

Seattle Board of Parks and Recreation Commissioners

Subcommittee 3: Investing for the Future

Meeting #3, April 16, 2022, 1 to 2:30 p.m.

Meeting Notes

	Option A				Option B			
	Deepa	Sophia	Davon	Sean	Deepa	Sophia	Davon	Sean
<p>Climate Conscious Buildings (Capital) <i>Deepa: Asked for scaling without solar: \$2.78M</i> <i>Sean: Q if half funded, how apply equity lens</i> <i>Andy: We could target communities of highest disadvantage; we would prioritize CCs easiest to convert</i> <i>Deepa: Opp for funding from other sources</i> <i>Sean: State and federal funding</i> <i>Marlon: Good idea for BPRC to do advocacy for such funding</i> <i>MF: SPR is part of City interdepartmental team that is reaching out for federal funding. This proposal assumes seeking federal funds</i> Subcommittee consensus: \$2M</p>	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 750,000
<p>Community Center Pre-Electrification \$315K</p>	\$ 300,000	\$ 425,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 225,000	\$ -			\$ -
<p>Replacing Fossil Fuels in Small Mech Systems \$300K</p>	\$ 300,000	\$ 625,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 250,000	\$ -			\$ -
<p>Restoring & Increasing Urban Canopy <i>Sophie: Urban canopy is vital</i> \$400K</p>	\$ 400,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 200,000

Sustainable Irrigation Replacement & Upgrade \$315K	\$ 625,000	\$ 625,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 150,000
Water Reuse Partnerships \$315K	\$ 520,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 150,000
Responding to Climate Change Total	\$ 4,145,000	\$ 4,675,000	\$ 4,100,000	\$ 2,825,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,250,000
Inclusive Outreach & Engagement \$605K	\$ 605,000	\$ 605,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
Equity Fund Increment (Capital) <i>Sean: Request full funding and more for this fund. There are barriers to access for applicants; we need to build their capacity to pursue grants through outreach and engagement and other means.</i> \$1M	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 900,000
Urban Food Systems <i>Sean: Urban food systems have a direct impact on people's life; near top of my list</i> <i>Sophie: An example of community resilience; one of my favorites</i> \$700K	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 250,000
Seattle Conservation Corps Expansion <i>Davon: concern of whether funding is going directly to help these folks and not to bureaucracy and overhead. How much do other agencies contribute?</i> <i>Ruth: Other departments hire us and pay us for work; a huge percentage goes to enrollees</i> <i>Andy: Money goes for case workers, human services support, construction oversight; lean operation</i> <i>Sean: I reduced proposal hoping for cost sharing with other agencies; I recognize SCC is impactful</i> \$900K	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 850,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 400,000
Building Community Capacity Total	\$ 3,355,000	\$ 3,355,000	\$ 3,050,000	\$ 3,400,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,050,000	\$ 1,650,000	\$ 1,800,000

<p>New Park Development (Capital) <i>Deepa: I asked for scaled back version which was provided: investing in \$1.7-1.8M in highest disadvantaged areas.</i> <i>Sean: a lot of these parks languished through pandemic and last budget cycle; landbanked sites. Sites. Example of Gateway Park, walkable access to waterfront in Georgetown, a disadvantaged area.</i></p> <p><i>Discussion about the importance of new development vs. maintenance vs. acquisition especially in higher disadvantaged areas</i> \$1.8M</p>	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 2,275,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ 750,000	
<p>Smith Cove Phase 2 (Capital-\$6M annualized) <i>Acknowledge neighborhood support but not in high equity area</i> <i>Sean: this project lends well to Friends of group doing capital campaign with help of Parks Foundation</i> \$0</p>	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	
<p>Acquisition Funding (Capital) <i>Discussion about importance of acquisition in a dense and growing city; equity application when sometimes you have to buy the property that is available</i> \$350K</p>	\$ -		\$ 350,000	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 200,000	
Developing & Enhancing Park Assets Total	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,850,000	\$ 2,775,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600,000	\$ 950,000

OTHER NOTES

Ruth Blaw presented Seattle Conservation Corps 101 PowerPoint with background, program outcomes, human services (“wrap around services”), organization and org chart, program success, funding (federal, City, Park District), jobs around the city, mostly hired by city departments.

Human Services team: trainer, drug and alc counsloer, human resources; wrap around service; holding their plans, addressing barriers

Subcommittee members discussed proposals and allocated funding for Option A using a “live” spreadsheet populated by Brian Judd. Notes related to proposals are listed above.

The subcommittee will meet on April 23 to go over Option A and discuss Option B.