705	54	0.1667	51	0.3215	41	0.0000	72

Index / Item	Commerci Isla		Faunt	leroy	High F	Point	Highlan	d Park
	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.1934	5	0.1066	9	0.1122	9	0.1958	7
Alcohol use in public	0.1934	12	0.1051	51	0.1502	44	0.1486	52
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.1934	13	0.1764	38	0.0961	57	0.3379	23
Drug houses	0.1934	14	0.0726	62	0.0928	59	0.2067	43
Drug use in public	0.1934	15	0.1226	46	0.1675	40	0.3077	27
Marijuana use in public	0.1934	16	0.1275	44	0.0971	55	0.1146	58
Open air drug markets	0.1934	17	0.0224	71	0.0749	63	0.1080	60
Public intoxication	0.1934	18	0.1197	47	0.1069	52	0.1468	53
Homelessness	0.2201	4	0.2924	3	0.2534	3	0.3993	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.1934	19	0.2022	33	0.0814	62	0.1519	51
Car/RV camping	0.3270	4	0.3563	12	0.4466	3	0.5918	3
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.1934	20	0.3985	9	0.3523	11	0.5660	5
Squatting	0.1934	21	0.1473	42	0.1189	50	0.2305	38
Transient Camps	0.1934	22	0.3578	11	0.2678	25	0.4564	13
Police Capacity	0.2468	1	0.4153	1	0.4087	1	0.5198	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4605	1	0.4834	2	0.4337	4	0.5599	6
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.1934	23	0.4679	3	0.5330	1	0.5893	4
Inadequate police staffing	0.1934	24	0.4117	7	0.4021	6	0.5180	7
Lack of police follow-up	0.1934	25	0.2730	20	0.2731	23	0.4635	12
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.1934	26	0.4405	5	0.4017	7	0.4686	11
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.1301	9	0.1900	5	0.2115	5	0.2537	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.1934	27	0.2904	17	0.2075	33	0.2603	35
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.1934	28	0.2643	22	0.2635	26	0.2406	37
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.1934	29	0.0878	60	0.1439	46	0.1019	61
Lack of crime prevention education	0.0000	65	0.0746	61	0.1827	36	0.1362	54
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.1336	62	0.3730	10	0.3136	16	0.4345	15
Lack of resources related to social services	0.1336	63	0.0987	54	0.1521	43	0.2990	28
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.0000	66	0.0919	57	0.1357	48	0.1910	46
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.1934	30	0.2391	27	0.2928	20	0.3661	21
Property Crime	0.2379	2	0.3514	2	0.3103	2	0.3994	2
Auto theft	0.1934	31	0.4312	6	0.3970	8	0.5102	8
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.1934	32	0.5768	1	0.5269	2	0.5971	2
Commercial burglary	0.3270	5	0.1440	43	0.1328	49	0.1313	55
Non-residential property crime	0.1934	33	0.2288	30	0.0944	58	0.2822	32
Property crime – general	0.1934	34	0.4021	8	0.3838	9	0.5018	9
Property damage	0.3270	6	0.3368	14	0.3807	10	0.4008	18
Residential burglary	0.1934	35	0.4510	4	0.3392	12	0.4056	17
Theft	0.1934	36	0.3052	16	0.2412	27	0.4562	14
Vandalism	0.3270	7	0.2865	18	0.2965	19	0.3096	26

Public Order Crime	0.2231	3	0.1431	7	0.1586	7	0.1645	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.1934	37	0.1552	39	0.1805	37	0.2151	42
Fireworks	0.3270	8	0.2522	25	0.3267	14	0.2822	31
Graffiti	0.3270	9	0.2360	28	0.1919	34	0.2216	40
Illegal sex work	0.1934	38	0.0224	72	0.0257	72	0.1104	59
Illegal street vending	0.1934	39	0.0696	64	0.0563	66	0.0240	71
Indecent exposure	0.1934	40	0.0421	68	0.1012	53	0.0374	67
Public Order Crime – general	0.1934	41	0.1527	40	0.1740	38	0.1676	50
Trespassing	0.1934	42	0.2523	24	0.2304	28	0.3362	24
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1934	43	0.1055	49	0.1404	47	0.0863	63
Quality of Life	0.1647	8	0.1508	6	0.1665	6	0.1986	6
Civility issues - general	0.3270	10	0.1262	45	0.1836	35	0.2026	45
Crowd Behavior	0.1934	44	0.0592	66	0.0555	67	0.0308	68
Dogs off-leash	0.1934	45	0.1815	36	0.2707	24	0.2158	41
Dogs on the Beach	0.0000	67	0.1816	35	0.0962	56	0.0265	70
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.0000	68	0.2674	21	0.2301	30	0.3552	22
Issues in the Parks	0.1934	46	0.3211	15	0.2983	18	0.3153	25
Littering/dumping	0.4605	2	0.2838	19	0.3371	13	0.6351	1
Loitering	0.1934	47	0.1080	48	0.1585	41	0.2258	39
Noise levels	0.1934	48	0.1788	37	0.2799	22	0.1869	48
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1934	49	0.0722	63	0.0910	60	0.2060	44
Soliciting	0.1934	50	0.0923	56	0.0446	69	0.0930	62
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	69	0.0303	70	0.0724	65	0.0084	72
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0000	70	0.0577	67	0.0465	68	0.0804	64
Traffic Safety	0.1868	7	0.2259	4	0.2403	4	0.3369	4
Bicycle safety	0.0000	71	0.1850	34	0.2163	32	0.2744	33
Drag racing	0.1934	51	0.0948	55	0.0829	61	0.2689	34
Parking issues	0.4605	3	0.2620	23	0.2831	21	0.2862	30
Pedestrian safety	0.0000	72	0.2193	32	0.2303	29	0.3798	19
Safety issues at bus stops	0.1934	52	0.2413	26	0.1563	42	0.2877	29
Traffic safety	0.1336	64	0.2330	29	0.3070	17	0.3701	20
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3270	11	0.3457	13	0.4060	5	0.4910	10
Violent Crime	0.1934	6	0.1073	8	0.1358	8	0.1545	9
Assault	0.1934	53	0.1499	41	0.1501	45	0.2441	36
Domestic violence	0.1934	54	0.1030	52	0.1185	51	0.0563	65
Gang activity	0.1934	55	0.1054	50	0.0749	64	0.1202	57
Gun violence	0.1934	56	0.0988	53	0.1706	39	0.1725	49
Homicide	0.1934	57	0.0398	69	0.0350	71	0.0308	69
Robbery	0.1934	58	0.0883	59	0.2174	31	0.1901	47
Sexual assault	0.1934	59	0.0633	65	0.0412	70	0.0416	66
Shots fired	0.1934	60	0.2260	31	0.3154	15	0.4091	16
Violent crime - general	0.1934	61	0.0909	58	0.0988	54	0.1254	56

1.1.7%	Morg	gan	North A	dmiral	North D	elridge	Pigeon	Point
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.1498	9	0.1502	8	0.2233	6	0.0974	7
Alcohol use in public	0.1989	44	0.1607	50	0.1380	53	0.0000	63
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.2561	37	0.2453	30	0.3759	20	0.1850	34
Drug houses	0.0529	63	0.0476	65	0.2540	38	0.1931	32
Drug use in public	0.1917	47	0.1993	40	0.3110	27	0.1049	46
Marijuana use in public	0.1104	56	0.2042	39	0.1049	60	0.0664	50
Open air drug markets	0.0429	64	0.0233	72	0.1118	57	0.0664	51
Public intoxication	0.1956	45	0.1710	48	0.2675	35	0.0664	52
Homelessness	0.3506	3	0.3407	3	0.4073	3	0.3696	2
Aggressive panhandling	0.2394	39	0.2783	24	0.1037	62	0.0231	60
Car/RV camping	0.4377	14	0.5049	3	0.6072	1	0.5948	2
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4352	15	0.3600	17	0.5514	5	0.5669	4
Squatting	0.2240	42	0.1952	41	0.2931	30	0.1877	33
Transient Camps	0.4164	18	0.3651	15	0.4812	12	0.4756	9
Police Capacity	0.5877	1	0.4449	1	0.4431	1	0.4456	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.6092	5	0.4662	6	0.4914	9	0.4832	8
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.6701	3	0.4719	5	0.4417	13	0.4323	13
Inadequate police staffing	0.7074	2	0.5342	2	0.4905	10	0.5227	5
Lack of police follow-up	0.4308	16	0.2957	20	0.3655	22	0.3827	15
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.5213	10	0.4563	8	0.4266	14	0.4068	14
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2358	5	0.2049	5	0.2531	5	0.2405	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.3042	28	0.2361	34	0.2389	42	0.2124	31
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.3670	22	0.2560	28	0.3121	26	0.2727	23
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0423	65	0.0974	57	0.1009	63	0.0155	62
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1782	51	0.0933	59	0.1221	56	0.0692	48
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.4189	17	0.3811	11	0.4873	11	0.5721	3
Lack of resources related to social services	0.0998	59	0.2173	37	0.2747	34	0.2853	21
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1089	57	0.1012	56	0.1066	59	0.1261	40
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3672	21	0.2568	27	0.3821	19	0.3708	16
Property Crime	0.5248	2	0.3718	2	0.4307	2	0.3275	3
Auto theft	0.5451	8	0.4461	9	0.5445	6	0.4554	11
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.7454	1	0.5811	1	0.5797	3	0.7136	1
Commercial burglary	0.2934	31	0.2157	38	0.1400	52	0.0664	49
Non-residential property crime	0.3678	20	0.1786	45	0.2406	40	0.0260	59
Property crime – general	0.6385	4	0.4609	7	0.5537	4	0.4874	7
Property damage	0.5106	12	0.3731	13	0.3929	17	0.2645	25
Residential burglary	0.5671	6	0.3778	12	0.5045	8	0.2952	20
Theft	0.5189	11	0.3526	18	0.5276	7	0.3687	17
Vandalism	0.5365	9	0.3607	16	0.3929	18	0.2704	24

Public Order Crime	0.1845	6	0.1658	7	0.1739	9	0.0794	9
Disorderly Behavior	0.2904	32	0.2389	32	0.2525	39	0.0000	64
Fireworks	0.2816	34	0.2865	21	0.2271	43	0.1593	38
Graffiti	0.2801	35	0.3153	19	0.2859	32	0.1131	43
Illegal sex work	0.0118	70	0.0399	68	0.0724	64	0.0155	61
Illegal street vending	0.0258	66	0.0429	66	0.0373	70	0.0000	65
Indecent exposure	0.0258	67	0.0881	60	0.0457	68	0.0000	66
Public Order Crime – general	0.2850	33	0.1065	55	0.2073	47	0.1074	45
Trespassing	0.3799	19	0.2615	26	0.3732	21	0.3192	19
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0802	61	0.1124	54	0.0638	65	0.0000	67
Quality of Life	0.1718	7	0.1793	6	0.2133	7	0.1508	6
Civility issues - general	0.1447	52	0.2798	23	0.2406	41	0.0462	57
Crowd Behavior	0.0258	68	0.0826	62	0.0347	71	0.0000	68
Dogs off-leash	0.2205	43	0.2330	35	0.2811	33	0.2363	28
Dogs on the Beach	0.1324	53	0.1690	49	0.1041	61	0.0664	53
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.1274	54	0.1939	42	0.3587	23	0.1384	39
Issues in the Parks	0.3653	23	0.3695	14	0.3220	25	0.2770	22
Littering/dumping	0.4443	13	0.4020	10	0.5923	2	0.5158	6
Loitering	0.2748	36	0.1556	51	0.1835	50	0.1700	37
Noise levels	0.1056	58	0.1845	44	0.1943	48	0.0720	47
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1816	49	0.0808	63	0.3037	28	0.2138	30
Soliciting	0.1953	46	0.1292	53	0.0399	69	0.0462	58
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	71	0.0243	71	0.0108	72	0.0664	54
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0152	69	0.0261	70	0.1070	58	0.1115	44
Traffic Safety	0.3417	4	0.2639	4	0.2714	4	0.2744	4
Bicycle safety	0.2329	40	0.2648	25	0.3384	24	0.2417	27
Drag racing	0.2465	38	0.2811	22	0.1241	55	0.1259	41
Parking issues	0.3631	24	0.2434	31	0.3028	29	0.4365	12
Pedestrian safety	0.3461	26	0.1774	46	0.2917	31	0.2494	26
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3494	25	0.2177	36	0.2088	46	0.1760	35
Traffic safety	0.3005	29	0.1763	47	0.2268	44	0.2182	29
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.5535	7	0.4865	4	0.4073	16	0.4734	10
Violent Crime	0.1650	8	0.1257	9	0.1908	8	0.0829	8
Assault	0.3397	27	0.2381	33	0.2658	36	0.0664	55
Domestic violence	0.0000	72	0.0872	61	0.1286	54	0.0000	69
Gang activity	0.1226	55	0.0418	67	0.1406	51	0.0000	70
Gun violence	0.1785	50	0.1328	52	0.2189	45	0.1719	36
Homicide	0.0529	62	0.0310	69	0.0542	66	0.0000	71
Robbery	0.2276	41	0.1874	43	0.2579	37	0.1185	42
Sexual assault	0.0869	60	0.0651	64	0.0469	67	0.0000	72
Shots fired	0.2954	30	0.2522	29	0.4204	15	0.3245	18
Violent crime - general	0.1816	48	0.0960	58	0.1840	49	0.0645	56

1.1.7%	South E)elridge	South	Park	Westwood / Roxh	ill / Arbor Heights
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2878	7	0.1766	8	0.1871	7
Alcohol use in public	0.2081	55	0.0537	66	0.1437	55
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.3940	21	0.3537	15	0.2557	30
Drug houses	0.3608	31	0.1986	42	0.1988	43
Drug use in public	0.3762	27	0.2440	33	0.2470	32
Marijuana use in public	0.1938	58	0.1422	54	0.2032	41
Open air drug markets	0.2037	56	0.1179	58	0.0941	61
Public intoxication	0.2782	42	0.1262	57	0.1670	51
Homelessness	0.4744	2	0.3200	3	0.3478	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.2794	41	0.1677	50	0.2092	40
Car/RV camping	0.6091	3	0.3451	17	0.5114	2
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5874	4	0.3823	9	0.4447	8
Squatting	0.3766	26	0.2922	27	0.1835	49
Transient Camps	0.5193	12	0.4128	8	0.3902	13
Police Capacity	0.5282	1	0.3419	2	0.4380	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.5604	7	0.3211	20	0.4787	4
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.5268	10	0.3455	16	0.4998	3
Inadequate police staffing	0.4462	18	0.3783	10	0.4429	9
Lack of police follow-up	0.5826	5	0.2942	25	0.3360	20
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.5250	11	0.3703	11	0.4325	10
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.3447	5	0.2181	5	0.2551	4
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.3514	35	0.1534	53	0.2466	34
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.3639	30	0.2341	35	0.3023	26
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.1830	60	0.1118	60	0.0920	62
Lack of crime prevention education	0.3246	37	0.1846	47	0.1321	57
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.6195	2	0.3287	18	0.4602	6
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2882	40	0.1963	43	0.2652	29
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1572	62	0.2443	32	0.1360	56
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.4697	16	0.2913	28	0.4068	12
Property Crime	0.4716	3	0.3867	1	0.3484	2
Auto theft	0.5378	8	0.4835	3	0.3881	14
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.7061	1	0.5146	1	0.5239	1
Commercial burglary	0.2639	46	0.1785	48	0.1974	44
Non-residential property crime	0.3791	25	0.2485	31	0.2258	35
Property crime – general	0.5134	14	0.4202	5	0.4162	11
Property damage	0.5089	15	0.4397	4	0.3285	22
Residential burglary	0.5171	13	0.3662	12	0.3848	15
Theft	0.3823	24	0.4139	7	0.3462	19
Vandalism	0.4356	19	0.4156	6	0.3249	24

Public Order Crime	0.2132	8	0.1934	6	0.1926	6
Disorderly Behavior	0.2741	43	0.3223	19	0.1536	53
Fireworks	0.2599	48	0.3016	22	0.4698	5
Graffiti	0.3536	34	0.2959	24	0.3615	17
Illegal sex work	0.1431	64	0.0494	67	0.0253	71
Illegal street vending	0.0085	72	0.0124	72	0.0283	69
Indecent exposure	0.1358	66	0.0809	63	0.0683	65
Public Order Crime – general	0.1965	57	0.2193	39	0.2466	33
Trespassing	0.3597	32	0.3659	13	0.2781	27
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1882	59	0.0930	61	0.1024	59
Quality of Life	0.2008	9	0.1767	7	0.1854	8
Civility issues - general	0.2524	51	0.1946	44	0.2115	38
Crowd Behavior	0.0760	69	0.0393	69	0.0044	72
Dogs off-leash	0.1358	65	0.2310	36	0.1657	52
Dogs on the Beach	0.0182	71	0.0476	68	0.0560	66
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3570	33	0.2938	26	0.3349	21
Issues in the Parks	0.2271	54	0.2042	40	0.3774	16
Littering/dumping	0.5367	9	0.4958	2	0.4600	7
Loitering	0.2681	45	0.3118	21	0.1938	46
Noise levels	0.2358	52	0.1335	55	0.1961	45
Problem/nuisance properties	0.2278	53	0.1945	45	0.2684	28
Soliciting	0.0831	68	0.0208	71	0.0699	64
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0427	70	0.0393	70	0.0267	70
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1502	63	0.0906	62	0.0448	67
Traffic Safety	0.3626	4	0.2356	4	0.2406	5
Bicycle safety	0.3018	39	0.2985	23	0.1937	47
Drag racing	0.4135	20	0.1298	56	0.1479	54
Parking issues	0.3929	22	0.2214	38	0.2541	31
Pedestrian safety	0.3667	29	0.2786	30	0.3607	18
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2582	49	0.2033	41	0.2175	37
Traffic safety	0.3471	36	0.2347	34	0.1824	50
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.4576	17	0.2830	29	0.3279	23
Violent Crime	0.3012	6	0.1666	9	0.1666	9
Assault	0.3753	28	0.2238	37	0.2256	36
Domestic violence	0.1096	67	0.1161	59	0.0908	63
Gang activity	0.3062	38	0.1638	51	0.1235	58
Gun violence	0.3906	23	0.1569	52	0.2110	39
Homicide	0.2688	44	0.0537	65	0.1018	60
Robbery	0.2634	47	0.1886	46	0.2000	42
Sexual assault	0.1810	61	0.0601	64	0.0376	68
Shots fired	0.5625	6	0.3648	14	0.3202	25
Violent crime - general	0.2539	50	0.1717	49	0.1889	48

Appendix: Southwest Weighted Themes

Appendix occimies weighted memes	Southwe	est (504)	Alaska .	Junction (87)	Alki	(63)	Commercial	Duwamish (3)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0183	13	0.0429	7	0.0000	15	0.0000	2
City Politics	0.0946	2	0.0741	2	0.1481	1	0.0000	3
City politics decreasing public safety	0.1093	4	0.0596	14	0.1919	3	0.0000	3
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0347	26	0.0605	13	0.0371	19	0.0000	4
Unsupportive of city council	0.1399	2	0.1022	3	0.2153	2	0.0000	5
Drug Activity	0.0142	14	0.0150	13	0.0053	14	0.0000	4
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0025	43	0.0000	37	0.0000	34	0.0000	6
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0298	29	0.0147	33	0.0158	24	0.0000	7
Needles	0.0104	38	0.0304	29	0.0000	35	0.0000	8
Homelessness	0.0439	9	0.0293	12	0.0128	12	0.3333	1
Bring back navigation team	0.0041	42	0.0000	38	0.0000	36	0.0000	9
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0577	11	0.0427	21	0.0000	37	0.0000	10
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0540	13	0.0316	28	0.0443	15	1.0000	1
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0117	37	0.0135	34	0.0094	31	0.0000	11
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0871	6	0.0721	7	0.0094	32	1.0000	2
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0487	18	0.0158	32	0.0140	25	0.0000	12
Lawlessness	0.0493	8	0.0669	3	0.0504	6	0.0000	5
Crime is on the rise	0.0533	14	0.0372	26	0.0608	13	0.0000	13
Lawlessness general	0.0376	23	0.0798	6	0.0343	20	0.0000	14
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0570	12	0.0836	5	0.0560	14	0.0000	15
Police Capacity	0.0671	5	0.0626	4	0.0887	3	0.0000	6
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0162	35	0.0000	39	0.0000	38	0.0000	16
More police community outreach needed	0.0207	32	0.0463	19	0.0000	39	0.0000	17
More police needed	0.1896	1	0.1677	1	0.3336	1	0.0000	18
Slow or no police response	0.1295	3	0.1546	2	0.1843	4	0.0000	20
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0455	19	0.0068	36	0.0140	27	0.0000	19
Police Legitimacy	0.0331	11	0.0396	9	0.0399	9	0.0000	7
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0430	20	0.0687	8	0.0689	10	0.0000	21
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0162	34	0.0000	40	0.0140	28	0.0000	22
Lack of police accountability	0.0351	25	0.0396	24	0.0000	40	0.0000	23
Lack of police professionalism	0.0258	30	0.0393	25	0.0674	12	0.0000	27
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0306	28	0.0406	23	0.0443	16	0.0000	24
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0508	17	0.0431	20	0.0783	8	0.0000	25
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0508	16	0.0488	17	0.0000	41	0.0000	28
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0101	40	0.0660	10	0.0721	9	0.0000	29
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0355	24	0.0101	35	0.0140	29	0.0000	26

The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Police Reform	0.0209	12	0.0118	14	0.0309	11	0.0000	8
Demilitarize Police	0.0178	33	0.0000	41	0.0094	33	0.0000	30
Lack of adequate police training	0.0426	21	0.0473	18	0.1033	5	0.0000	31
Police should live in Seattle	0.0104	39	0.0000	42	0.0109	30	0.0000	32
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0129	36	0.0000	43	0.0000	42	0.0000	33
Property Crime	0.1050	1	0.0836	1	0.0374	10	0.0000	9
Protests	0.0086	15	0.0000	15	0.0440	8	0.0000	10
Public Order Crime	0.0943	3	0.0548	6	0.0829	4	0.0000	11
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0555	7	0.0561	5	0.0477	7	0.0000	12
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0226	31	0.0169	31	0.0140	26	0.0000	34
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0953	5	0.0679	9	0.0280	21	0.0000	35
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.0728	7	0.0555	16	0.0422	18	0.0000	36
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0624	9	0.0622	11	0.0234	23	0.0000	37
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0404	22	0.0621	12	0.0813	7	0.0000	38
Public parks are unsafe	0.0318	27	0.0416	22	0.0438	17	0.0000	39
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0675	8	0.0569	15	0.0815	6	0.0000	40
Supportive of defunding police	0.0519	15	0.0864	4	0.0677	11	0.0000	41
SPD Supportive	0.0332	10	0.0306	11	0.0125	13	0.0000	13
SPD doing a great job	0.0060	41	0.0245	30	0.0000	43	0.0000	42
Supportive of SPD	0.0604	10	0.0368	27	0.0249	22	0.0000	43
Traffic Safety	0.0704	4	0.0351	10	0.1360	2	0.0000	14
Violent Crime	0.0570	6	0.0429	8	0.0625	5	0.0000	15

Index / Item		Harbor Island 4)	Fauntler	oy (33)	High Poi	nt (19)	Highland F	Park (58)
mack/ nom	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0000	4	0.0499	8	0.0000	11	0.0000	15
City Politics	0.0880	1	0.0698	4	0.0212	8	0.0882	1
City politics decreasing public safety	0.2639	1	0.0760	10	0.0318	18	0.1574	3
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0000	4	0.0298	18	0.0000	20	0.0102	35
Unsupportive of city council	0.0000	5	0.1036	4	0.0318	19	0.0970	7
Drug Activity	0.0000	5	0.0088	12	0.0000	12	0.0073	14
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	6	0.0000	32	0.0000	21	0.0000	37
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0000	7	0.0265	22	0.0000	22	0.0220	27
Needles	0.0000	8	0.0000	33	0.0000	23	0.0000	38
Homelessness	0.0440	2	0.0370	10	0.0106	10	0.0399	11
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	9	0.0000	34	0.0000	24	0.0102	34
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0000	10	0.0265	23	0.0000	25	0.0576	18
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0000	11	0.0861	7	0.0318	14	0.0426	22
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	12	0.0000	35	0.0000	26	0.0000	39
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.2639	2	0.0828	8	0.0318	10	0.0597	16
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0000	13	0.0265	24	0.0000	27	0.0691	15
Lawlessness	0.0000	6	0.0474	9	0.0316	6	0.0477	7
Crime is on the rise	0.0000	14	0.0918	6	0.0474	6	0.0527	20
Lawlessness general	0.0000	15	0.0206	28	0.0000	28	0.0000	40
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0000	16	0.0298	19	0.0474	7	0.0902	9
Police Capacity	0.0000	7	0.0895	2	0.0989	3	0.0840	3
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0000	17	0.0235	26	0.1488	2	0.0403	23
More police community outreach needed	0.0000	18	0.0441	15	0.0000	29	0.0578	17
More police needed	0.0000	19	0.2517	1	0.2648	1	0.1985	2
Slow or no police response	0.0000	21	0.1739	2	0.1004	4	0.1225	4
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0000	20	0.0442	14	0.0474	8	0.0850	10
Police Legitimacy	0.0000	8	0.0151	11	0.0000	13	0.0383	12
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0000	22	0.0177	29	0.0000	30	0.0478	21
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0000	23	0.0000	36	0.0000	31	0.0152	30
Lack of police accountability	0.0000	24	0.0710	11	0.0000	32	0.0171	29
Lack of police professionalism	0.0000	28	0.0000	39	0.0000	36	0.0102	36
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0000	25	0.0177	30	0.0000	33	0.0000	41
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0000	26	0.0000	37	0.0000	34	0.1118	6
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0000	29	0.0000	40	0.0000	37	0.0304	24
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0000	30	0.0298	20	0.0000	38	0.0966	8
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0000	27	0.0000	38	0.0000	35	0.0152	31

Police Reform	0.0000	9	0.0075	13	0.0451	5	0.0409	9
Demilitarize Police	0.0000	31	0.0000	41	0.0000	39	0.0806	12
Lack of adequate police training	0.0000	32	0.0298	21	0.0318	15	0.0273	25
Police should live in Seattle	0.0000	33	0.0000	42	0.1488	3	0.0000	42
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0000	34	0.0000	43	0.0000	40	0.0555	19
Property Crime	0.0000	10	0.2479	1	0.2123	1	0.0851	2
Protests	0.0000	11	0.0000	14	0.0000	14	0.0102	13
Public Order Crime	0.0000	12	0.0529	7	0.0000	15	0.0731	4
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0330	3	0.0531	6	0.0272	7	0.0709	5
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0000	35	0.0442	13	0.0000	41	0.0254	26
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.2639	3	0.0383	17	0.0000	42	0.2490	1
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.0000	36	0.0383	16	0.0318	16	0.1123	5
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0000	37	0.0206	27	0.0318	17	0.0718	13
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0000	38	0.0177	31	0.0368	9	0.0118	32
Public parks are unsafe	0.0000	39	0.0532	12	0.0318	11	0.0204	28
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0000	40	0.1121	3	0.0318	12	0.0104	33
Supportive of defunding police	0.0000	41	0.0999	5	0.0534	5	0.0707	14
SPD Supportive	0.0000	13	0.0545	5	0.0159	9	0.0425	8
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	42	0.0265	25	0.0000	43	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0000	43	0.0825	9	0.0318	13	0.0850	11
Traffic Safety	0.0000	14	0.0854	3	0.0902	4	0.0580	6
Violent Crime	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.1488	2	0.0407	10

	Morga	n (28)	North Adn	niral (43)	North Delr	idge (38)	Pigeon P	oint (21)
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0248	12	0.0523	9	0.0000	14	0.0000	12
City Politics	0.1580	2	0.0951	2	0.0791	4	0.1381	1
City politics decreasing public safety City Politicians not adequately addressing	0.1251	8	0.0782	13	0.0495	15	0.1327	7
homelessness	0.0890	13	0.0660	14	0.0524	14	0.0000	24
Unsupportive of city council	0.2600	1	0.1411	6	0.1355	3	0.2816	1
Drug Activity	0.0545	8	0.0077	14	0.0243	12	0.0094	11
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0248	19	0.0000	35	0.0156	28	0.0000	25
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.1387	6	0.0000	36	0.0312	22	0.0000	26
Needles	0.0000	27	0.0230	25	0.0262	24	0.0282	22
Homelessness	0.0517	9	0.0700	7	0.0781	5	0.0810	4
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	28	0.0205	28	0.0000	36	0.0000	27
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.1362	7	0.0410	21	0.1143	8	0.2472	2
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0214	24	0.0847	12	0.0443	18	0.0702	14
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	29	0.0000	37	0.0262	23	0.0282	23
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.1165	9	0.2126	2	0.1355	4	0.0702	15
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0359	18	0.0614	17	0.1482	2	0.0702	16
Lawlessness	0.0782	5	0.0487	10	0.0826	2	0.0645	7
Crime is on the rise	0.1635	4	0.0342	23	0.0727	13	0.0609	17
Lawlessness general	0.0462	17	0.0230	26	0.1255	6	0.0000	28
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0248	20	0.0890	10	0.0495	16	0.1327	8
Police Capacity	0.0604	7	0.0802	4	0.0338	9	0.0271	10
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0248	21	0.0000	38	0.0000	37	0.0000	29
More police community outreach needed	0.0000	30	0.0000	39	0.0000	38	0.0000	30
More police needed	0.2097	3	0.1461	5	0.0831	11	0.1207	10
Slow or no police response	0.1030	12	0.2200	1	0.1042	9	0.0000	31
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0248	23	0.1151	7	0.0156	31	0.0420	20
Police Legitimacy	0.0233	13	0.0385	11	0.0176	13	0.0826	3
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0000	31	0.0274	24	0.0156	32	0.0000	32
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0000	32	0.0205	30	0.0000	39	0.1680	5
Lack of police accountability	0.1141	10	0.0639	16	0.0156	29	0.1816	4
Lack of police professionalism	0.0000	35	0.0205	32	0.0233	26	0.0000	34
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0248	22	0.0205	31	0.0233	25	0.2101	3
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0000	33	0.0981	9	0.0156	33	0.0473	18
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0710	15	0.0205	33	0.0337	21	0.0890	13
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0000	36	0.0546	18	0.0156	34	0.0473	19
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0000	34	0.0205	27	0.0156	30	0.0000	33

Police Reform	0.0053	14	0.0321	12	0.0245	11	0.0000	13
Demilitarize Police	0.0214	25	0.0000	40	0.0824	12	0.0000	35
Lack of adequate police training	0.0000	37	0.0876	11	0.0000	40	0.0000	36
Police should live in Seattle	0.0000	38	0.0409	22	0.0000	41	0.0000	37
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0000	39	0.0000	41	0.0156	35	0.0000	38
Property Crime	0.1638	1	0.0890	3	0.0312	10	0.0702	5
Protests	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	14
Public Order Crime	0.1566	3	0.1186	1	0.1093	1	0.0984	2
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0765	6	0.0727	6	0.0614	6	0.0495	9
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0000	40	0.0137	34	0.0156	27	0.0000	39
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.2487	2	0.1607	3	0.0418	20	0.0000	40
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1038	11	0.0639	15	0.1220	7	0.0327	21
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0214	26	0.1081	8	0.1297	5	0.0935	12
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0781	14	0.0000	42	0.0443	19	0.0000	41
Public parks are unsafe	0.0000	41	0.0435	19	0.0443	17	0.1207	11
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1601	5	0.1484	4	0.0938	10	0.1489	6
Supportive of defunding police	0.0000	42	0.0435	20	0.0000	42	0.0000	42
SPD Supportive	0.0321	11	0.0102	13	0.0822	3	0.0664	6
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	43	0.0000	43	0.0000	43	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0642	16	0.0205	29	0.1643	1	0.1327	9
Traffic Safety	0.0821	4	0.0526	8	0.0465	7	0.0563	8
Violent Crime	0.0496	10	0.0753	5	0.0389	8	0.0000	15

	South De	Iridge (13)	South F	Park (35)	Westwood / Roxhill /	Arbor Heights (60)
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0000	12	0.0000	13	0.0147	15
City Politics	0.0226	8	0.0424	6	0.1270	2
City politics decreasing public safety City Politicians not adequately addressing	0.0678	10	0.0000	29	0.2038	1
homelessness	0.0000	17	0.0284	20	0.0000	30
Unsupportive of city council	0.0000	18	0.0987	6	0.1773	3
Drug Activity	0.0000	13	0.0234	9	0.0173	13
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	19	0.0000	30	0.0000	31
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0000	20	0.0703	11	0.0520	15
Needles	0.0000	21	0.0000	31	0.0000	32
Homelessness	0.0113	11	0.0723	3	0.0358	9
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	22	0.0169	26	0.0000	33
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0000	23	0.1156	5	0.0343	20
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0000	24	0.0703	12	0.0520	16
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	25	0.0000	32	0.0421	18
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0000	26	0.0986	7	0.0666	9
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0678	9	0.1325	4	0.0197	23
Lawlessness	0.0714	4	0.0000	14	0.0212	11
Crime is on the rise	0.2141	1	0.0000	33	0.0000	34
Lawlessness general	0.0000	27	0.0000	34	0.0359	19
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0000	28	0.0000	35	0.0277	22
Police Capacity	0.0164	10	0.0661	5	0.0601	5
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0000	29	0.0452	16	0.0000	35
More police community outreach needed	0.0000	30	0.0452	17	0.0000	36
More police needed	0.0527	12	0.1572	1	0.1866	2
Slow or no police response	0.0454	14	0.0784	10	0.0973	5
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0000	31	0.0703	13	0.0768	7
Police Legitimacy	0.0549	5	0.0215	10	0.0308	10
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0000	32	0.0836	9	0.0552	12
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0763	4	0.0000	36	0.0165	26
Lack of police accountability	0.0454	15	0.0000	37	0.0000	37
Lack of police professionalism	0.0763	6	0.0536	15	0.0835	6
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0763	5	0.0196	22	0.0000	38
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0454	16	0.0169	27	0.0575	10
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0763	7	0.0000	39	0.0000	39
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0981	3	0.0196	23	0.0477	17
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0000	33	0.0000	38	0.0165	27

Police Reform	0.0191	9	0.0098	12	0.0176	12
Demilitarize Police	0.0000	34	0.0000	40	0.0000	40
Lack of adequate police training	0.0000	35	0.0196	24	0.0539	13
Police should live in Seattle	0.0000	36	0.0000	41	0.0000	41
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0763	8	0.0196	25	0.0165	24
Property Crime	0.3358	2	0.0252	8	0.1647	1
Protests	0.0000	14	0.0000	15	0.0165	14
Public Order Crime	0.3582	1	0.1370	1	0.1070	4
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0292	7	0.0666	4	0.0378	7
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0527	13	0.0284	21	0.0539	14
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0000	37	0.0392	18	0.1174	4
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1132	2	0.1459	2	0.0556	11
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0678	11	0.1459	3	0.0165	25
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0000	38	0.0899	8	0.0147	29
Public parks are unsafe	0.0000	39	0.0000	42	0.0000	42
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0000	40	0.0169	28	0.0147	28
Supportive of defunding police	0.0000	41	0.0667	14	0.0295	21
SPD Supportive	0.0000	15	0.0196	11	0.0363	8
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	42	0.0000	43	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0000	43	0.0392	19	0.0727	8
Traffic Safety	0.0527	6	0.0284	7	0.1135	3
Violent Crime	0.2141	3	0.1238	2	0.0459	6

Appendix: West Public Safety Concerns

1.1.70	We	st	Bellt	own	Downtown Cor	nmercial District	Eastlake (We	est Precinct)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.3000	4	0.3205	4	0.4278	3	0.1627	7
Alcohol use in public	0.2759	39	0.3182	28	0.4294	26	0.1575	44
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.4523	6	0.4706	6	0.5839	2	0.2794	25
Drug houses	0.1195	64	0.0876	68	0.1415	65	0.1013	60
Drug use in public	0.4248	13	0.4441	9	0.5802	3	0.2492	30
Marijuana use in public	0.2307	49	0.2414	47	0.3504	40	0.1190	55
Open air drug markets	0.2553	44	0.3002	32	0.4009	31	0.0835	65
Public intoxication	0.3418	24	0.3816	23	0.5083	16	0.1488	45
Homelessness	0.3775	3	0.3584	3	0.4679	2	0.2597	5
Aggressive panhandling	0.3483	21	0.3990	20	0.5112	12	0.1407	50
Car/RV camping	0.3290	28	0.2170	49	0.3678	38	0.2702	26
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5094	1	0.5140	3	0.5847	1	0.3473	12
Squatting	0.2538	47	0.2432	46	0.3400	42	0.1958	40
Transient Camps	0.4473	8	0.4189	14	0.5356	8	0.3444	14
Police Capacity	0.4204	1	0.3902	1	0.4883	1	0.3823	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4310	12	0.3995	19	0.4932	19	0.4061	6
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4468	9	0.4155	15	0.4986	17	0.4027	7
Inadequate police staffing	0.4346	11	0.3891	21	0.5108	14	0.3386	17
Lack of police follow-up	0.3450	22	0.3184	27	0.3985	32	0.3431	15
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4447	10	0.4288	13	0.5404	7	0.4211	3
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.2690	5	0.2683	5	0.3142	8	0.2905	3
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2768	38	0.2482	45	0.3482	41	0.2116	36
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2690	41	0.2332	48	0.3241	46	0.3144	22
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0876	71	0.0771	69	0.0806	70	0.0768	69
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1736	55	0.1677	56	0.2170	61	0.3406	16
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5043	2	0.5711	1	0.5165	11	0.4149	5
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2744	40	0.3309	26	0.3166	47	0.1004	64
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1887	54	0.1579	57	0.2194	60	0.3995	8
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3779	17	0.3605	24	0.4914	20	0.4658	2
Property Crime	0.3796	2	0.3745	2	0.4188	4	0.3636	2
Auto theft	0.3421	23	0.2494	44	0.3729	37	0.3591	11
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.4974	3	0.4915	5	0.4697	21	0.6603	1
Commercial burglary	0.2772	37	0.2516	43	0.3640	39	0.3057	23
Non-residential property crime	0.3021	30	0.3098	29	0.3830	36	0.2290	34
Property crime – general	0.4566	5	0.4409	10	0.5110	13	0.3737	9
Property damage	0.4518	7	0.5000	4	0.5272	10	0.3234	20
Residential burglary	0.2903	34	0.2677	37	0.2143	62	0.3619	10
Theft	0.4059	15	0.4120	16	0.4643	22	0.3267	19
Vandalism	0.3929	16	0.4480	8	0.4624	23	0.3326	18

The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Public Order Crime	0.2181	9	0.2159	9	0.3154	6	0.1632	6
Disorderly Behavior	0.3582	19	0.4344	11	0.5299	9	0.2059	38
Fireworks	0.1053	69	0.0711	70	0.0749	71	0.1958	41
Graffiti	0.3552	20	0.3832	22	0.4559	24	0.2588	28
Illegal sex work	0.1173	65	0.0624	71	0.1788	63	0.0298	71
Illegal street vending	0.1198	63	0.1184	62	0.2515	55	0.0835	66
Indecent exposure	0.2141	50	0.1841	54	0.3853	35	0.1455	47
Public Order Crime – general	0.2538	45	0.2643	39	0.3872	34	0.1424	49
Trespassing	0.2934	33	0.2873	34	0.3311	45	0.2794	24
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1457	60	0.1381	60	0.2438	56	0.1277	52
Quality of Life	0.2238	8	0.2348	8	0.2871	9	0.1544	8
Civility issues - general	0.4242	14	0.5511	2	0.5738	4	0.2028	39
Crowd Behavior	0.1385	61	0.1146	63	0.2810	51	0.0835	67
Dogs off-leash	0.1142	66	0.1116	64	0.0808	69	0.2457	31
Dogs on the Beach	0.0244	72	0.0249	72	0.0205	72	0.0177	72
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3347	27	0.4008	18	0.4481	25	0.2113	37
Issues in the Parks	0.2856	35	0.2701	36	0.3150	48	0.2680	27
Littering/dumping	0.4717	4	0.4621	7	0.5625	6	0.2323	33
Loitering	0.3355	26	0.3542	25	0.4937	18	0.1488	46
Noise levels	0.2003	52	0.2661	38	0.2615	53	0.1792	43
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1951	53	0.1852	53	0.2753	52	0.1321	51
Soliciting	0.1694	56	0.1281	61	0.2342	58	0.1133	57
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.1125	67	0.0950	66	0.1019	67	0.0562	70
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1028	70	0.0890	67	0.0838	68	0.1158	56
Traffic Safety	0.2607	6	0.2585	6	0.3143	7	0.2679	4
Bicycle safety	0.2856	36	0.2572	42	0.3370	44	0.3473	13
Drag racing	0.1378	62	0.2089	51	0.1445	64	0.1100	58
Parking issues	0.2997	31	0.2583	41	0.3390	43	0.2583	29
Pedestrian safety	0.3046	29	0.2607	40	0.4097	30	0.4185	4
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3399	25	0.4034	17	0.5092	15	0.2324	32
Traffic safety	0.2099	51	0.2067	52	0.2240	59	0.1940	42
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.2477	48	0.2145	50	0.2366	57	0.3149	21
Violent Crime	0.2258	7	0.2452	7	0.3546	5	0.1226	9
Assault	0.3732	18	0.4341	12	0.5693	5	0.2135	35
Domestic violence	0.1076	68	0.0966	65	0.1241	66	0.1277	53
Gang activity	0.1609	58	0.1540	59	0.2885	50	0.1013	62
Gun violence	0.2564	43	0.2856	35	0.4278	27	0.1100	59
Homicide	0.1589	59	0.1730	55	0.2979	49	0.1013	63
Robbery	0.2967	32	0.3033	31	0.4145	29	0.1013	61
Sexual assault	0.1623	57	0.1563	58	0.2608	54	0.0835	68
Shots fired	0.2538	46	0.3075	30	0.3885	33	0.1455	48
Violent crime - general	0.2622	42	0.2965	33	0.4200	28	0.1190	54

Index / Item	Chinatown Ir Dist		Magr	nolia	Pioneer	Square	Queen Anne		South Lake Union / Cascade	
	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.3690	4	0.1426	7	0.3645	3	0.2165	6	0.2144	5
Alcohol use in public	0.3504	39	0.0857	55	0.3843	24	0.1403	53	0.1869	41
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.4656	15	0.2724	23	0.5179	4	0.4314	10	0.3149	14
Drug houses	0.1630	63	0.0961	54	0.1122	66	0.1370	54	0.0875	63
Drug use in public	0.4990	8	0.2070	30	0.5330	3	0.3143	20	0.3522	8
Marijuana use in public	0.3181	43	0.1201	49	0.2176	51	0.1510	48	0.1621	45
Open air drug markets	0.3633	37	0.0792	57	0.3191	33	0.1457	50	0.1442	52
Public intoxication	0.4237	23	0.1375	44	0.4676	7	0.1960	43	0.2530	26
Homelessness	0.3945	3	0.3320	3	0.3744	1	0.3500	3	0.3011	2
Aggressive panhandling	0.3570	38	0.1546	40	0.4125	16	0.2360	34	0.2695	24
Car/RV camping	0.2377	52	0.5376	2	0.2765	42	0.3591	16	0.2444	29
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5605	2	0.4398	9	0.5138	5	0.4963	3	0.4176	2
Squatting	0.3394	42	0.1721	37	0.2055	56	0.2053	40	0.2228	33
Transient Camps	0.4780	10	0.3559	13	0.4636	8	0.4534	6	0.3512	10
Police Capacity	0.4339	1	0.4330	1	0.3688	2	0.4270	1	0.3205	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4447	19	0.4778	6	0.4024	17	0.4343	9	0.3045	17
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.3784	34	0.5166	3	0.3877	21	0.4625	5	0.3675	7
Inadequate police staffing	0.4539	17	0.4536	7	0.4355	12	0.4394	7	0.3067	15
Lack of police follow-up	0.4350	22	0.2805	19	0.2319	49	0.3675	14	0.3048	16
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4574	16	0.4367	10	0.3867	22	0.4313	11	0.3191	12
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.3163	5	0.2109	4	0.2874	7	0.2444	5	0.2226	4
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2862	45	0.3186	17	0.3187	34	0.2310	35	0.1744	42
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.3457	40	0.2786	20	0.2144	55	0.2567	30	0.1918	38
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0746	70	0.0745	59	0.1574	61	0.0946	62	0.0816	66
Lack of crime prevention education	0.2178	59	0.1354	46	0.1263	65	0.1444	52	0.1471	49
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5111	6	0.3508	14	0.5405	2	0.5109	2	0.5059	1
Lack of resources related to social services	0.3991	29	0.1406	43	0.2779	41	0.2426	32	0.2392	31
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.2117	61	0.1712	38	0.2686	45	0.1451	51	0.1514	47
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.4842	9	0.2175	29	0.3951	19	0.3301	19	0.2896	19
Property Crime	0.4222	2	0.3997	2	0.3457	4	0.3728	2	0.2958	3
Auto theft	0.3901	32	0.4522	8	0.3039	37	0.3444	17	0.2866	21
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5091	7	0.5673	1	0.4342	13	0.5770	1	0.3841	4
Commercial burglary	0.3763	35	0.2780	21	0.2716	44	0.2224	39	0.1557	46
Non-residential property crime	0.4005	27	0.2702	24	0.3495	28	0.2303	36	0.1908	39
Property crime – general	0.4711	13	0.4839	4	0.4212	15	0.4633	4	0.3516	9
Property damage	0.5264	4	0.3620	12	0.4590	9	0.3985	13	0.3694	6
Residential burglary	0.2319	54	0.4824	5	0.1345	64	0.4142	12	0.2335	32
Theft	0.4525	18	0.3834	11	0.3623	27	0.3632	15	0.3749	5
Vandalism	0.4420	20	0.3176	18	0.3753	26	0.3419	18	0.3159	13

Public Order Crime	0.3160	6	0.1176	8	0.2304	9	0.1512	8	0.1543	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.4694	14	0.1144	50	0.4333	14	0.2039	41	0.2709	22
Fireworks	0.1032	69	0.1567	39	0.0335	71	0.1784	44	0.0830	65
Graffiti	0.5229	5	0.2466	27	0.3328	31	0.2835	24	0.2522	27
Illegal sex work	0.2132	60	0.0231	70	0.1827	58	0.0984	61	0.0867	64
Illegal street vending	0.2256	56	0.0285	69	0.0734	70	0.0439	70	0.0164	72
Indecent exposure	0.2723	47	0.0544	66	0.3143	35	0.0903	64	0.1365	55
Public Order Crime – general	0.4048	26	0.1048	52	0.3140	36	0.1524	47	0.1453	50
Trespassing	0.4004	28	0.2698	25	0.2144	54	0.2504	31	0.3027	18
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.2326	53	0.0598	64	0.1753	59	0.0591	68	0.0948	61
Quality of Life	0.2811	8	0.1470	6	0.2409	8	0.1844	7	0.1729	7
Civility issues - general	0.5359	3	0.1786	34	0.5057	6	0.2698	27	0.3303	11
Crowd Behavior	0.2228	57	0.0217	71	0.1058	67	0.0559	69	0.0780	67
Dogs off-leash	0.0631	71	0.2432	28	0.1001	69	0.1169	56	0.0892	62
Dogs on the Beach	0.0060	72	0.0783	58	0.0000	72	0.0211	72	0.0169	71
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.4760	11	0.1932	32	0.2827	40	0.2679	28	0.2146	35
Issues in the Parks	0.2493	49	0.3350	15	0.3205	32	0.2721	26	0.2210	34
Littering/dumping	0.5949	1	0.3262	16	0.5474	1	0.4377	8	0.3935	3
Loitering	0.4066	24	0.1359	45	0.4019	18	0.2369	33	0.2520	28
Noise levels	0.2388	51	0.0597	65	0.1669	60	0.1678	46	0.1644	43
Problem/nuisance properties	0.3179	44	0.1254	48	0.1482	62	0.1474	49	0.1445	51
Soliciting	0.2756	46	0.1418	42	0.2169	52	0.1000	60	0.1390	53
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.1352	66	0.0121	72	0.2337	48	0.1742	45	0.0670	68
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1317	68	0.0606	63	0.1019	68	0.1296	55	0.1372	54
Traffic Safety	0.2808	9	0.1735	5	0.2905	6	0.2501	4	0.2106	6
Bicycle safety	0.2431	50	0.2499	26	0.2378	47	0.2832	25	0.2866	20
Drag racing	0.1337	67	0.0496	67	0.1902	57	0.1091	57	0.1321	57
Parking issues	0.4746	12	0.1960	31	0.2983	39	0.2999	22	0.2704	23
Pedestrian safety	0.3676	36	0.1724	36	0.3398	29	0.2844	23	0.2064	37
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3396	41	0.1454	41	0.4452	11	0.2575	29	0.1495	48
Traffic safety	0.2559	48	0.1259	47	0.2985	38	0.2037	42	0.1897	40
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.1510	64	0.2755	22	0.2238	50	0.3132	21	0.2392	30
Violent Crime	0.3100	7	0.0976	9	0.3146	5	0.1120	9	0.1340	9
Assault	0.3797	33	0.1763	35	0.4483	10	0.2265	37	0.2557	25
Domestic violence	0.1414	65	0.0802	56	0.1376	63	0.0889	65	0.0999	60
Gang activity	0.2301	55	0.0306	68	0.2745	43	0.0651	67	0.0633	69
Gun violence	0.4053	25	0.1047	53	0.3859	23	0.0784	66	0.1312	58
Homicide	0.2185	58	0.0650	61	0.2600	46	0.0288	71	0.0464	70
Robbery	0.3914	31	0.1824	33	0.3333	30	0.2244	38	0.2068	36
Sexual assault	0.1863	62	0.0639	62	0.2148	53	0.1020	58	0.1061	59
Shots fired	0.3965	30	0.1092	51	0.3950	20	0.0934	63	0.1339	56
Violent crime - general	0.4408	21	0.0661	60	0.3822	25	0.1001	59	0.1628	44

. . .

Appendix: West Weighted Themes

Index / Item	West	(668)	Belltowr	n (120)	Downtown Com (18		Eastlake - West Precinct (10)		
	Mean*	Rank [†]	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	
Behavioral Crisis	0.0303	12	0.0280	11	0.0526	7	0.0573	7	
City Politics	0.0840	3	0.0562	5	0.0715	4	0.2722	1	
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0935	7	0.0651	15	0.0859	6	0.4223	1	
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0524	17	0.0181	35	0.0487	15	0.0665	19	
Unsupportive of city council	0.1060	4	0.0854	11	0.0799	10	0.3276	2	
Drug Activity	0.0361	9	0.0262	12	0.0626	6	0.0191	13	
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0149	33	0.0211	30	0.0180	30	0.0000	24	
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0826	8	0.0342	23	0.1589	3	0.0573	20	
Needles	0.0108	35	0.0231	29	0.0109	33	0.0000	25	
Homelessness	0.0346	10	0.0382	9	0.0233	11	0.0540	9	
Bring back navigation team	0.0078	40	0.0285	26	0.0000	39	0.0000	26	
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0492	18	0.0272	28	0.0255	26	0.1429	6	
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0353	25	0.0379	22	0.0270	25	0.0000	27	
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0104	36	0.0211	31	0.0049	38	0.0000	28	
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0821	10	0.0932	10	0.0824	7	0.1239	8	
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0231	30	0.0211	32	0.0000	40	0.0573	21	
Lawlessness	0.0432	8	0.0523	7	0.0372	10	0.0394	11	
Crime is on the rise	0.0421	22	0.0538	18	0.0277	24	0.0000	29	
Lawlessness general	0.0488	19	0.0549	17	0.0498	14	0.1182	9	
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0386	24	0.0482	20	0.0340	19	0.0000	30	
Police Capacity	0.0561	6	0.0515	8	0.0520	8	0.0784	4	
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0081	38	0.0196	34	0.0055	36	0.0000	31	
More police community outreach needed	0.0043	43	0.0049	41	0.0000	41	0.0000	32	
More police needed	0.1515	1	0.1219	2	0.1885	2	0.1182	10	
Slow or no police response	0.1084	3	0.0952	9	0.0886	5	0.2094	3	
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0624	15	0.0566	16	0.0292	23	0.1429	5	
Police Legitimacy	0.0435	7	0.0552	6	0.0438	9	0.0682	5	
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0658	14	0.0956	8	0.0656	12	0.0000	33	
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0296	29	0.0794	12	0.0184	29	0.0000	34	
Lack of police accountability	0.0397	23	0.0206	33	0.0239	28	0.1429	7	
Lack of police professionalism	0.0155	32	0.0446	21	0.0331	21	0.0000	36	
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0456	20	0.0057	40	0.0674	11	0.0963	11	
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0790	11	0.1182	4	0.0822	8	0.0963	13	
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0746	13	0.0083	39	0.0338	20	0.0963	14	
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0077	41	0.1100	6	0.0642	13	0.1819	4	
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0343	26	0.0140	38	0.0055	35	0.0000	35	

^{*}The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2). Ranking position is irrelevant for Items with scores of 0.0000.

Police Reform	0.0151	14	0.0232	13	0.0102	14	0.0166	14
Demilitarize Police	0.0087	37	0.0279	27	0.0049	37	0.0000	37
Lack of adequate police training	0.0312	28	0.0337	24	0.0109	32	0.0665	17
Police should live in Seattle	0.0080	39	0.0156	36	0.0152	31	0.0000	38
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0124	34	0.0156	37	0.0097	34	0.0000	39
Property Crime	0.1100	1	0.0983	1	0.0973	3	0.2094	2
Protests	0.0023	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	15	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.1089	2	0.0897	2	0.1234	1	0.0665	6
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0777	5	0.0861	3	0.0653	5	0.0478	10
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0183	31	0.0312	25	0.0000	42	0.0856	15
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.1021	6	0.0675	14	0.1064	4	0.0573	22
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1052	5	0.1198	3	0.0347	18	0.0000	40
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0823	9	0.1144	5	0.0416	17	0.0000	41
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0548	16	0.0526	19	0.0820	9	0.0856	16
Public parks are unsafe	0.0316	27	0.0049	42	0.0255	27	0.0000	42
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1488	2	0.1887	1	0.1993	1	0.0573	23
Supportive of defunding police	0.0788	12	0.1096	7	0.0329	22	0.0963	12
SPD Supportive	0.0240	13	0.0361	10	0.0227	12	0.0333	12
SPD doing a great job	0.0056	42	0.0000	43	0.0000	43	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0425	21	0.0725	13	0.0455	16	0.0665	18
Traffic Safety	0.0318	11	0.0057	14	0.0219	13	0.0573	8
Violent Crime	0.0822	4	0.0737	4	0.1071	2	0.1429	3

Index / Item	Interi	atown / national	Marin	-1:- (00)	Pioneer Square (50) Quee			South Lake U		
muck/ nom		rict (42)	_	olia (63)	Mean	. , ,	Queen Mean	Anne (133)		de (67) Rank
Behavioral Crisis	Mean 0.0000	Rank 10	Mean 0.0188	Rank 13	0.0526	Rank 7	0.0216	Rank 12	Mean 0.0000	14
		10	0.0188	2	0.0526	4		6	0.0000	2
City Politics	0.2120						0.0596	-		4
City politics decreasing public safety	0.2185 0.0669	2	0.0533	17 15	0.0782 0.0858	11	0.0712 0.0378	13 25	0.1305 0.1080	4 7
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness Unsupportive of city council	0.0669	12 1	0.0606	15 4	0.0656	10 22	0.0378	25 15	0.1060	2
		-		8				-		9
Drug Activity	0.0000	11	0.0530	-	0.0249	13	0.0232	11	0.0253	
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	25	0.0499	20	0.0000	33	0.0075	36	0.0000	31
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0000	26	0.1092	5	0.0548	21	0.0577	18	0.0629	15
Needles	0.0000	27	0.0000	40	0.0197	28	0.0044	42	0.0131	27
Homelessness	0.0194	9	0.0446	10	0.0428	10	0.0444	8	0.0308	8
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	28	0.0140	35	0.0175	30	0.0000	43	0.0000	32
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0811	11	0.0951	7	0.0626	18	0.0480	19	0.0678	12
Homelessness – General Concerns	0.0000	29	0.0203	31	0.0000	34	0.0703	14	0.0512	18
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	30	0.0249	30	0.0136	32	0.0096	35	0.0000	33
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0354	19	0.0436	23	0.1630	3	0.0792	9	0.0656	13
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0000	31	0.0700	13	0.0000	35	0.0593	17	0.0000	34
Lawlessness	0.0648	5	0.0451	9	0.0580	5	0.0313	10	0.0409	6
Crime is on the rise	0.0307	20	0.0296	26	0.0662	16	0.0458	22	0.0597	17
Lawlessness general	0.1473	5	0.0620	14	0.0351	24	0.0296	27	0.0000	35
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0165	23	0.0437	22	0.0726	14	0.0186	30	0.0629	14
Police Capacity	0.0472	7	0.0726	4	0.0551	6	0.0622	5	0.0509	5
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0000	32	0.0109	36	0.0000	36	0.0103	33	0.0000	36
More police community outreach needed	0.0142	24	0.0109	37	0.0000	37	0.0075	37	0.0000	37
More police needed	0.1086	10	0.1997	1	0.1347	6	0.1407	2	0.1246	5
Slow or no police response	0.1607	4	0.1249	3	0.0570	19	0.1373	3	0.1035	8
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0000	33	0.0889	8	0.1389	4	0.0772	10	0.0774	10
Police Legitimacy	0.0270	8	0.0384	11	0.0432	9	0.0430	9	0.0349	7
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0424	17	0.1035	6	0.0748	12	0.0430	23	0.0411	21
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0212	21	0.0158	33	0.0373	23	0.0149	31	0.0174	25
Lack of police accountability	0.0593	15	0.0499	21	0.0551	20	0.0267	28	0.0934	9
Lack of police professionalism	0.0000	35	0.0249	29	0.0724	15	0.0474	20	0.0000	39
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0212	22	0.0094	39	0.0000	38	0.0760	11	0.0734	11
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0354	18	0.0531	18	0.0946	8	0.0749	12	0.0452	20
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0000	36	0.0109	38	0.0000	39	0.0052	39	0.0131	28
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0637	14	0.0782	11	0.0351	25	0.0876	7	0.0304	23
Unvaccinated / Unmasked police decrease public safety	0.0000	34	0.0000	41	0.0197	27	0.0111	32	0.0000	38

Police Reform	0.0000	12	0.0105	15	0.0219	14	0.0190	13	0.0141	10
Demilitarize Police	0.0000	37	0.0000	42	0.0000	40	0.0052	38	0.0131	29
Lack of adequate police training	0.0000	38	0.0281	28	0.0877	9	0.0465	21	0.0262	24
Police should live in Seattle	0.0000	39	0.0000	43	0.0000	41	0.0052	40	0.0000	40
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0000	40	0.0140	34	0.0000	42	0.0193	29	0.0174	26
Property Crime	0.0751	4	0.1400	1	0.0487	8	0.1598	1	0.0912	3
Protests	0.0000	13	0.0140	14	0.0000	15	0.0052	15	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.0591	6	0.0763	3	0.0799	3	0.1306	2	0.1506	1
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.1074	3	0.0600	5	0.0987	2	0.0847	3	0.0697	4
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0000	41	0.0514	19	0.0000	43	0.0326	26	0.0000	41
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.1425	7	0.1261	2	0.1374	5	0.1212	4	0.0480	19
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1328	8	0.0436	24	0.2045	2	0.1496	1	0.1642	1
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.1946	3	0.0188	32	0.0746	13	0.0926	6	0.1231	6
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0592	16	0.0796	10	0.0351	26	0.0391	24	0.0000	42
Public parks are unsafe	0.0669	13	0.0296	27	0.0175	31	0.0622	16	0.0306	22
Public spaces are unsafe	0.1185	9	0.0593	16	0.2132	1	0.0865	8	0.1332	3
Supportive of defunding police	0.1445	6	0.0718	12	0.1077	7	0.0939	5	0.0599	16
SPD Supportive	0.0000	14	0.0599	6	0.0421	11	0.0070	14	0.0058	13
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	42	0.0343	25	0.0197	29	0.0044	41	0.0000	43
Supportive of SPD	0.0000	43	0.0856	9	0.0644	17	0.0096	34	0.0116	30
Traffic Safety	0.0000	15	0.0582	7	0.0373	12	0.0719	4	0.0131	11
Violent Crime	0.1140	2	0.0375	12	0.2050	1	0.0510	7	0.0131	12