# Seattle Pacific University

### Major Institution Master Plan (MIMP) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Process

**November 2, 2022** 

### Transportation Discipline Report (TDR)

- Scope What we studied
- Methodology How we performed our study
- Findings What we found

### **TDR Scope**

<b>CIRCULATION</b> What changes to circulation are proposed as it relates to vehicular, non-motorized, and transit modes?	<b>TRIP GENERATION</b> How many trips are generated?	<b>TRAFFIC VOLUMES</b> How does new vehicular traffic travel through the roadway network?		
<b>TRAFFIC OPERATIONS</b> How does the additional traffic impact operations of the roadway network?	<b>MULTIMODAL IMPACTS</b> How do new trips impact multimodal operations?	<b>SAFETY</b> How does the project impact multimodal safety?		
<b>PARKING</b> How does the proposed parking supply meet demand?	TRANSPORTATION MGMT What measures are proposed to single- occupancy vehicles?	SITE DESIGN What considerations are made for loading, curbside management and special events?		

### **Action Alternatives**

#### Summary of Action Alternatives

	Proposed MIMP Elements						
Alternative	Boundary Expansions	New Campus Development	Mixed-Use Development	Repurpose Existing Facilities	Increased Height Limits	Street Vacations	
No Action Alternative							
Proposed MIMP	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Action Alternative 2		Х	Х	Х		Х	
Action Alternative 3	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	
Action Alternative 4		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Action Alternative 5	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		



### Study Area/Traffic Volumes



- Existing Volumes
  - Historic Counts
  - 2021 Counts
  - Applied adjustments
- No Action Volumes
  - 1 percent annual growth
  - Pipeline projects
- MIMP Alternatives Volumes
  - Campus Growth
  - Mixed-Use Development

### **Campus Trip Generation Methodology**



### Mixed-Use Development Trip Generation Methodology



### **Trip Generation Summary**

#### Summary of Cumulative Net New Trip Generation By Alternative

Time Period	Total Net New Vehicle Trips	Trip Generation Change from Proposed MIMP
Proposed MIMP		
Daily	2,356	
AM Peak Hour	113	
PM Peak Hour	199	
Action Alternative 2		
Daily	2,552	+196
AM Peak Hour	133	+20
PM Peak Hour	193	-6
Action Alternative 3		
Daily	2,172	-184
AM Peak Hour	114	+1
PM Peak Hour	177	-22
Action Alternative 4		
Daily	2,634	+278
AM Peak Hour	133	+20
PM Peak Hour	204	+5
Action Alternative 5		
Daily	2,306	-50
AM Peak Hour	113	
PM Peak Hour	194	-5



Summary of Campus Trip Generation

- All Alternatives assume the same campus growth and have relatively consistent net new commercial square footage
- Proposed MIMP, Alt 3, and Alt 5 are projected to generate fewer net new trips due to the removal of existing uses within the proposed boundary expansion that are higher trip generators (such as a gas station)

### **Future Traffic Volumes**

### • Trip distribution/assignment assumptions based on:

- 2019 Student Commute Survey
- OnTheMap (web-based application)
- 2019 CTR Employer Survey Report
- Existing travel patterns and recent studies
- Routing Assumptions
  - Some existing trips to/from campus rerouted to account for the proposed distribution of oncampus parking
  - Mixed-Use Development trips routed to proposed building locations assuming access from lowest volume street
  - Trips rerouted to account for proposed street vacations assumed to utilize a parallel route
- Most study intersections experience similar growth in traffic as a result of each alternative
- Differences in traffic growth are a result of differences in trip generation, circulation and location of mixed-use development

### **Traffic Operations**

- Traffic operations (LOS and queues) evaluated based on Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology and using Synchro 11 software
- 5 intersections would operate at unacceptable conditions under all Action Alternatives:
  - 1. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave W/W Nickerson St (AM, PM)
  - 2. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave W/W Bertona St (PM)
  - 3. W Cremona St/W Nickerson St (AM, PM)
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave N/W Florentia St/W Nickerson St (PM)
  - 5. Fremont Ave N/W Nickerson St (AM, PM)









### **Proposed Mitigation Measures**

- Necessary mitigation measures would be consistent across all alternatives
- Proposed Mitigation Measures
  - 1. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave W/W Nickerson St
    - Traffic Signal
  - 2. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave W/W Bertona St
    - Turn restrictions along WB 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave
    - In conjunction, incorporate a NB left-turn lane at the 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave W/W Nickerson St signal
    - Leading Pedestrian Intervals
  - 3. W Cremona St/W Nickerson St
    - Traffic Signal
- No mitigation measures identified for the following:
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave N/W Florentia St/W Nickerson St (PM)
  - Fremont Ave N/W Nickerson St (AM, PM)



### **Multimodal Improvements**

- Pedestrian Improvements
  - W Cremona Street streetcape enhancements
  - W Bertona Street traffic calming
  - Street vacations (all Action Alternatives except Alt 5)
  - New and enhanced crosswalks
  - Traffic signals along W Nickerson Street (as part of proposed mitigation measures)
  - Leading Pedestrian Intervals at 6<sup>th</sup>/Nickerson
- Bicycle Improvements
  - Replacement of aging on-site bicycle amenities
  - Short- and long-term parking at new buildings
  - Specific amenities to be evaluated as part of the future MUP processes
- Transit Analysis
  - Transit capacity/demand evaluated at key screenlines based on Fall 2019 average weekday AM and PM peak period ridership and service frequency for each route
  - Under existing conditions onboard utilization ranges between 2 and 39 percent
  - Sufficient capacity exists along all nearby routes to absorb new transit trips



## Parking Supply/Demand Analysis

- Peak parking demand rates based on prepandemic on-campus/on-street parking counts and number of residential decals distributed
- On-site parking supply will meet demand for all alternatives
- The MIMP outlines the maximum parking supply obtainable, but the parking supply will ultimately be within the min/max defined in the SMC
- Future parking supply and demand will be re-evaluated as part of future MUP processes for each future project.

Туре		Size	Unit	Peak Parking Demand Rate <sup>2</sup>	Projected Peak Parking Demand (Spaces)	Parking Supply	Estimated Peak Utilization
Proposed MIMP; A	Action	Alt. 3/5					
Commuter		3,710	Commuter Population	0.33	1,225		
Residential		3,150	Beds	0.22	693		
-	Total	4,893			1,918	2,670	72%
Action Alt. 2/4							
Commuter		3,710	Commuter Population	0.33	1,225		
Residential		3,150	Beds	0.22	693		
-	Total	4,893			1,918	2,703	71%

## Campus/Building Design Considerations

- Each building will provide loading facilities that meet SMC requirements and accommodate practical demand
- Curbside management will be evaluated at an individual building level to determine if temporary loading zones or entrance zones are appropriate
- Loading and curbside management needs will be reevaluated as part of future project MUP processes
- Special events will be managed on a case-by-case basis (consistent with existing operations)

### **Transportation Management**

- A campus wide SOV goal of 29 percent is established
- Comparison between 2000 and 2020 TMP shown below

TMP Element	Included in the 2000 TMP	Included in the 2022 TMP	TMP Element	Included in the 2000 TMP	Included in the 2022 TMP	
Program Management & Encouragement Activities			Parking Management			0
Appoint Transportation Coordinator (TC)			Pricing structures that discourage SOV use			
TC participation in Transportation Mgmt Assoc.			Unbundle parking from leases			
Produce/distribute transportation information			Free/reserved spaces for vanpools			
Encourage participation in the TMP			Discounted spaces for carpools			
Conduct surveys of TMP effectiveness			Designated carshare spaces			
Submit TMP reports to the City			Parking tools for space management			
Physical Features/Elements			Reduce parking in the surrounding neighborhood			( )↓( `
Bike storage/amenities			Transit, Carpool & Vanpool Programs			
Construct transit/bike/ped infrastructure improvements			Provide transit pass subsidy to employees			
Reduce vehicle parking supply below market demand			Provide ride-match information			
Enhance on-site bicycle parking			Guaranteed ride home program			
Provide on-site commuter showers/lockers			On-site transportation options for non-drivers			
Provide micro-mobility parking/charging hubs			Support transit service/transit service improvements			
Provide on-site business centers			Bicycle/Walking Programs			
Provide on-campus EV charging stations			Bike commuter incentives			чо <u></u>
Additional Employer-based Incentives for SOV Trip	<b>Reduction</b>		Bicycle safety training/maintenance			• •
Offer telecommuting			Subsidize shared micro-mobility			
Promote flexible working hours			Provided shared bicycles/micro-mobility devices			
Supplement public transit with shuttle			Offer safety programs			

## Summary of Findings/Areas of Focus

- Necessary vehicular mitigation measure requirements consistent for all alternatives including intersection improvements and TMP
- Street vacations did identify significant impacts, but would be evaluated in more detail at the project level
- Projected parking demand can be accommodated on-site and building-level supply and demand will be evaluated as part of future MUP processes
- Non-motorized improvements include:
  - New and enhanced crosswalks
  - Leading Pedestrian Intervals
  - Traffic calming
  - Street vacations
  - Bike parking
- Unavoidable impacts at:

3<sup>rd</sup> Ave N/W Florentia St/W Nickerson St (PM) *(insignificant)* Fremont Ave N/W Nickerson St (AM, PM) *(significant)*