

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The following accomplishments, for both the 2021 program year and the 2018-2022 strategic plan to date include goals related to the Assessment of Fair Housing and outcomes are represented in Attachment #1 to this CAPER; this is why you will see a large number of zeroes in the below tables.

In the fifth year of the City of Seattle's five-year consolidated plan, we assess that our progress in meeting our Consolidated Plan objectives are on track and that our funds are being used for the correct purposes. This assessment is made within the context of the City's overall budget and other resources available to assist low- and moderate-income persons, such as the Families and Education Levy and the Housing Levy, the Seattle Housing Levy and general fund investments that leverage CDBG/HOME/HOPWA/ESG activities.

In 2021, the City of Seattle pivoted to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic and deployed funds to meet new needs including:

- Making grants to 398 microenterprises impacted by reduced revenue
- Providing emergency rental assistance to 654 households to prevent them from taking on excessive arrears and being evicted
- Providing employment services and job training to 124 individuals who lost their jobs because of the pandemic
- Funds providing the expansion of non-congregate shelters, providing meals and operational supplies to shelters and day centers, and supporting Homeless Prevention and Rapid Rehousing programs. It is estimated that over 3,800 clients were served with ESG CARES Act.

In addition to this work the City was able to underake the following activities:

- Performing 298 repairs for unduplicated low and moderate-income households who are also elderly and/or living with a disability
- Making accessibility upgrades to 4 parks serving approximately 43,980 residents
- Serving 537 households with emergency shelter or Rapid Rehousing programs with ESG.
- Providing housing assistance and supportive services to 370 households with HOPWA.

The Office of Housing (OH) awarded funding for 11 federally funded affordable housing units. HOME funds were used in the Mt. Zion Senior Housing project, estimated to produce 61 units, 11 of which are HOME funded. In addition, due to budget gaps related to impacts of the Covid pandemic, OH increased the HOME award to the Low-Income Housing Institutes’ Nesbit Family Housing project, with a total of 104 units, 8 of which are HOME funded. OH also increased its HOME award due to funding gaps to the HumanGood Ethiopian Community Village project which will produce 80 units of senior housing of which 11 will be HOME funded. OH completed and leased up 22 HOME units in two previously funded projects: LIHI Othello Park (now George Fleming Place) and HumanGood’s Filipino Community Village.

See also Supplemental Answers attachment.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
AFH: Access to high opportunity areas	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	9	0	0.00%			
AFH: Access to housing in high opportunity areas	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	6	0	0.00%			

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

AFH: Address access to proficient schools	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	5	0	0.00%			
AFH: All communities are environmentally sound	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	3	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
AFH: Combat institutional racism and barriers	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	4	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
AFH: Create supp hsg, reduce barriers for homeless	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless		Other	Other	4	0	0.00%	4	0	0.00%
AFH: Dedicated resources for affordable housing	Affordable Housing		Other	Other	5	0	0.00%			
AFH: Engage communities in civic participation	Outreach		Other	Other	5	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
AFH: Equitable input to environ. justice issues	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	1	0	0.00%			

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

AFH: Equitable outreach efforts to support HALA	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	5	0	0.00%			
AFH: Housing for persons with different abilities	Non-Homeless Special Needs		Other	Other	2	0	0.00%			
AFH: Partnerships to imp public health outcomes	Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	5	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
AFH: Promote equitable growth in new development	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Jobs created/retained	Jobs	0	4		0	4	
AFH: Promote equitable growth in new development	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	1		0	1	

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

AFH: Promote equitable growth in new development	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	2	0	0.00%	2	0	0.00%
AFH: Provide more housing choices for families	Affordable Housing Public Housing		Other	Other	5	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
AFH: Pursue best practices to end biases	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	4	0	0.00%	4	0	0.00%
AFH: Services to those with different abilities	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs		Other	Other	4	0	0.00%	4	0	0.00%
AFH: Stay accountable to Comprehensive GM Plan	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	3	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

AFH:Equitable access and amenities throughout city	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	4	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
AFH:Fair housing education to all involved parties	Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	6	0	0.00%			
AFH:Increase housing options for homeless families	Homeless		Other	Other	3	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
AFH:Strong community despite displacement pressure	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	4	0	0.00%	4	0	0.00%
AFH/CPD: Equitable investment across communities	Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	500	0	0.00%	350	0	0.00%
AFH/CPD: Equitable investment across communities	Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	0	0		14	0	0.00%

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

AFH/CPD: Equitable investment across communities	Public Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	2	0	0.00%			
AFH/CPD: Increase access to government facilities	Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	5	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
AFH/CPD: Initiatives support marginalized groups	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		220	0	0.00%
AFH/CPD: Initiatives support marginalized groups	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	750	609	81.20%	0	609	
AFH/CPD: Initiatives support marginalized groups	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	2	0	0.00%			
AFH/CPD: Preserve and increase affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	110	0	0.00%	22	0	0.00%
AFH/CPD: Preserve and increase affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	130	0	0.00%			

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

AFH/CPD: Preserve and increase affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	0	0		6	0	0.00%
AFH/CPD: Preserve and increase affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Other	Other	6	0	0.00%			
AFH/CPD: Promote financial security for LMI HHS	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	400	0	0.00%			
AFH/CPD: Promote financial security for LMI HHS	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	3	0	0.00%			
AFH/CPD: Provide housing/services to seniors	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0		500	0	0.00%
AFH/CPD: Provide housing/services to seniors	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	2500	0	0.00%			
AFH/CPD: Provide housing/services to seniors	Affordable Housing Public Housing	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	6	0	0.00%			

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

AFH/CPD:Resources for at-risk renters/owners	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$3150304	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0		0	0	
AFH/CPD:Resources for at-risk renters/owners	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$3150304	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	100	315	315.00%	30	315	1,050.00%
AFH/CPD:Resources for at-risk renters/owners	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$3150304	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	0	0		306	0	0.00%
AFH/CPD:Resources for at-risk renters/owners	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$3150304	Other	Other	5	0	0.00%			
CPD: Access to Nature and Physical Activities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	175000	21435	12.25%	20000	21435	107.18%

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

CPD: Affordable Commercial Opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	100	0	0.00%			
CPD: Increase Disaster Readiness	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development		Other	Other	1	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
CPD: Increase homeless services	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	36000	1320	3.67%	0	1320	
CPD: Increase homeless services	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	19000	1320	6.95%	3800	1320	34.74%
CPD: Increase homeless services	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	235		0	235	
CPD: Increase homeless services	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / ESG: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	2500	0	0.00%			

CPD: Increase Small Business Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	0	0		0	0	
CPD: Increase Small Business Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	2000	180	9.00%	667	180	26.99%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The impact of the ongoing coronavirus pandemic both greatly exacerbated the needs for federal grant funded services and also hindered the delivery of services in many cases.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

ESG & HOPWA: Note that the Race/Ethnicity categories used for this table do not match the racial categories used in HMIS or IDIS for individual project tracking, especially the category for the multi-racial persons. This data may not be a perfect match between the sources and in some cases the amount between race and ethnicity will not be equal.

CDBG: Race/Ethnic information is captured via the information partner departments and project managers include within the Program Plan which is uploaded into the Federal Grants Management Unit's (FGMU) SharePoint page. The FGMU Eligibility Specialist (ES) uses the information from the Program Plan to input the appropriate National Objective(s) with the correct Matrix Code into IDIS which triggers the applicable race and ethnic characteristics.

Thirty days after the conclusion of a program year, Race Data and Descriptions of beneficiaries actually served are collected from the CDBG Activity Close Out form submitted by recipient departments/agencies and entered into IDIS. The form is included as part of contract requirements at the beginning of the program year after completion of eligibility review and approval of activities proposed to be funded with CDBG via partner departments/agencies.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	10,361,404	
HOME	public - federal	4,371,865	
HOPWA	public - federal	2,911,636	2,580,577
ESG	public - federal	737,465	620,348
Other	public - local	0	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

In 2021, the City of Seattle did not designate any geographic target areas for purposes for CDBG.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The City of Seattle relies on Consolidated Plan funds to provide a foundation for community and economic development activities. These funds leverage other investments the City makes to support people with low- and moderate-incomes. The three departments receiving the largest CPD fund allocations anticipate sizable amounts of complementary funds from other sources to leverage the investment of HUD funds.

In 2021 the City was able to leverage considerable resources at the State and Federal level to supplement programming of CARES Act HUD funds, including the Coronavirus Relief Fund, Washington State Commerce Grant and FEMA. These funds were programmed in such a way to avoid a duplication of effort/benefits and compliment efforts by regional partners.

The Human Services Department supplements the \$8M of plan funds with approximately \$5.7M of CoC grants and approximately \$530M of local General Fund resources. These are further leveraged through coordination of effort with King County's homelessness, housing and behavioral health funds to create a comprehensive system of supports.

CDBG and HOME are often far less than half the cost of any given capital project. The Housing Levy is expected to generate \$290m over seven years, with the goals of producing and preserving 2,150 apartments affordable for at least 50 years, reinvesting in 350 affordable apartments, providing rent assistance and other supports for 4,500 families to prevent homelessness, assisting 280 low-income homeowners, and providing loans for acquisition and rental rehabilitation of existing affordable apartments. Other funding sources include incentive zoning and mandatory inclusionary zoning payments, proceeds from sales of City-owned property, the Washington State Housing Trust Fund, private bank and bond financing, owner contributions and fundraising.

The Office of Economic Development (OED) uses City General Funds and CDBG funding to support a healthy business environment that empowers businesses to develop, grow, and succeed. In 2021, OED received \$15 million from the City's General Fund and \$6.5 million in CDBG and CDBG-CV, used for small business stabilization during the pandemic, the development of affordable commercial space, technical assistance and neighborhood revitalization.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	16,171,637
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	1,975,736
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	18,147,373
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	1,349,045
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	16,798,328

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
Chief Seattle Club	03/15/2021	0	117,666	0	0	0	0	117,666
Ethiopian Community Village	08/21/2021	0	159,666	0	0	0	0	159,666
Mt. Zion Senior Housing	08/26/2021	0	239,689	0	0	0	0	239,689
Northhaven III	08/02/2021	0	1,072,954	0	0	0	0	1,072,954
Operations and Maintenance	08/22/2021	0	222,383	0	0	0	0	222,383
Othello Park	11/30/2020	0	163,378	0	0	0	0	163,378

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
3,210,872	1,287,269	1,435,756	0	3,062,385

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	11,515,889	0	7,248,406	0	0	4,267,483
Number	2	0	1	0	0	1
Sub-Contracts						
Number	85	1	1	1	5	77
Dollar Amount	18,346,774	153,161	1,691,932	1,849,935	3,135,857	11,515,889
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	11,515,889	0	11,515,889			
Number	2	0	2			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	85	13	72			
Dollar Amount	33,791,789	4,280,111	29,511,678			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	2	0	1	0	0	1
Dollar Amount	4,032,819	0	2,252,673	0	0	1,780,146

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0	0			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0	0			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	22	11
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	22	11

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	340	924
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	22	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	609	371
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	971	1,295

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Due to the pandemic, housing construction and rehabilitation activities were significantly impacted. In 2021, materials and labor shortages continued to impact accomplishments. However, the increase in rent assistance reflects the influx of emergency funds and activities dedicated to preventing those in housing from becoming homeless.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

In 2021, OH awarded HOME funds to Mt. Zion Senior Housing. No new CDBG projects were awarded in 2021.

In 2021, OH closed out Filipino Community Village, which received a HOME award of \$1,780,000 and constructed 94 new affordable rental units (11 of which were HOME funded), as well as LIHI Othello Park (George Fleming Place), which received a HOME award of \$2,252,672 and built 93 rental units (11 of which were HOME funded) and CDBG closed out Dolores House with 615,580 in CDBG and 16 units.

Home Repair Programs – The City funds two home repair programs with Consolidated Plan funds. The first, administered directly by the City’s Office of Housing, is the Home Repair Program, which provides loans to qualifying homeowners to finance larger home rehabilitation projects. The goal of 2021 was to close 30 Home Repair loans;_OH exceeded that goal with 36 loans closed.

The second, administered by the Human Services Department and the Aging and Disability Services Division, is a home repair program called Minor Home Repair through Sound Generations. This program assists City of Seattle homeowners with repairing and modifying their homes to prevent harm to the security, safety or health of the resident or home. Elderly, lower income, and disabled residents can access these services which allow them to continue living in their homes and it preserves the City’s low-income housing stock. The goals for 2021 were to serve 450 unduplicated households and 298 were served. An additional goal was to complete 1500 repair jobs and 905 were completed.

In addition to regular housing assistance, using CARES Act funding, 654 households were assisted with rental payments to ensure that they do not face eviction.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	5
Low-income	16	8
Moderate-income	0	9
Total	16	22

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The City operates a Homelessness Outreach and Provider Ecosystem (HOPE) team, and contracts with service providers to coordinate outreach and referral to shelters and services. This outreach supports single adults, young adults, and families who are experiencing homelessness with the focus of those living unsheltered. In 2021, the HOPE team partnered with 32 organizations to make over 1,200 referrals to set-aside shelter resources. In addition to outreach services, the City funds food programs and hygiene services to assist with basic needs. In 2022, the City will be actively working with KCRHA to partner on an outreach strategy.

Coordinated Entry for All (CEA) has been operational in Seattle and King County for several years. CEA's role is to ensure that people experiencing homelessness have fair and equal access to available housing resources. CEA matches the needs, strengths, and vulnerabilities of the individual or household with the corresponding available housing resources and appropriate level of service assistance. CEA trained assessors conduct assessments with single adults, young adults, and families at Regional Access Points (RAPs), shelters, day centers, and other designated sites. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, CEA adjusted its housing prioritization to target people who are at a higher-risk for mortality from COVID-19 per HUD's guidance.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City was able to stand up additional emergency shelter spaces in 2021, with a focus on non-congregate spaces and behavioral health services. The city added a new 150 bed shelter at the end of 2021 serving single adults as well as two additional tiny house villages and a large expansion of an already existing tiny house village. Behavioral health case management was added to all three tiny house villages and the new shelter.

In response to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic the City, in collaboration with King County, continued the expanded and de-intensified shelter options to prevent the spread of the virus amongst people experiencing homelessness. High volume shelters had their capacity reduced to allow people to sleep further apart, temporary shelters were set up in community centers and hotels were leased to allow vulnerable people to isolate. Additionally, shelters that were not 24 hour had hours extended and meals and services were brought on-site to reduce people's time spent outside and moving around the city/region.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely

low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The Human Services Department worked closely with institutions and systems of care to reduce the rate of exits from those programs into homelessness. Our partners working at the County jail work closely with housing providers to ensure that people completing sentences are exiting to housing when possible. The State of Washington has increased support for youth aging out of the foster care system to reduce exits to homelessness. The service providers are funded to provide diversion and prevention assistance to those seeking assistance to retain/maintain housing before entering homelessness.

The City's Office of Housing manages the voter approved Seattle Housing Levy providing affordable housing for Seattle's low-income residents. In 2016 the levy was renewed by voters, doubling the total to provide funds for affordable housing. It's expected to generate \$290 million over the next 7 years. The levy's goals are to produce/preserve 2,150 apartments affordable for at least 50 years, reinvest in 350 affordable apartments, provide rent assistance and other supports for 4,500 families to prevent homelessness, assist 280 low-income homeowners, and provide loans for acquisition and rental rehab of existing affordable apartments.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Seattle and King County, in partnership with other funders, have been tracking system performance in terms of increasing exit rates to permanent housing, decreasing the length of time households spend homeless, and more for several years. The major challenge to this work is the housing affordability crisis engulfing the United States, and felt acutely in Seattle. In 2021, the influx of stimulus funds has provided time limited solutions and allowed the City to focus on helping people experiencing homelessness stay safe from COVID-19, the challenge remains both the supply and costs of housing in Seattle and the surrounding area. The City's service contracts for 2022 were transferred to the KCRHA for their administration of the CoC projects and other housing investments, including rapid re-housing and permanent supportive housing.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Seattle Housing Authority (SHA) continues to advance its affordable housing goals, which are guided by its Strategic Plan. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, SHA made the decision to carry-over the 2016-2020 Strategic Plan until a new one is developed. The agency is currently undergoing planning for a new Strategic Plan, anticipated to be released at the end of 2023.

Affordable housing goals under the current Strategic Plan are as follows:

- Create more affordable housing: Leverage resources to increase rental assistance and housing units for more people in need of affordable housing.
- Advance affordable housing policy: Champion public policies that increase the viability, availability, and accessibility of affordable housing for people with low incomes.
- Diversify housing choice: Expand available housing choices, demonstrate alternative housing models, and preserve and increase access to neighborhoods throughout Seattle that would otherwise be out of reach for people with low incomes.
- Preserve and promote high quality housing: Provide safe, accessible, sustainable, and attractive living environments that contribute to Seattle neighborhoods through preservation and redevelopment of SHA's housing stock.
- Connect people to opportunity: Invest in communities through partnerships so that neighborhoods where participants live support access to opportunities such as good jobs, parks, transit, arts, high-performing schools, and healthy living.
- Strengthen community and service: Facilitate supportive relationships and respectful interactions among participants, staff, partner organizations, and neighbors so that people feel valued, proud, and connected to the community they live in.
- Enhance senior and disabled living: Connect senior and disabled participants to the services they need and facilitate access to other housing choices along a continuum of care as appropriate.
- Economically empower people: Assist participants in benefiting from education and employment to increase their economic security, skills, income, assets, and financial wellbeing.
- Support youth achievement: Promote access to high-quality learning opportunities for young children, youth, and young adults that increase educational performance, college and career readiness, and encourage lifelong well-being.

At year end, SHA served nearly 37,500 people across all housing programs with 85% living in Seattle.

- Approximately 12,000 participants were children and 25,000 adults. Of the adults, approximately 13,500 were elderly and/or had a disability.
- Over 80 percent of households served had incomes below 30 percent area median income, with a median household income of \$12,336.
- Of SHA's total resident population, approximately 34,000 residents and tenants are funded

through SHA's Moving to Work (MTW) block grant.

See Supplemental Answers in attachment.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

In 2021 SHA's Community Builders supported residents interested in becoming involved in management, working with residents to form and sustain elected resident councils (although elections were postponed while social distancing and other pandemic-related limitations were in effect) and issue-specific work groups to collaborate with management on issues of common interest. In addition, SHA organized and supported representatives from public housing communities to serve on the Joint Policy Advisory Committee, with which SHA regularly consults on major policy issues. Residents were also involved in planning for the use of HUD's Resident Participation Funds.

SHA is expanding on existing Section 3 recruitment efforts in alignment with its equity commitment and to incorporate new HUD regulations requiring 25 percent of all labor hours to be performed by low or very low-income individuals based on limits established by HUD, and 5 percent of all labor hours to be performed by residents of a Public Housing or Section 8 program. SHA's Human Resources department is partnering closely with the JobLink program to increase the number of resident trainee and internship positions provided by the agency.

SHA also supported JobLink participants interested in becoming homeowners. JobLink participants interested in pursuing homeownership receive coaching services related to saving for a down payment as well as building and repairing credit. These services are offered in the form of virtual Money Matters workshops as well as individualized financial wellness coaching from JobLink's Money Mentor. Coaches also make connections to relevant community resources, including Habitat for Humanity and HomeSight.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not applicable – SHA is not a troubled PHA.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

By 2021, the City has essentially completed the goals set out in the 2017 Assessment of Fair Housing. A key focus of the City's effort was passage of Mandatory Housing Affordability (MHA) - land use policies that will make affordable housing requirements mandatory for nearly all multifamily residential and commercial development in Seattle. MHA requires new development to include affordable homes or contribute to a City fund for affordable housing. In order to put MHA in effect in an area, using a State-approved approach, the City must make zoning changes that add development capacity and expand housing choices. By adding development capacity MHA will also increase the supply of market rate housing in high-opportunity areas of the City, in order to help address the housing shortage. From 2015 to 2017, City Council adopted legislation establishing MHA requirements and rezones in the University District, Downtown, South Lake Union, Chinatown-International District, and along 23rd Ave in the Central Area, and Uptown. In November 2017, the City released a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) that analyzed putting MHA into effect throughout the city. The FEIS included a preferred alternative for implementing MHA in multifamily and commercial zones, urban villages, and urban village expansions studied during the Seattle 2035 Comprehensive Plan process. Key features of the preferred alternative include implementing MHA broadly to help meet the City's goal for affordable housing production, locating more housing in areas with high access to opportunity and low risk of displacement, and expanding urban villages to an approximate 10-minute walk from frequent transit. After a lengthy SEPA appeal that concluded in November 2018, the City Council continued reviewing the proposed MHA legislation and conducted further public engagement in early 2019. On February 25, 2019, the Council's MHA committee voted on several amendments approved the legislation (as amended), sending the bill towards a final full Council vote on March 18, 2019. New zoning designations (including expansion of land available for multifamily housing development) and corresponding affordable housing requirements would take effect 30 days following passage of the legislation. Other actions were taken to implement HALA recommendations. The City took steps to prioritize surplus City-owned property for affordable housing development and coordinated with regional transit agencies on developing affordable housing at locations with access to transit. The City Council passed reforms to the design review process in 2017 to reduce costs added to new housing and increase effectiveness of community engagement. Legislation was transmitted to City Council to reform parking regulations to reduce additional costs added to new housing due to parking regulations. For further detail and to view all 65 recommendations covering a broad set of topics related to affordable housing, see website at <http://www.seattle.gov/hala>. The Equitable Development Initiative awarded \$35M to 27 community-based organizations supporting efforts to combat residential, cultural, and economic displacement.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

See CR - 20, 25, and 30 earlier in this report.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City recognizes the need to decrease the level of lead-based paint hazards in residential units improved with City or federal funds. Contractors/workers doing repair or weatherization through one of OH's programs are required to utilize lead-safe work practices. Contractors who perform work for the home repair program are required to complete lead-safe training. The City's primary contractors for weatherization work have pollution occurrence insurance and each contractor's field employees must possess lead-safe renovator certification. OH's property rehabilitation specialists, who specify and subsequently inspect all weatherization work, are all certified in lead-safe work practices. OH owns an X-ray fluorescence spectrum analyzer in order to accurately determine the presence of lead-based paint in buildings receiving OH HomeWise Program (weatherization) services. This equipment allows the identification of lead-based paint whenever it is present in a home. All OH HomeWise Program clients are provided information regarding lead poisoning prevention.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Besides on-going programs which help stabilize and create mobility for qualifying households such as child-care subsidies, youth training programs, and homelessness job retraining programs like Seattle Conservation Corp; the City took major steps in 2017 to help households rise above the poverty level. The Rental Registration and Inspection Ordinance focuses on rental housing units' compliance with safety and basic maintenance requirements. The program educates property owners, managers, and renters about their rights and responsibilities, and through a comprehensive inspection process, helps make sure that rental properties meet City housing code. Inspectors use the RRIO Checklist, a set of plain-language requirements developed in consultation with rental property owners, renters, and other community members. Seattle's history of legislation in support of stable and sustainable incomes in the community includes the passage of Seattle Paid Sick and Safe Time Ordinance in 2012 and implementing the Minimum Wage Ordinance which took effect on April 1, 2015. The Secure Scheduling requirements for hourly workers in large food and retail businesses to require predictable work schedules passed in 2017. Paid Parental Leave for City employees, many of whom would otherwise qualify as working poor. The intent of C.B. 118356 is to provide critical bonding time for employees of the City to have with their children. This legislation also serves to address gender pay equity initiatives within the City by prioritizing paid parental leave for both women and men, which shifts the perception that women should be the main child-care provider both in the home and at work. Via the Office of Economic Development, the City's General Funds support over \$2.6 million in contracts with nonprofit service providers for programs targeted to low-income, low-skill youth and adults to gain the training they need to join the workforce. OED staff also work with local industries facing worker CAPER 26 OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018) shortages and with the Community College District to develop worker training certifications aligned with their workforce needs. OED contracts with small business owners include a condition which requires our contract partners to engage and serve with at least 20 percent WMBEs (women/minority-owned business enterprises) to ensure investment in businesses owned by

more vulnerable populations. OED's Language Line account makes OED staff and services more accessible by providing over-the-phone translation services available in over 200 languages. The Utility Discount Program (UDP) offers eligible customers a 60% discount on their Seattle City Light bill and a 50% discount on their Seattle Public Utilities bills. Vehicle License Fee Rebate. The City's Equitable Development Implementation (EDI) fund fosters community leadership and supports organizations to promote equitable access to housing, jobs, education, parks, cultural expression, healthy food and other community needs and amenities. Through 2021, over 40 projects have been awarded funding totalling approximately \$just under \$100m.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Federal Grants Management Unit, responsible for development of the CAPER, Consolidated Plan, and Annual Action Plan reports, is housed in the Human Services Department of the City of Seattle. While ESG, HOPWA, and public services CDBG funds are retained within the Human Services Department, other CDBG funds are allocated to the Office of Housing, Office of Economic Development, Parks Department, and Office of Immigrant and Refugee Affairs. Extensive technical assistance work, especially on an activity by activity basis, is done across department lines by CDBG Administration staff to ensure program and reporting compliance. The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted structural changes aimed and strengthening the insituational structure, including improved transparency and information sharing.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

SHA partnered with King County to access federal Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) funds provided through the COVID Rescue Plan to support participants who fell behind in their rental payments due to job loss, reduction of hours or other hardships associated with COVID-19. SHA agreed to pay participant rent, fees and utility costs in arrears before March 27, 2020, as well as any amounts in the participant arrears for rent and other costs in excess of the arrears that were covered by King County-administered ERA funds, in order for tenants to be eligible to receive the funds. The ERA program then paid up to nine months of back rent and three months of forward rent for each participant who qualified for the program. SHA did not use any federal funds to pay SHA's share of the ERA liabilities. While the final accounting for this program is not yet completed, SHA has set aside up to \$1.3 million in non-federal funds to pay SHA's obligations, leveraging more than \$9 million in ERA funds to support housing stability and alleviate the stress of debt of SHA residents. Some participants have also accessed funds for rental assistance from nonprofit organizations such as St. Vincent de Paul.

HCV partnered with the King County Eviction Prevention and Rent Assistance Programs (EPRAP) to provide a training session for Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) landlords in May 2021. King County EPRAP was also invited to present at the inaugural Regional Landlord Symposium. HCV sent out a mailer and emails to HCV participants with information on applying to EPRAP and contact information with Reclaiming Our Greatness, a community-based organization supporting the EPRAP initiative. Housing

Counselors regularly direct HCV participants in need to these services as well. Finally, HCV recently began discussions with Resolution Washington regarding the Eviction Resolution Pilot Program and how to connect voucher households and landlords to information regarding dispute resolution centers.

Seattle Public Schools (SPS) and the Seattle Housing Authority continue to partner to support scholars furthest from educational justice. SHA residents comprise 11 percent of the district's overall population and over 40 percent of the district's African American population. Initiatives include:

- School partnerships in several central, south and west Seattle schools where SHA and school staff work collaboratively to address barriers to attendance and engagement.
- Enhanced communication with families, including SHA supporting SPS communication through multiple modes of communication.
- Collaborating with partner organizations to host programming in SHA family communities to address the holistic needs of scholars and families, including culturally relevant literacy programs, social emotional learning, leadership development, and college and career readiness.

See Supplemental Answers attachment.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The Seattle Office for Civil Rights (OCR) conducts education and outreach through free quarterly fair housing workshops for housing providers and community in partnership with Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (DCI) to make information on housing laws easier to access for the public. These sessions are held in downtown Seattle and other locations around the City to meet people where they are at. OCR and DCI piloted sessions for community members to raise awareness of tenant rights and has continued housing provider education which maintains existing relationships. The partnership with DCI has led to reaching owners who own less than 2.5 units and have less access to technical assistance than larger providers that are connected to landlord organizations. In 2021, OCR continued to conduct fair housing campaigns which included social media, radio spots, and print materials. The campaigns focused on fair housing and Fair Chance Housing legislation. In addition to campaigns, OCR held trainings and tabled at community events to reach community members and housing providers. OCR investigates complaints of discrimination in housing but does not rely solely on individuals to come forward. OCR carries out strategic enforcement via OCR housing testing based on protected classes.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Monitoring starts with accurate and adequate documentation of service levels, participant characteristics, specific actions taken to assist participants, service outcomes, project accomplishments, contractor progress payments, and expenditure records. All subrecipient agencies are required to develop and maintain this documentation under the terms of their respective funding agreements. City departments implementing CDBG-funded programs utilize the following documents and means to ensure compliance with documentation requirements:

- Status Reports that are submitted with each invoice
- Narrative Reports
- Mid-Year Progress and Year End Reports
- On-Site Monitoring/Assessment of each agency, depending on nature of activity, some are completed on an ongoing basis, on an annual basis and/or on a 2 to 3-year cycle. On-site monitoring involves verification of reports that have been submitted, a review of organizational fiscal practices, and compliance with contract terms, which routinely include non-discrimination and affirmative marketing clauses.
- On-going monitoring of agency throughout the year associated with the submittal of detailed invoice documentation
- Depending on the program, compliance/eligibility is accomplished through the loan qualification process, including on-site physical inspections and tenant file reviews, in-progress inspections as needed and final inspections are completed before issuing final payment to a contractor
- Whenever an urgent issue is identified, a site visit is scheduled by the Project Manager/Specialist and a singular review is done addressing a specific compliance issue or a more in-depth agency review is done on a case-by-case basis, as needed
- Labor Standards monitoring is conducted when necessary on qualifying projects. Our Labor Standards monitor conducts preconstruction meetings, on-site interviews with workers, and reviews weekly certified payrolls. Enforcement of Davis-Bacon wages and related requirements are enforced by the withholding of retainage from contractors. Due to the in-person nature of labor compliance interviews,

the labor standards monitoring discontinued in-person interviews during the COVID-19 pandemic. Remote monitoring was not a suitable alternative due to the confidentiality requirement of these interviews.

Beginning 2020, monitoring was adjusted to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic, and onsite monitoring was replaced with desk-monitoring and remote interviews.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The 2021 CAPER was made available for public comment on 5/16/2022. As of 5/30/2022 no comment was received. The Federal Grants Management Unit is working to increase the availability and opportunities for the public to comment on both performance and future planning.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

During 2021, there were no major shifts in the Seattle's program objectives, rather objectives became more focused, particularly around economic impacts of the pandemic to low income renters and microenterprises. In 2021 and 2022, the City will work on planning and production process for the 2023-27 Consolidated Plan. This is an opportunity to look at the priorities in the Consolidated Plan and the impacts of rapid gentrification, the great recession and the COVID-19 pandemic.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

On-site inspections of HOME assisted rental units per 24 CFR 92.504(d)(1)(ii) and 92.64 are completed at least every 3 years. However, under the guidance provided in the memo titled Additional Revision and Extension of December 2020 and April 2020 Memorandum- Availability of Waivers and Suspensions of the HOME Program Requirements in Response to COVID-19 Pandemic, effective September 30, 2021, the Office of Housing requested a regulatory waiver for inspections of HOME-assisted rental housing. Under the regulatory waiver, the waiver of on-site inspections of HOME-assisted rental housing, was further amended to revise the end date of the extended waiver period to December 31, 2021. The waiver was also amended to extend the period to perform delayed onsite Inspection of HOME-assisted rental units from 120 days to 180 days from the end date of the extended waiver period.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

Seattle's Office of Housing (OH) includes a nondiscrimination and affirmative marketing clause in the HOME Agreements executed with Borrowers. This clause states: "Borrower shall comply with all federal and state laws and regulations prohibiting discrimination in housing, including without limitation the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 and the regulations thereunder, as the same may be amended from time to time. Borrower shall comply with the requirements of Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 14.08 and with the following affirmative marketing requirements for advertisement and rental of Units in the Property:

- (1) Borrower shall include a description of affirmative marketing efforts in its Management Plan.
- (2) Borrower shall maintain records documenting affirmative marketing efforts and shall report annually to City on such efforts and their results.
- (3) Notices or signs advertising the availability of vacant Units shall include the Equal Housing Opportunity logo.
- (4) Borrower shall take actions to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons from all racial, ethnic and gender groups in the housing market area of the Property. Such actions shall include special outreach to inform and solicit applications from persons who are otherwise unlikely to apply for

housing in the Property, according to procedures promulgated by the City from time to time pursuant to 24 CFR Section 92.351."

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

In the timeframe covered by this report, OH spent \$408,683 of HOME program income on the Northaven III project. The Northaven III project is a new construction rental project which will house 82 seniors. 20 of the units will be HOME funded. The project is owned by HumanGood with support from a local nonprofit senior housing agency named Northaven.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)

Through Seattle's housing initiatives, over the course of 38 years, the City has established a solid foundation of housing resources used by thousands of households. With each year's newly funded units, the Office of Housing's portfolio grows, meaning even more housing in Seattle remains affordable to low- and modest-income families and individuals. Asset Management specialists work with housing providers to keep these buildings financially viable and in good condition from year to year.

In 2021, the Office of Housing continued implementing housing programs funded by the local Housing Levy, passed again by Seattle voters by over a 70% margin in August 2016. This is the sixth ballot measure since 1981 that Seattle voters have approved for low-income housing. The Seattle Housing Levy provides \$290 million for affordable housing for seven years (2017-2023). The largest levy component allocates \$201 million for low-income rental production and preservation. The current housing portfolio dates back over three decades, with some of the older properties needing recapitalization. Part of the city's accountability to voters and to supporters of the Housing Levy is that our affordable housing portfolio be maintained in good condition and continue to serve its intended population. The Office of Housing will continue its stewardship of the portfolio and will work to ensure that existing properties are properly maintained and new units come online in a timely manner.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	115	93
Tenant-based rental assistance	191	199
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	0	0	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours					
Total Section 3 Worker Hours					
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours					

Table 15 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers					
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.					
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).					
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.					
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).					
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.					
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.					
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.					
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.					
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.					
Assisted residents with finding child care.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.					
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.					
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.					
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.					
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.					

Other.					
--------	--	--	--	--	--

Table 16 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

Labor Compliance data was kept for 2021 by HSD in a format that does not match this new table. HUD did not provide instructions on this new question in the July 31, 2021 update to the CPD IDIS report manual available online. This table reflects the fact that the pandemic significantly delayed or halted construction and rehabilitation activities.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name SEATTLE
Organizational DUNS Number 612695425
UEI
EIN/TIN Number 916001275
Identify the Field Office SEATTLE
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance Seattle/King County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Ms
First Name Debra
Middle Name
Last Name Rhinehart
Suffix
Title Interim Federal Grants Manager

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 PO Box 34215
Street Address 2
City Seattle
State WA
ZIP Code 98124-4215
Phone Number 2066840273

Extension
Fax Number
Email Address

Debra.Rhinehart@seattle.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix
First Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title
Phone Number
Extension
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 01/01/2021
Program Year End Date 12/31/2021

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SEATTLE
City: Seattle
State: WA
Zip Code: 98104, 1850
DUNS Number: 612695425
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 817674

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

See attachment 2021 ESG SAGE Report for all data.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	0	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	0	0

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	0	0	0

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2019	2020	2021
	0	0	0

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2019	2020	2021
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0

Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	0	0	0

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2019	2020	2021
	0	0	0

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

2021 Combined Attachment

City of Seattle 2021 CAPER - Supplemental Answer Material

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

**Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan.
91.520(a)**

NOTE: For all Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH) goals, see attached 2017-2021 AFH Work Plan Accomplishments for detailed description of activities and accomplishments. The AFH overall goals listed in Table One are comprised of multiple project activities detailed in the attachment. If one of six projects is accomplished in a particular program year, that is manually tracked as a percentage of the overall goal, but there is no accomplishment data for the individual activities tracked in IDIS to auto-populate Table One. Goals listed that are labeled "AFH/CPD" indicate activities that are partially funded with federal grant funds but also involve other fund sources. The IDIS CAPER data listed in these goals reflects only the portion of CDBG/HOME/HOPWA or ESG funded activities each year for the five years of our 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan. This may result in incomplete goal percentages for AFH/CPD related goals. Because this is the first time AFH goals are integrated into the CAPER template, resulting in a new compilation of IDIS accomplishment data, comparison to prior years' CAPER reports will be complex. Future HUD instructions and changes to IDIS report templates may help streamline this reporting.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

SHA operated nearly 15,300 units funded through the Moving to Work (MTW) Block Grant in 2021. Roughly 40 percent are public housing units and 60 percent are vouchers. SHA also operated over 3,300 units of housing funded by HUD outside the Block Grant, such as Special Purpose Vouchers and Mod Rehab, and over 2,400 other housing units that are not funded by HUD (including Low Income Housing Tax Credit units in its redeveloped communities and unsubsidized affordable properties throughout the city).

The largest increase in SHA's housing stock in 2021 came through newly awarded Special Purpose Vouchers. SHA was awarded 498 Emergency Housing Vouchers from the federal government through the American Rescue Plan Act. Referrals were managed primarily through the King County Regional Housing Authority (KCHRA) and vouchers were available only to households experiencing literal homelessness or escaping domestic violence. In partnership with KCRHA, SHA is emphasizing equitable access to these valuable resources for people of color and families. SHA's allocation of Family Unification Program/Foster Youth for Independence vouchers grew from 275 to 342. SHA also received 228 Tenant-Protection Vouchers to replace Public Housing subsidy in 228 Scattered Sites units (no net change in housing stock or subsidy).

One hundred and twenty-one vouchers were awarded as project-based voucher subsidy to community partners that were previously awarded funding through the Seattle Housing Levy for Permanent Supportive Housing. These vouchers went to Kristin Benson Place (77) and Rise at Yancy (44).

SHA also started construction of 51 units at Lambow following the demolition of the original building which was damaged in a fire in 2016 and a decision to replace instead of repair the building in 2019. An additional 635 units were under construction at Yesler at year end 2021, 367 of which are dedicated to households with low incomes. Finally, SHA attracted a request from a non-profit housing developer to "buy up" 10-12 three or more-bedroom units at the Northgate Park-and-Ride site (units that would have been studio or one-bedroom units had SHA not partnered with the developer to create family-sized units). This building is in an Opportunity Neighborhood, which SHA targets for buying up larger family units. Review of the project is underway.

SHA was able to restart inspections and in-unit non-essential repairs in 2021 following the limitations of in-unit work in 2020 due to the pandemic, utilizing health and safety procedures to maintain participant and employee safety. Examples of the property and security improvements to SHA's owned and managed housing include the following:

- Capital project planning and rehabilitation: SHA began financial positioning and project planning for large rehabs at two Seattle Senior Housing Program (SSHP) properties and Jefferson Terrace. SHA also engaged in a large rehab at the West Seattle Maintenance Facility to enable SHA to better respond to maintenance needs of the properties in South and West Seattle.
- Exterior upgrades: SHA conducted exterior upgrades such roof replacement and exterior painting at many Scattered Sites locations and SSHP properties.
- Health and safety: SHA replaced carbon monoxide and smoke detectors in the NewHolly family community.

- Interior upgrades: SHA made repairs and upgrades in many buildings to address aging infrastructure and improve quality of life. These include replacing the flooring at a number of buildings, making lighting upgrades to common areas in several public housing buildings, installing a heat pump and replacing a boiler, upgrading unit interiors and painting at unit turnover and replacing windows and doors.

Actions taken to address Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) needs:

The average utilization rate for MTW vouchers and the Moderate Rehabilitation program was 95.6%. More than half of SHA's Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHVs) were issued by year end (262 of 498). SHA entered a Memorandum of Understanding with the King County Regional Homelessness Authority (KCRHA) in July for the partnership implementing the new (EHV) program.

HCV partnered with 126 new landlords in 2021. HCV recently onboarded its second Owner Liaison who will focus on landlord engagement in 2022. HCV is finalizing a contract with a third-party organization to provide additional landlord engagement services in 2022.

SHA continued to provide housing search support for HCV participants, including utilizing evidenced-based practices established through its Creating Moves to Opportunity (CMTO) mobility program. CMTO helps families with children access units in opportunity areas, most of which have been out of reach for low income families and families of color due to the impacts of systemic racism including redlining.

Actions taken to support environmental sustainability:

In 2021, SHA began building an electrification strategy by completing a case study of a multifamily building and a single-family home at the High Point family community in partnership with the City of Seattle's Office of Sustainability and Environment and the University of Washington's Integrated Design Lab. This work included feasibility assessment for a variety of climate resilience measures in high rise buildings, as well as building electrification, and will serve as a boiler plate for the multifamily industry in Seattle. In addition, a similar case study is in progress for wood-framed buildings in SHA's portfolios.

In addition, SHA's Fleet Management Team is working with a third-party vendor to assess Electric Vehicle charging infrastructure to support agency operations, with the potential to offer EV charging as an amenity for tenants. This work also include collaboration with several city departments, including Seattle City Light, Seattle Department of Transportation and the Office of Sustainability and Environment, as well as community partners at Puget Sound Clean Air Agency, King County and the Washington State Departments of Commerce, Enterprise Services and Licensing.

Finally, various sustainability strategies were launched in four multifamily buildings to improve indoor environments for staff and tenants and reduce consumption of natural resources. Various projects are completed, underway, in the planning process and/or budgeted that meet SHA's sustainability goals. These projects include:

- The installation of air-sourced heat pumps for cooling in SSHP community rooms has been completed and planning for the installation of heat pumps for cooling in the high-rise community rooms is underway.

- The installation of the nation's first air-sourced, CO2 heat pump hot water heater in a high rise building at Bayview Tower. This technology uses a Carbon Dioxide (CO2) refrigerant, further reducing emissions from this already clean technology.
- The completion of green storm water projects at two buildings and planning for other properties in SHA's Scattered Sites and SSHP portfolios in partnership with the Seattle Public Utilities and King County.

SHA's Development Department has incorporated solar infrastructure into three of its next four development projects, and staff have identified several potential existing buildings for an emerging solar program and are working through the logistics of implementation.

Actions taken to support the needs of participants:

In 2021 SHA employed strategies to address the needs of participants, including job placement and referral services, case management through SHA staff and contracts with agencies such as City of Seattle Aging and Disability Services, individualized planning and assessment to provide connections to training and education, and leadership development through SHA's Community Builders. SHA connected households with children to educational resources, including tutoring and recruitment for College Bound enrollment.

JobLink, SHA's economic self-sufficiency program, completed its fourth year under its revised model in 2021. JobLink connects residents to employment, education, and wealth-building resources through one-on-one case management. The program is open to all SHA residents aged 18 and older, and helps residents build job preparation and interview skills, teaches financial planning and literacy skills, supports residents to start a small business, connects residents with resources in the community such as childcare and transportation support, and helps residents sign up for college or vocational training, apply for jobs and/or explore buying a home. In 2021, JobLink helped more than 650 tenants with their career and education goals; 112 tenants secured jobs and 256 tenants enrolled in college or educational training programs.

SHA also continued its emphasis on supporting race and social justice as an integral way to improve the experience and outcomes of the people it serves, 75 percent of whom identify as Black, Indigenous or People of Color.

Additional resident and tenant support programming can be found in section CR-35 - Other Actions.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Specific actions taken in 2021 include:

- Supporting over 150 families with hybrid learning and the transition back to school through one-on-one supports, information sessions and school partnerships.
- Supporting over 50 scholars in accessing youth employment opportunities.

- Supporting approximately 110 families in Early Literacy and Math through providing kits, access to programming, access to books through the installation of little libraries, supporting Kindergarten Registration and through programming in partnership with Seattle Public Library
- Facilitating girls' groups and boys' groups for middle/high school aged youth which included identity development and positive relationship building.
- Holding workshops, including Black History Month Programming with accessible activities for elementary and middle/high school aged youth.
- Offering age-appropriate summer programming in the Garden Communities in partnership with local community organizations.
- Partnering with the Seattle Public Library on a reading buddies program, which allowed older youth mentors to read to early learning and elementary-aged youth.
- Staff also provided individual and small group supports for boys and girls in need of additional support.

SHA assisted nine families to remain stably housed through the Home from School initiative in 2021. Home from School provides affordable housing to homeless families with children attending Bailey Gatzert Elementary School, in the Yesler neighborhood. This pilot program, developed in partnership with SPS and the City, benefits both families and the school community by decreasing interruptions to students' education.

SHA continued its Health Initiative with foci on broadening data partnerships, strengthening multi-sector relationships and building data-informed and equitable programming with regional service leaders.

The data partnership with Public Health Seattle King County (PHSKC) continued to report on the overall health and health needs of SHA tenants, but also proved invaluable in tracking COVID vaccination rates, and enabled targeting of vaccination efforts. SHA and PHSKC also initiated joint research projects on the effects of positive/negative exits on health, housing, & economic outcomes through a HUD grant, and on the effects on child health outcomes of housing through the Housing Choice Voucher program, with Johns Hopkins University researchers. It is hoped that these research efforts will provide a deeper understanding of the effects of access to affordable housing on health, and the benefits of housing stability efforts on long-term outcomes.

COVID response was a major focus for SHA through 2021. SHA collaborated with Seattle Fire Department, City of Seattle, PHSKC and community-based organizations to stage COVID vaccination clinics at dozens of sites, easing access for typically underserved populations.

SHA continued to champion easy access to health resources, long-term care and social services for seniors, people with disabilities, and families, in bilateral partnerships with the regional Area Agency on Aging, and community-based organizations (Full Life Care and Neighborhood House). Recognizing systemic limitations, SHA invested further in much-needed behavioral health services through Sound, with an emphasis on meeting service-resistant residents where they are at. SHA also initiated projects to bring evidence-based responses to the chronic issue of clinical hoarding.

SHA was successful at securing \$1.8 million in state funding to support thousands of residents with devices, training and technical support through expansion of SHA's Digital Navigators program. SHA also developed a working partnership with an internet service provider to assist SHA residents with gaining affordable internet access. In addition, SHA established a \$5 million BIPOC Equity Fund to support issues

important to staff and tenants who identify as Black, Indigenous and People of Color. A portion of the fund has been designated to support a pilot program at select SHA locations with high BIPOC populations to provide residents with in-unit internet connectivity. Residents in this pilot will also receive support through the Digital Navigators program

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

An assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Further, the Office of Housing's annual project monitoring evaluates performance in this area in accordance with the HOME Agreement, as well as the Seattle Housing Levy Administrative and Financial (A&F) Plan Housing Funding Policies. The A&F Plan policy for affirmative marketing compliance and performance evaluation assesses the following: "The housing is affirmatively marketed, including advertisements in OH-identified listing sites that reach the general population and underserved groups; the population is diverse; and the borrower can demonstrate nondiscriminatory treatment for all applicants and occupants, consistent with federal, state, and local fair housing laws and regulations." To demonstrate compliance, borrowers are required to submit marketing materials or a statement explaining the project's approach to affirmative marketing, as well as documentation of any fair housing complaints and dispositions (if any) to the Seattle Office of Housing each year.

Also, the Race/Ethnicity composition of households served in the multifamily rental housing program is assessed on an annual basis. Results consistently demonstrate that households served in the rental housing program are comparable to, or exceed, the Race/Ethnicity of Seattle's Low-Income renter population, based on CHAS American Community Survey 5-year average data. Black/African American households comprise 12% of Seattle's Low-Income renter households but represent 31% of households served in the OH rental housing program, according to the latest racial demographic data available (2017). White, non-Hispanic households make up 60% of Seattle's total Low-Income renter households but make up only 41% of households served in the OH rental housing program.

Finally, in 2019, adopted as part of the Seattle Housing Levy Administrative and Financial Plan and Housing Funding Policies for Program Years 2019-2020 and following extensive community and stakeholder engagement efforts, the Seattle Office of Housing updated its Affirmative Marketing guidelines and introduced a permissive policy for Community Preference for City-funded rental and homeownership housing located in high risk of displacement areas. This policy intends to affirmatively further fair housing, address displacement, and foster and sustain inclusive communities. Implementation guidelines provide practices for implementing community preference in affordable housing projects that are consistent with local, state, and federal fair housing laws. Recommendations include best practices learned from other municipalities, as well as the experiences of housing providers in Seattle. The guideline is not intended to prescribe implementation practices or provide legal advice, and community preference must be tailored to each individual project's housing type, location, and served population.



Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 11/30/2023)

The CAPER report for HOPWA formula grantees provides annual information on program accomplishments that supports program evaluation and the ability to measure program beneficiary outcomes as related to: maintain housing stability; prevent homelessness; and improve access to care and support. This information is also covered under the Consolidated Plan Management Process (CPMP) report and includes Narrative Responses and Performance Charts required under the Consolidated Planning regulations. Reporting is required for all HOPWA formula grantees. The public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 41 hours per manual response, or less if an automated data collection and retrieval system is in use, along with 60 hours for record keeping, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. HUD's requirements for reports submitted by HOPWA formula grantees are supported by 42 U.S.C. § 12911 and HUD's regulations at 24 CFR § 574.520(a). Grantees are required to report on the activities undertaken only, thus there may be components of these reporting requirements that may not be applicable. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number. While confidentiality is not assured, HUD generally only releases this information as required or permitted by law.

Overview. The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides annual performance reporting on client outputs and outcomes that enables an assessment of grantee performance in achieving the housing stability outcome measure. The CAPER fulfills statutory and regulatory program reporting requirements and provides the grantee and HUD with the necessary information to assess the overall program performance and accomplishments against planned goals and objectives.

HOPWA formula grantees are required to submit a CAPER demonstrating coordination with other Consolidated Plan resources. HUD uses the CAPER data to obtain essential information on grant activities, project sponsors, housing sites, units and households, and beneficiaries (which includes racial and ethnic data on program participants). The Consolidated Plan Management Process tool (CPMP) provides an optional tool to integrate the reporting of HOPWA specific activities with other planning and reporting on Consolidated Plan activities.

Table of Contents

PART 1: Grantee Executive Summary

1. Grantee Information
2. Project Sponsor Information
5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment
 - a. Grantee and Community Overview
 - b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan
 - c. Barriers or Trends Overview

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

1. Sources of Leveraging
2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

PART 3: Accomplishment Data: Planned Goals and Actual Outputs

PART 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

1. Housing Stability: Permanent Housing and Related Facilities
2. Prevention of Homelessness: Short-Term Housing Payments
3. Access to Care and Support: Housing Subsidy Assistance with Supportive Services

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes

PART 6: Annual Report of Continued Use for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (Only)

PART 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

- A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility Based Units, Master Leased Units ONLY)
- B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Continued Use Periods. Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation of a building or structure are required to operate the building or structure for HOPWA-eligible beneficiaries for a ten (10) years period. If no further HOPWA funds are used to support the facility, in place of completing Section 7B of the CAPER, the grantee must submit an Annual Report of Continued Project Operation throughout the required use periods. This report is included in Part 6 in CAPER. The required use period is three (3) years if the rehabilitation is non-substantial.

Record Keeping. Names and other individual information must be kept confidential, as required by 24 CFR 574.440. However, HUD reserves the right to review the information used to complete this report for grants management oversight purposes, except for recording any names and other identifying information. **In the case that HUD must review client-level data, no client names or identifying information will be retained or recorded. Information is reported in aggregate to HUD without personal identification. Do not submit client or personal information in data systems to HUD.**

In connection with the development of the Department’s standards for Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS), universal data elements are being collected for clients of HOPWA-funded homeless assistance projects. These project sponsor records would include: Name, Social Security Number, Date of Birth, Ethnicity and Race, Gender, Veteran Status, Disabling Conditions, Residence Prior to Program Entry, Zip Code of Last Permanent Address, Housing Status, Program Entry

Date, Program Exit Date, Personal Identification Number, and Household Identification Number. These are intended to match the elements under HMIS. The HOPWA program-level data elements include: Income and Sources, Non-Cash Benefits, HIV/AIDS Status, Services Provided, Housing Status or Destination at the end of the operating year, Physical Disability, Developmental Disability, Chronic Health Condition, Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Domestic Violence, Medical Assistance, and T-cell Count. Other HOPWA projects sponsors may also benefit from collecting these data elements. HMIS local data systems must maintain client confidentiality by using a closed system in which medical information and HIV status are only shared with providers that have a direct involvement in the client’s case management, treatment and care, in line with the signed release of information from the client.

Operating Year. HOPWA formula grants are annually awarded for a three-year period of performance with three operating years. The information contained in this CAPER must represent a one-year period of HOPWA program operation that coincides with the grantee’s program year; this is the operating year. More than one HOPWA formula grant awarded to the same grantee may be used during an operating year and the CAPER must capture all formula grant funding used during the operating year. Project sponsor accomplishment information must also coincide with the operating year this CAPER covers. Any change to the period of performance requires the approval of HUD by amendment, such as an extension for an additional operating year.

Final Assembly of Report. After the entire report is assembled, number each page sequentially.

Filing Requirements. Within 90 days of the completion of each program year, grantees must submit their completed CAPER to the CPD Director in the grantee’s State or Local HUD Field Office, and to the HOPWA Program Office: at HOPWA@hud.gov. Electronic submission to HOPWA Program office is preferred; however, if electronic submission is not possible, hard copies can be mailed to: Office of HIV/AIDS Housing, Room 7248, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C., 20410.

Definitions

Adjustment for Duplication: Enables the calculation of unduplicated output totals by accounting for the total number of households or units that received more than one type of HOPWA assistance in a given service category such as HOPWA Subsidy Assistance or Supportive Services. For example, if a client household received both TBRA and STRMU during the operating year, report that household in the category of HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance in Part 3, Chart 1, Column [1b] in the following manner:

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		[1] Outputs: Number of Households
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	1
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units	
2b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies	
3a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	
4.	Short-term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance	1
5.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)	1
6.	TOTAL Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1-4 minus Row 5)	1

Administrative Costs: Costs for general management, oversight, coordination, evaluation, and reporting. By statute, grantee administrative costs are limited to 3% of total grant award, to be expended over the life of the grant. Project sponsor administrative costs are limited to 7% of the portion of the grant amount they receive.

Beneficiary(ies): All members of a household who received HOPWA assistance during the operating year including the one individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance as well as any other members of the household (with or without HIV) who benefitted from the assistance.

Chronically Homeless Person: An individual or family who : (i) is homeless and lives or resides individual or family who: (i) Is homeless and lives or resides in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter; (ii) has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 1 year or on at least 4 separate occasions in the last 3 years; and (iii) has an adult head of household (or a minor head of household if no adult is present in the household) with a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability (as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002)), post traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from a brain injury, or chronic physical illness or disability, including the co-occurrence of 2 or more of those conditions. Additionally, the statutory definition includes as chronically homeless a person who currently lives or resides in an institutional care facility, including a jail, substance abuse or mental health treatment facility, hospital or other similar facility, and has resided there for fewer than 90 days if such person met the other criteria for homeless prior to entering that facility. (See 42 U.S.C. 11360(2)) This does not include doubled-up or overcrowding situations.

Disabling Condition: Evidencing a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability, chronic physical illness, or disability, including the co-occurrence of two or more of these conditions. In addition, a disabling condition may limit an individual's ability to work or perform one or more activities of daily living. An HIV/AIDS diagnosis is considered a disabling condition.

Facility-Based Housing Assistance: All eligible HOPWA Housing expenditures for or associated with supporting facilities including community residences, SRO dwellings, short-term facilities, project-based rental units, master leased units, and other housing facilities approved by HUD.

Faith-Based Organization: Religious organizations of three types: (1) congregations; (2) national networks, which include national denominations, their social service arms (for example, Catholic Charities, Lutheran Social Services), and networks of related organizations (such as YMCA and YWCA); and (3) freestanding religious organizations, which are incorporated separately from congregations and national networks.

Grassroots Organization: An organization headquartered in the local community where it provides services; has a social services budget of \$300,000 or less annually, and six or fewer full-time equivalent employees. Local affiliates of national organizations are not considered "grassroots."

HOPWA Eligible Individual: The one (1) low-income person with HIV/AIDS who qualifies a household for HOPWA assistance. This person may be considered "Head of Household." When the CAPER asks for information on eligible individuals, report on this individual person only. Where there is more than one person with HIV/AIDS in the household, the additional PWH/A(s), would be considered a beneficiary(s).

HOPWA Housing Information Services: Services dedicated to helping persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families to identify, locate, and acquire housing. This may also include fair housing counseling for eligible persons who may encounter discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, familial status, or handicap/disability.

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Total: The unduplicated number of households receiving housing subsidies (TBRA, STRMU, Permanent

Housing Placement services and Master Leasing) and/or residing in units of facilities dedicated to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families and supported with HOPWA funds during the operating year.

Household: A single individual or a family composed of two or more persons for which household incomes are used to determine eligibility and for calculation of the resident rent payment. The term is used for collecting data on changes in income, changes in access to services, receipt of housing information services, and outcomes on achieving housing stability. Live-In Aides (see definition for Live-In Aide) and non-beneficiaries (e.g. a shared housing arrangement with a roommate) who resided in the unit are not reported on in the CAPER.

Housing Stability: The degree to which the HOPWA project assisted beneficiaries to remain in stable housing during the operating year. See Part 5: Determining Housing Stability Outcomes for definitions of stable and unstable housing situations.

In-kind Leveraged Resources: These are additional types of support provided to assist HOPWA beneficiaries such as volunteer services, materials, use of equipment and building space. The actual value of the support can be the contribution of professional services, based on customary rates for this specialized support, or actual costs contributed from other leveraged resources. In determining a rate for the contribution of volunteer time and services, use the criteria described in 2 CFR 200. The value of any donated material, equipment, building, or lease should be based on the fair market value at time of donation. Related documentation can be from recent bills of sales, advertised prices, appraisals, or other information for comparable property similarly situated.

Leveraged Funds: The amount of funds expended during the operating year from non-HOPWA federal, state, local, and private sources by grantees or sponsors in dedicating assistance to this client population. Leveraged funds or other assistance are used directly in or in support of HOPWA program delivery.

Live-In Aide: A person who resides with the HOPWA Eligible Individual and who meets the following criteria: (1) is essential to the care and well-being of the person; (2) is not obligated for the support of the person; and (3) would not be living in the unit except to provide the necessary supportive services. See 124 CFR 5.403 and the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide for additional reference.

Master Leasing: Applies to a nonprofit or public agency that leases units of housing (scattered-sites or entire buildings) from a landlord, and subleases the units to homeless or low-income tenants. By assuming the tenancy burden, the agency facilitates housing of clients who may not be able to maintain a lease on their own due to poor credit, evictions, or lack of sufficient income.

Operating Costs: Applies to facility-based housing only, for facilities that are currently open. Operating costs can include day-to-day housing function and operation costs like utilities, maintenance, equipment, insurance, security, furnishings, supplies and salary for staff costs directly related to the housing project but not staff costs for delivering services.

Outcome: The degree to which the HOPWA assisted household has been enabled to establish or maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, (per the regulations at 24 CFR 574.310(b)) and to reduce the risks of homelessness, and improve access to HIV treatment and other health care and support.

Output: The number of units of housing or households that receive HOPWA assistance during the operating year.

Permanent Housing Placement: A supportive housing service that helps establish the household in the housing unit, including but not limited to reasonable costs for security deposits not to exceed two months of rent costs.

Program Income: Gross income directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. See grant administration

requirements on program income at 2 CFR 200.307.

Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA): A rental subsidy program that is tied to specific facilities or units owned or controlled by a project sponsor. Assistance is tied directly to the properties and is not portable or transferable.

Project Sponsor Organizations: Per HOPWA regulations at 24 CFR 574.3, any nonprofit organization or governmental housing agency that receives funds under a contract with the grantee to provide eligible housing and other support services or administrative services as defined in 24 CFR 574.300. Project Sponsor organizations are required to provide performance data on households served and funds expended.

SAM: All organizations applying for a Federal award must have a valid registration active at sam.gov. SAM (System for Award Management) registration includes maintaining current information and providing a valid DUNS number.

Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (STRMU) Assistance: A time-limited, housing subsidy assistance designed to prevent homelessness and increase housing stability. Grantees may provide assistance for up to 21 weeks in any 52-week period. The amount of assistance varies per client depending on funds available, tenant need and program guidelines.

Stewardship Units: Units developed with HOPWA, where HOPWA funds were used for acquisition, new construction and rehabilitation that no longer receive operating subsidies from HOPWA. Report information for the units is subject to the three-year use agreement if rehabilitation is non-substantial and to the ten-year use agreement if rehabilitation is substantial.

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA): TBRA is a rental subsidy program similar to the Housing Choice Voucher program that grantees can provide to help low-income households access affordable housing. The TBRA voucher is not tied to a specific unit, so tenants may move to a different unit without losing their assistance, subject to individual program rules. The subsidy amount is determined in part based on household income and rental costs associated with the tenant's lease.

Transgender: Transgender is defined as a person who identifies with, or presents as, a gender that is different from the person's gender assigned at birth.

Veteran: A veteran is someone who has served on active duty in the Armed Forces of the United States. This does not include inactive military reserves or the National Guard unless the person was called up to active duty.

**Housing Opportunities for Person With AIDS (HOPWA)
Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)
Measuring Performance Outputs and Outcomes**

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 11/30/2023)

Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary

As applicable, complete the charts below to provide more detailed information about the agencies and organizations responsible for the administration and implementation of the HOPWA program. Chart 1 requests general Grantee Information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A. Do not leave any section blank.

1. Grantee Information

HUD Grant Number WAH18F001, WAH19F001, WAH20F001, and WAH20-FHW001		Operating Year for this report From (mm/dd/yy) 01/01/21 To (mm/dd/yy) 12/31/21	
Grantee Name City of Seattle, Human Services Department			
Business Address 700 5th Avenue, Ste 5800			
City, County, State, Zip Seattle King WA 98104-5017			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN) 91-6001275			
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs): 790597814		System for Award Management (SAM): Is the grantee's SAM status currently active? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, provide SAM Number: 4NGK9	
Congressional District of Grantee's Business Address WA-007			
*Congressional District of Primary Service Area(s) WA-007 WA-002			
*City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s) Cities: Seattle Everett Counties: King Snohomish			
Organization's Website Address http://www.seattle.gov/humanservices/		Is there a waiting list(s) for HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Services in the Grantee Service Area? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section what services maintain a waiting list and how this list is administered.	

* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee.

2. Project Sponsor Information

Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3. Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Project Sponsor Agency Name Catholic Community Services of Western Washington		Parent Company Name, if applicable N/A			
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency		Muriel Reiner, Program Manager – PSH & HIV/AIDS Supportive Housing			
Email Address		MurielR@ccsw.org			
Business Address		1918 Everett Avenue			
City, County, State, Zip,		Everett	Snohomish	WA	98201-3607
Phone Number (with area code)		425-257-2111			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)		91-1585652		Fax Number (with area code) 425-257-2120	
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):		799006341			
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address		WA-002			
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)		WA-002 WA-001			
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)		Cities: Everett		Counties: Snohomish	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year		\$412,624.00			
Organization's Website Address		www.ccsw.org			
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please check if yes and a grassroots organization. <input type="checkbox"/>		Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.			

Project Sponsor Agency Name Lifelong: Health for All		Parent Company Name, if applicable N/A			
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency		Michaela Jones, Housing Director			
Email Address		michaelaj@lifelong.org			
Business Address		210 S. Lucile Street			
City, County, State, Zip,		Seattle	King	WA	98108-2432
Phone Number (with area code)		206-957-1717			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)		91-1275815		Fax Number (with area code) 206-860-6326	
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):		190494848			
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address		WA-007			
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)		WA-007 WA-008 WA-009 WA-001 WA-002			

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Seattle	Counties: King
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$1,675,111.76	
Organization's Website Address	http://lifelong.org	
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. <input type="checkbox"/> Please check if yes and a grassroots organization. <input type="checkbox"/>	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.	

Project Sponsor Agency Name	Virginia Mason Medical Center dba Bailey-Boushay House				Parent Company Name, if applicable	N/A				
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Brian Knowles, Executive Director									
Email Address	Brian.Knowles@virginiamason.org									
Business Address	2720 East Madison									
City, County, State, Zip,	Seattle	King	WA	98112-4738						
Phone Number (with area code)	206-322-5300									
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	91-0566539				Fax Number (with area code) 206-720-2299					
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	789361482									
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	WA-007									
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	WA-007									
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Seattle				Counties: King					
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$823,900.00									
Organization's Website Address	http://www.baileyboushay.org/									
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. <input type="checkbox"/> Please check if yes and a grassroots organization. <input type="checkbox"/>	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.									

5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment

a. Grantee and Community Overview

Provide a one to three page narrative summarizing major achievements and highlights that were proposed and completed during the program year. Include a brief description of the grant organization, area of service, the name(s) of the program contact(s), and an overview of the range/type of housing activities provided. This overview may be used for public information, including posting on HUD’s website. **Note:** Text fields are expandable.

City of Seattle Human Services Department - King County & Snohomish County, WA

The City of Seattle Human Services Department (HSD) is the regional grantee and coordinator of the federally funded HOPWA program. In 2021, HSD allocated almost \$3 million for the service area consisting of King County and Snohomish County. The HOPWA program is an integral part of our region’s HIV/AIDS system of care. The program is designed to place and support people living with HIV and AIDS (PLWHA) into the most appropriate housing possible, based on assessment of household needs. The range of housing options in 2021 included Tenant-based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Permanent Housing Placements, and homelessness prevention through Short Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU) along with employment assistance.

2021 HOPWA Project Sponsors and Overview:

HOPWA investments were allocated to Lifelong: Health for All (Lifelong), Catholic Community Services of Western Washington (CCS), and Virginia Mason Medical Center dba Bailey-Boushay House. The Project Sponsors expended approximately \$2.6 million in HOPWA and HOPWA CARES Act funding and provided housing subsidy assistance and supportive services to 370 households. Eligibility for HOPWA housing subsidy assistance and supportive services includes PLWHA and their families, in which the aim is to assist households with incomes at or below 50% of area median income (not exceeding 80% of area median income).

- In King County, Lifelong is the main housing intake and referral agency and provides information, assessment, and placement for people who are in need of independent and supportive housing as well as homelessness prevention services. The Project Sponsor maintains a waiting list for housing assistance based on vulnerability factors. HOPWA provided funding for TBRA, STRMU, permanent housing placement, and employment assistance. PLWHA who are experiencing homelessness and qualify for permanent supportive housing through a vulnerability assessment are referred to the regional coordinated entry system.
- In Snohomish County, CCS provides a range of housing assistance and leveraged supportive services to PLWHA in Snohomish County and maintains a waiting list for housing assistance. HOPWA provides funding for TBRA, STRMU, and permanent housing placement.
- Bailey-Boushay House received funding for TBRA and collaborates with organizations providing services to PLWHA who have encountered barriers to finding and maintaining housing. The Project Sponsor administers the funding, works with area property managers and provides leveraged support services, while the organizations provide additional case management support for housing stability. Participating providers include Chief Seattle Club, POCAAN, Entre Hermanos, Center for Multicultural Health, BABES Network, and WA State Department of Corrections.

Planning Development:

Two main system-wide coordination efforts occurred in 2021:

- Ending the HIV Epidemic: A Plan for America (EHE) was announced in 2019, and for phase 1 the initiative focused on 57 priority jurisdictions. King County was selected for phase 1 due to the area’s new HIV transmission rate. The EHE Planning Committee meeting started in January 2020 and continued in 2021 to advise on Public Health - Seattle & King County’s Plan to Support Ending the HIV Epidemic in King County funded by the CDC and HRSA.
- HOPWA staff is a member of the Seattle TGA HIV Planning Council Meeting and regularly attends meeting and participates in discussions about housing and services needs and funding coordination with the Ryan White program. Staff also participated in the Ryan White Needs Assessment Priority Setting and Resource Allocation Committee.

HOPWA Grantee – City of Seattle Human Services Department Contacts:

Debra Rhinehart, Federal Management Grants Unit Interim Manager, and Genie Sheth, Sr. Grants & Contracts Specialist

b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Provide a narrative addressing each of the following four items:

1. Outputs Reported. Describe significant accomplishments or challenges in achieving the number of housing units supported and the number households assisted with HOPWA funds during this operating year compared to plans for this assistance, as approved in the Consolidated Plan/Action Plan. Describe how HOPWA funds were distributed during your operating year among different categories of housing and geographic areas to address needs throughout the grant service area, consistent with approved plans.

Distribution of Funding by Type of Program Services:

HOPWA funding was distributed to regional Project Sponsors based on a competitive process in 2018, a pilot project that merged into an ongoing program, and the allocation of CARES Act funding. Program expenditures in 2021 were \$2,645,898.54 as indicated in the table below. The details for funding outcomes are included in Part 3, Chart 1: Accomplishment Data – Planned and Actual Outputs.

Housing Assistance	
84% Tenant Based Rental Assistance	
0% Permanent Housing (operating & leased units)	\$2,390,758.26
0% Transitional Housing (operating & leased units)	
13% Short-Term Rent Mortgage Utility Assistance	
3% Permanent Housing Placement	
Housing Development (Capital Rehabilitation)	\$0.00
Supportive Services & Housing Information Services	\$37,429.68
Resource Identification	\$0.00
Administration (Grantee and Project Sponsor Activities)	\$217,710.60

Geographic Distribution of Funding:

HOPWA funds are distributed to agencies to provide services in King County and Snohomish County. Approximately 20% of the annual HOPWA award is allocated to programs in Snohomish County. This funding level is based on the historical number of HIV/AIDS cases in Snohomish as a proportion of the two-county area. The CARES Act funding for the STRMU and Administrative activities were also distributed at 80% for King County and 20% for Snohomish County.

Number of Households/Housing Units Receiving Assistance:

The total output for all HOPWA programs in 2021 was 370 household, in which 344 households received housing subsidy assistance. These subsidies included short-term rent or mortgage assistance, utility payment assistance, tenant based rental assistance, and permanent housing placement assistance for applications fees, security deposits, and 1st month’s rent.

Summary Overview of Individuals and Families Receiving HOPWA Rental Assistance:

The HOPWA program provides rental assistance and support to low-income households, many of whom have histories of homelessness.

- The majority of the households who received housing subsidies in 2021 had extremely low incomes. About 87.2% had incomes that were less than 30% of the area median income.
- Housing subsidy assistance beneficiaries included 344 individuals with HIV/AIDS and 86 other persons residing with the eligible HOPWA assisted clients. Among the total 430 individual beneficiaries:

Gender: 69.5% of beneficiaries were male; 28.8% beneficiaries were female; and 1.6% beneficiaries were transgender.

Age: This is the second year that the 51 years and older demographics is the highest percentage served. The Project Sponsors continue to report serving a growing number of families and aging clients who have been living with HIV for a long time.

Under 18 years	11.39%
18 to 30 years	8.14%
31 to 50 years	39.77%
51 years and older	40.70%

Race and Ethnicity of individuals with HIV/AIDS:

Race	HOPWA eligible individuals %	King County Cases ** %
White/Caucasian	51.7%	52%
Black/African American	35.5%	21%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	5.5%	1%
Two or More Races	5.5%	6%
Asian	1.5%	5%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.3%	<1%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic/Latino	18.0%	15%

**2021 HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Report

2. Outcomes Assessed. Assess your program’s success in enabling HOPWA beneficiaries to establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, and improve access to care. Compare current year results to baseline results for clients. Describe how program activities/projects contributed to meeting stated goals. If program did not achieve expected targets, please describe how your program plans to address challenges in program implementation and the steps currently being taken to achieve goals in next operating year. If your program exceeded program targets, please describe strategies the program utilized and how those contributed to program successes.

Housing Stability Outcomes:

The HUD target result for HOPWA housing subsidy assistance is that at least 80% of HOPWA clients maintain housing stability, avoid homelessness and access care. Overall, our local outcomes demonstrate that about 93.7% or 268 households were in stable housing at the end of 2021 (see table in Part 5, summarized from Outcomes reported in Part 4).

3. Coordination. Report on program coordination with other mainstream housing and supportive services resources, including the use of committed leveraging from other public and private sources that helped to address needs for eligible persons identified in the Consolidated Plan/Strategic Plan.

Coordination:

The combined housing and services advisory committee, the Housing and Services Stakeholder group, did not meet in 2021 due to COVID-19 and plans to reconvene in 2022 have been scheduled. Staff collaborated with three POC-lead organizations for the HOPWA-C funding opportunity, which was successful in being awarded \$2,250,000 over three years to further benefit King County residents.

Leveraging Resources:

In 2021, Project Sponsors in King and Snohomish Counties leveraged about \$10 million primarily from federal and state government sources such as Ryan White, Housing Choice Voucher Program, Continuum of Care, and other public and private funding.

4. Technical Assistance. Describe any program technical assistance needs and how they would benefit program beneficiaries.

The main technical assistance that was provided in 2021 was related to COVID-19 and the necessary programmatic shifts.

c. Barriers and Trends Overview

Provide a narrative addressing items 1 through 3. Explain how barriers and trends affected your program’s ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed in the previous section.

1. Describe any barriers (including regulatory and non-regulatory) encountered in the administration or implementation of the HOPWA program, how they affected your program’s ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed, and, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement. Provide an explanation for each barrier selected.

<input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA/HUD Regulations	<input type="checkbox"/> Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Availability	<input type="checkbox"/> Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination/Confidentiality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Diagnoses	<input type="checkbox"/> Eligibility	<input type="checkbox"/> Technical Assistance or Training
<input type="checkbox"/> Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Credit History	<input type="checkbox"/> Rental History	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Criminal Justice History
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Affordability	<input type="checkbox"/> Geography/Rural Access	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain further	

Housing Affordability and Housing Availability

Housing availability and affordability continue to be significant issues in this region. High rental rates continue to be challenging with average rents around \$2,000 per month. These rents are unaffordable for most PLWHA, living on SSI, and many households are having to move further away from services in search of affordability. Additionally, there is an insufficient supply of subsidized housing and permanent supportive housing to meet demand.

Criminal History

A proportion of low income PLWHA in need of housing continue to struggle with barriers to accessing housing such as criminal history.

In August 2017, the City of Seattle passed Fair Chance Housing legislation to help prevent discrimination in housing against renters with a past criminal record. The new ordinance, implemented in 2018, prevents landlords from unfairly denying applicants housing based on criminal history. It also prohibits the use of advertising language that automatically or categorically excludes people with arrest records, conviction records, or criminal history.

Multiple Diagnosis

The Ryan White Part A Needs Assessment interviews with providers showed that the system is dealing with increases in marginalized and vulnerable people, including those with mental health, substance use disorder, and homelessness related issues. Many live chaotic lives and are unable to keep appointments. Use of crystal meth has had particular impact and created need for more mental/behavioral health support. The Max Clinic has changed the way that people can receive care by not requiring appointments and being low barrier, incentivized care.

The Lifelong housing program restructure and participation in Coordinated Entry for All (CEA) will continue to provide better access to appropriate housing resources. People with multiple diagnoses and high vulnerability scores are potentially referred to a priority pool through CEA for permanent supportive housing, and those with lower scores are matched with housing that has case management and other supportive services.

2. Describe any trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, and provide any other information important to the future provision of services to this population.

Eviction Moratoriums

Seattle and Washington State both first proclaimed an eviction moratorium in mid-March 2020. Washington State extended their eviction moratoriums through November 1, 2021, and Seattle extension ended on February 28, 2022. The full impact and need are unknown as a result of these eviction moratoriums expiring.

Racial Equity

HIV disproportionately affects Black (U.S. born and foreign-born) and Latino individuals in King County. We continued to work to increase racially equitable access to housing and services for low income PLWHA.

Crisis of Homelessness

The greater Seattle area has a housing crisis, and the King County 2020 point-in-time count estimated 11,751 people are experiencing homelessness and of those 3% are PLWHA. Homelessness disproportionately affects people of color and people identifying as LGBTQ. Among people with HIV, homelessness is associated with poor engagement with care and lower levels of viral suppression. Permanent, stable housing may improve HIV-related health outcomes. Public Health - Seattle & King County reported 14% of people newly diagnosed with HIV and at least 12% or 810 PLWHA were homeless or unstably housed in King County.

In 2020, the Snohomish County point-in-time count identified 1,132 persons experiencing homelessness including those living unsheltered and in emergency and transitional housing. There is not an estimate on the number of people with HIV also experiencing homelessness.

3. Identify any evaluations, studies, or other assessments of the HOPWA program that are available to the public.

- King County & Washington State HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Report 2021
- Seattle/King County Point-in-Time Count of Persons Experiencing Homelessness 2020
- Snohomish County Point-in-Time Count Press Release 2020

End of PART 1

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

1. Sources of Leveraging

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars. In Column [1], identify the type of leveraging. Some common sources of leveraged funds have been provided as a reference point. You may add Rows as necessary to report all sources of leveraged funds. Include Resident Rent payments paid by clients directly to private landlords. Do NOT include rents paid directly to a HOPWA program as this will be reported in the next section. In Column [2] report the amount of leveraged funds expended during the operating year. Use Column [3] to provide some detail about the type of leveraged contribution (e.g., case management services or clothing donations). In Column [4], check the appropriate box to indicate whether the leveraged contribution was a housing subsidy assistance or another form of support.

Note: Be sure to report on the number of households supported with these leveraged funds in Part 3, Chart 1, Column d.

A. Source of Leveraging Chart

[1] Source of Leveraging	[2] Amount of Leveraged Funds	[3] Type of Contribution	[4] Housing Subsidy Assistance or Other Support
Public Funding			
Ryan White-Housing Assistance	\$550,358.00	Housing Assistance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Ryan White-Other	\$2,483,277.00	Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Housing Choice Voucher Program	\$1,654,253.00	Housing Assistance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Low Income Housing Tax Credit	\$720,395.00	Housing Assistance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
HOME			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Continuum of Care	\$685,251.00	Housing Assistance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Emergency Solutions Grant			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public: City of Seattle & King County	\$1,779,810.00	Shelter and Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public: Washington State	\$1,196,293.00	Shelter and Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public: Housing Authorities	\$28,200.00	Housing Assistance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Private Funding			
Grants	\$2,307.00	Housing Assistance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
In-kind Resources	\$4,700.00	Store Vouchers	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Private: Contributions	\$32,652.00	Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Private:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Funding			
Grantee/Project Sponsor (Agency) Cash			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Resident Rent Payments by Client to Private Landlord	\$964,644.00		
TOTAL (Sum of all Rows)	\$10,102,140.00		

2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

In Section 2, Chart A, report the total amount of program income and resident rent payments directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. Include resident rent payments collected or paid directly to the HOPWA program. Do NOT include payments made directly from a client household to a private landlord.

Note: Please see report directions section for definition of program income. (Additional information on program income is available in the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide).

A. Total Amount Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Collected During the Operating Year

Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Collected		Total Amount of Program Income (for this operating year)
1.	Program income (e.g. repayments)	\$5,762.00
2.	Resident Rent Payments made directly to HOPWA Program	\$0.00
3.	Total Program Income and Resident Rent Payments (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	\$5,762.00

B. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended To Assist HOPWA Households

In Chart B, report on the total program income and resident rent payments (as reported above in Chart A) expended during the operating year. Use Row 1 to report Program Income and Resident Rent Payments expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance Programs (i.e., TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Master Leased Units, and Facility-Based Housing). Use Row 2 to report on the Program Income and Resident Rent Payment expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct Housing Costs.

Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on HOPWA programs		Total Amount of Program Income Expended (for this operating year)
1.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance costs	\$5,762.00
2.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct housing costs	\$0.00
3.	Total Program Income Expended (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	\$5,762.00

End of PART 2

PART 3: Accomplishment Data Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.

1. HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
		HOPWA Assistance		Leveraged Households		HOPWA Funds	
		a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.
		Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	HOPWA Budget	HOPWA Actual
HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	191	199			\$2,290,938.76	\$2,019,001.18
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)	0	0			\$0.00	\$0.00
2b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)	0	0			\$0.00	\$0.00
3a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)	0	0			\$0.00	\$0.00
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)	0	0			\$0.00	\$0.00
4.	Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance	80	93			\$301,152.00	\$310,221.65
5.	Permanent Housing Placement Services	83	74			\$78,075.00	\$61,535.43
6.	Adjustments for duplication (subtract)	0	22				
7.	Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (Columns a – d equal the sum of Rows 1-5 minus Row 6; Columns e and f equal the sum of Rows 1-5)	354	344			\$2,670,165.76	\$2,390,758.26
Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing)		[1] Output: Housing Units				[2] Output: Funding	
8.	Facility-based units; Capital Development Projects not yet opened (Housing Units)	0	0			\$0.00	\$0.00
9.	Stewardship Units subject to 3- or 10- year use agreements	14	14				
10.	Total Housing Developed (Sum of Rows 8 & 9)	14	14			\$0.00	\$0.00
Supportive Services		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
11a.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors that also delivered HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	100	46			\$67,237.00	\$37,429.68
11b.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors that only provided supportive services.	0	0			\$0.00	\$0.00
12.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)	0	0				
13.	Total Supportive Services (Columns a – d equals the sum of Rows 11 a & b minus Row 12; Columns e and f equal the sum of Rows 11a & 11b)	100	46			\$67,237.00	\$37,429.68
Housing Information Services		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
14.	Housing Information Services	0	0			\$0.00	\$0.00
15.	Total Housing Information Services	0	0			\$0.00	\$0.00

Grant Administration and Other Activities		[1] Output: Households		[2] Output: Funding	
16.	Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resources			\$0.00	\$0.00
17.	Technical Assistance (if approved in grant agreement)			\$0.00	\$0.00
18.	Grantee Administration (maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant)			\$78,026.00	\$65,321.74
19.	Project Sponsor Administration (maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded)			\$174,233.00	\$152,388.86
20.	Total Grant Administration and Other Activities (Sum of Rows 16 – 19)			\$252,259.00	\$217,710.60
Total Expended				[2] Outputs: HOPWA Funds Expended	
				Budget	Actual
21.	Total Expenditures for operating year (Sum of Rows 7, 10, 13, 15, and 20)			\$2,989,661.76	\$2,645,898.54

2. Listing of Supportive Services

Report on the households served and use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. Do NOT report on supportive services leveraged with non-HOPWA funds.

Data check: Total unduplicated households and expenditures reported in Row 17 equal totals reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 13.

Supportive Services	[1] Output: Number of Households	[2] Output: Amount of HOPWA Funds Expended
1. Adult day care and personal assistance		
2. Alcohol and drug abuse services		
3. Case management		
4. Child care and other child services		
5. Education		
6. Employment assistance and training	46	\$37,429.68
7. Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR §574.310		
8. Legal services		
9. Life skills management (outside of case management)		
10. Meals/nutritional services		
11. Mental health services		
12. Outreach		
13. Transportation		
14. Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify:		
15. Sub-Total Households receiving Supportive Services (Sum of Rows 1-14)	46	
16. Adjustment for Duplication (subtract)	0	
17. TOTAL Unduplicated Households receiving Supportive Services (Column [1] equals Row 15 minus Row 16; Column [2] equals sum of Rows 1-14)	46	\$37,429.68

3. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU) Summary

In Row a, enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended on Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) Assistance. In Row b, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with mortgage costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row c, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both mortgage and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row d, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with rental costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row e, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with utility costs only (not including rent or mortgage costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In row g, report the amount of STRMU funds expended to support direct program costs such as program operation staff.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Row a, column [1] and the total amount of HOPWA funds reported as expended in Row a, column [2] equals the household and expenditure total reported for STRMU in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Columns b and f, respectively.

Data Check: The total number of households reported in Column [1], Rows b, c, d, e, and f equal the total number of STRMU households reported in Column [1], Row a. The total amount reported as expended in Column [2], Rows b, c, d, e, f, and g, equal the total amount of STRMU expenditures reported in Column [2], Row a.

Housing Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU)		[1] Output: Number of Households Served	[2] Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended on STRMU during Operating Year
a.	Total Short-term mortgage, rent and/or utility (STRMU) assistance	93	\$310,221.65
b.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage costs ONLY.	2	\$9,976.33
c.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage and utility costs.	0	\$0.00
d.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental costs ONLY.	73	\$218,910.58
e.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental and utility costs.	13	\$69,313.16
f.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with utility costs ONLY.	5	\$3,513.42
g.	Direct program delivery costs (e.g., program operations staff time)		\$8,506.16

End of PART 3

Part 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

In Column [1], report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, by type. In Column [2], enter the number of households that continued to access each type of housing subsidy assistance into next operating year. In Column [3], report the housing status of all households that exited the program.
Data Check: The sum of Columns [2] (Number of Households Continuing) and [3] (Exited Households) equals the total reported in Column[1].
Note: Refer to the housing stability codes that appear in Part 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes.

Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)

A. Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting		[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	199	177	1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	2	Unstable Arrangements
			2 Temporary Housing	0	
			3 Private Housing	7	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
			4 Other HOPWA	1	
			5 Other Subsidy	6	
			6 Institution	1	
			7 Jail/Prison	0	Unstable Arrangements
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	1	
			9 Death	4	Life Event
Permanent Supportive Housing Facilities/ Units			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets		Unstable Arrangements
			2 Temporary Housing		Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
			3 Private Housing		Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
			4 Other HOPWA		
			5 Other Subsidy		
			6 Institution		
			7 Jail/Prison		Unstable Arrangements
			8 Disconnected/Unknown		
			9 Death		Life Event

B. Transitional Housing Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting		[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
Transitional/ Short-Term Housing Facilities/ Units			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets		Unstable Arrangements
			2 Temporary Housing		Temporarily Stable with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
			3 Private Housing		Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
			4 Other HOPWA		
			5 Other Subsidy		
			6 Institution		
			7 Jail/Prison		Unstable Arrangements
			8 Disconnected/Unknown		

			9 Death		Life Event
B1: Total number of households receiving transitional/short-term housing assistance whose tenure exceeded 24 months					

Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Subsidy Assistance)

Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column [1].
 In Column [2], identify the outcomes of the households reported in Column [1] either at the time that they were known to have left the STRMU program or through the project sponsor's best assessment for stability at the end of the operating year.
 Information in Column [3] provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required.
 At the bottom of the chart:

- In Row 1a, report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the prior operating year.
- In Row 1b, report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the two prior operating years.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Column [1] equals the total reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Column b.

Data Check: The sum of Column [2] should equal the number of households reported in Column [1].

Assessment of Households that Received STRMU Assistance

[1] Output: Total number of households	[2] Assessment of Housing Status		[3] HOPWA Client Outcomes
93	Maintain Private Housing without subsidy (e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support)	74	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
	Other Private Housing without subsidy (e.g. client switched housing units and is now stable, not likely to seek additional support)	0	
	Other HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	0	
	Other Housing Subsidy (PH)	2	
	Institution (e.g. residential and long-term care)	0	
	Likely that additional STRMU is needed to maintain current housing arrangements	14	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
	Transitional Facilities/Short-term (e.g. temporary or transitional arrangement)	1	
	Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangement (e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days)	1	
	Emergency Shelter/street	0	Unstable Arrangements
	Jail/Prison	0	
	Disconnected	0	
Death	1	Life Event	
1a. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the prior operating year (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in two consecutive operating years).			31
1b. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the two prior operating years (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in three consecutive operating years).			9

Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support

1a. Total Number of Households

Line [1]: For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based, PHP and Master Leasing) and HOPWA funded case management services. Use Row c to adjust for duplication among the service categories and Row d to provide an unduplicated household total.

Line [2]: For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA funded case management services.

Note: These numbers will help you to determine which clients to report Access to Care and Support Outcomes for and will be used by HUD as a basis for analyzing the percentage of households who demonstrated or maintained connections to care and support as identified in Chart 1b below.

Total Number of Households	
1. For Project Sponsors that provided HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded services:	
a. Housing Subsidy Assistance (duplicated)-TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility-Based Housing, and Master Leasing	366
b. Case Management	0
c. Adjustment for duplication (subtraction)	22
d. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows a and b minus Row c)	344
2. For Project Sponsors did NOT provide HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded service:	
a. HOPWA Case Management	
b. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors without Housing Subsidy Assistance	

1b. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a, Row 1d above, report the number of households that demonstrated access or maintained connections to care and support within the operating year.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a, Row 2b, report the number of households that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the operating year.

Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts below.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	Outcome Indicator
1. Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on-going housing	344		Support for Stable Housing
2. Had contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan (may include leveraged services such as Ryan White Medical Case Management)	331		Access to Support
3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan	317		Access to Health Care
4. Accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance	334		Access to Health Care
5. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income	236		Sources of Income

Chart 1b, Line 4: Sources of Medical Insurance and Assistance include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or use local program name MEDICARE Health Insurance Program, or use local program name 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Veterans Affairs Medical Services AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), or use local program name 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental Assistance
--	--	--

Chart 1b, Row 5: Sources of Income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Earned Income • Veteran’s Pension • Unemployment Insurance • Pension from Former Job • Supplemental Security Income (SSI) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child Support • Social Security Disability Income (SSDI) • Alimony or other Spousal Support • Veteran’s Disability Payment • Retirement Income from Social Security • Worker’s Compensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Assistance (GA), or use local program name • Private Disability Insurance • Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) • Other Income Sources
---	--	--

1c. Households that Obtained Employment

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a, Row 1d above, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a, Row 2b, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Note: This includes jobs created by this project sponsor or obtained outside this agency.

Note: Do not include jobs that resulted from leveraged job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	18	

End of PART 4

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes (optional)

1. This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4 and to help Grantees determine overall program performance. Completion of this worksheet is optional.

Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance	Stable Housing (# of households remaining in program plus 3+4+5+6)	Temporary Housing (2)	Unstable Arrangements (1+7+8)	Life Event (9)
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	192	0	3	4
Permanent Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units	0	0	0	0
Transitional/Short-Term Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units	0	0	0	0
Total Permanent HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	192	0	3	4

Reduced Risk of Homelessness: Short-Term Assistance	Stable/Permanent Housing	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	Unstable Arrangements	Life Events
Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU)	76	16	0	1
Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	268	16	3	5

Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes
Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation

- 3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self-sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed.
- 4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance.
- 5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).
- 6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

Unstable Arrangements

- 1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).
- 7 = Jail /prison.
- 8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

Tenant-based Rental Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Other Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Tenure Assessment. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

STRMU Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution. Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements, with additional STRMU assistance; Transitional Facilities/Short-term; and Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangements. Unstable Situation is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

End of PART 5

PART 6: Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY)

The Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used, they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

1. General information

HUD Grant Number(s) WAH12F001and WAH13F001	Operating Year for this report From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy) <input type="checkbox"/> Final Yr <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 1; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 2; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 3; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 4; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 5; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 6; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yr 7; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 8; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 9; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 10
Grantee Name City of Seattle, Human Services Department	Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy) 12/09/15

2. Number of Units and Non-HOPWA Expenditures

Facility Name: Interbay Place	Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds	Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year
Total Stewardship Units (subject to 3- or 10- year use periods)	6	\$124,999.00

3. Details of Project Site

Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project	Interbay Place
Site Information: Project Zip Code(s)	98119
Site Information: Congressional District(s)	WA-007
Is the address of the project site confidential?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not list <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not confidential; information can be made available to the public
If the site is not confidential: Please provide the contact information, phone, email address/location, if business address is different from facility address	Downtown Emergency Service Center Administrative Office 515 3rd Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104 206-464-1570; info@desc.org

PART 6: Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY)

The Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used, they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

1. General information

HUD Grant Number(s) WAH12F001	Operating Year for this report From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy) <input type="checkbox"/> Final Yr <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 1; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 2; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 3; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 4; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 5; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 6; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 7; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yr 8; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 9; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 10
Grantee Name City of Seattle, Human Services Department	Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy) 05/05/14

2. Number of Units and Non-HOPWA Expenditures

Facility Name: Interbay Place	Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds	Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year
Total Stewardship Units (subject to 3- or 10- year use periods)	8	\$133,709.00

3. Details of Project Site

Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project	Pacific Hotel
Site Information: Project Zip Code(s)	98104
Site Information: Congressional District(s)	WA-007
Is the address of the project site confidential?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not list <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not confidential; information can be made available to the public
If the site is not confidential: Please provide the contact information, phone, email address/location, if business address is different from facility address	Plymouth Housing Group Administrative Office 2113 3rd Avenue, Seattle, WA 98121 (206) 374-9409

End of PART 6

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities
A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries, and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based Units, Permanent Housing Placement and Master Leased Units ONLY)

Note: Reporting for this section should include ONLY those individuals, beneficiaries, or households that received and/or resided in a household that received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 7, Column b. (e.g., do not include households that received HOPWA supportive services ONLY).

Section 1. HOPWA-Eligible Individuals Who Received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

a. Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Living with HIV/AIDS

In Chart a., provide the total number of eligible (and unduplicated) low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year. This total should include only the individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance, NOT all HIV positive individuals in the household.

Individuals Served with Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total
Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.	344

Chart b. Prior Living Situation

In Chart b, report the prior living situations for all Eligible Individuals reported in Chart a. In Row 1, report the total number of individuals who continued to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance from the prior operating year into this operating year. In Rows 2 through 17, indicate the prior living arrangements for all new HOPWA housing subsidy assistance recipients during the operating year.

Data Check: The total number of eligible individuals served in Row 18 equals the total number of individuals served through housing subsidy assistance reported in Chart a above.

Category	Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Receiving Housing Subsidy Assistance
1. Continuing to receive HOPWA support from the prior operating year	213
New Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance support during Operating Year	
2. Place not meant for human habitation (such as a vehicle, abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport, or outside)	5
3. Emergency shelter (including hotel, motel, or campground paid for with emergency shelter voucher)	9
4. Transitional housing for homeless persons	10
5. Total number of new Eligible Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance with a Prior Living Situation that meets HUD definition of homelessness (Sum of Rows 2 – 4)	24
6. Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as Shelter Plus Care, SHP, or SRO Mod Rehab)	0
7. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0
8. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0
9. Hospital (non-psychiatric facility)	1
10. Foster care home or foster care group home	0
11. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0
12. Rented room, apartment, or house	89
13. House you own	0
14. Staying or living in someone else's (family and friends) room, apartment, or house	7
15. Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0
16. Other	7
17. Don't Know or Refused	3
18. TOTAL Number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals (sum of Rows 1 and 5-17)	344

c. Homeless Individual Summary

In Chart c, indicate the number of eligible individuals reported in Chart b, Row 5 as homeless who also are homeless Veterans and/or meet the definition for Chronically Homeless (See Definition section of CAPER). The totals in Chart c do not need to equal the total in Chart b, Row 5.

Category	Number of Homeless Veteran(s)	Number of Chronically Homeless
HOPWA eligible individuals served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	0	10

Section 2. Beneficiaries

In Chart a, report the total number of HOPWA eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS who received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (as reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a), and all associated members of their household who benefitted from receiving HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (resided with HOPWA eligible individuals).

Note: See definition of HOPWA Eligible Individual

Note: See definition of Transgender.

Note: See definition of Beneficiaries.

Data Check: The sum of each of the Charts b & c on the following two pages equals the total number of beneficiaries served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as determined in Chart a, Row 4 below.

a. Total Number of Beneficiaries Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

Individuals and Families Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total Number
1. Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified the household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (equals the number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a)	344
2. Number of ALL other persons diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individuals identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	2
3. Number of ALL other persons NOT diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individual identified in Row 1 and who benefited from the HOPWA housing subsidy	84
4. TOTAL number of ALL beneficiaries served with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1, 2, & 3)	430

b. Age and Gender

In Chart b, indicate the Age and Gender of all beneficiaries as reported in Chart a directly above. Report the Age and Gender of all HOPWA Eligible Individuals (those reported in Chart a, Row 1) using Rows 1-5 below and the Age and Gender of all other beneficiaries (those reported in Chart a, Rows 2 and 3) using Rows 6-10 below. The number of individuals reported in Row 11, Column E, equals the total number of beneficiaries reported in Part 7, Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

HOPWA Eligible Individuals (Chart a, Row 1)						
		A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
1.	Under 18	0	0	0	0	0
2.	18 to 30 years	14	8	1	0	23
3.	31 to 50 years	117	37	2	0	156
4.	51 years and Older	130	31	4	0	165
5.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 1-4)	261	76	7	0	344
All Other Beneficiaries (Chart a, Rows 2 and 3)						
		A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
6.	Under 18	20	29	0	0	49
7.	18 to 30 years	7	5	0	0	12
8.	31 to 50 years	10	5	0	0	15
9.	51 years and Older	1	9	0	0	10
10.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 6-9)	38	48	0	0	86
Total Beneficiaries (Chart a, Row 4)						
11.	TOTAL (Sum of Rows 5 & 10)	299	124	7	0	430

c. Race and Ethnicity*

In Chart c, indicate the Race and Ethnicity of all beneficiaries receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Section 2, Chart a, Row 4. Report the **race** of all HOPWA eligible individuals in Column [A]. Report the **ethnicity** of all HOPWA eligible individuals in column [B]. Report the **race** of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [C]. Report the **ethnicity** of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [D]. The summed total of columns [A] and [C] equals the total number of beneficiaries reported above in Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

Category		HOPWA Eligible Individuals		All Other Beneficiaries	
		[A] Race [all individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a, Row 1]	[B] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	[C] Race [total of individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a, Rows 2 & 3]	[D] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]
1.	American Indian/Alaskan Native	19	2	2	0
2.	Asian	5	0	2	0
3.	Black/African American	122	2	45	0
4.	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0	0	0
5.	White	178	55	29	15
6.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	5	1	3	0
7.	Asian & White	0	0	0	0
8.	Black/African American & White	8	2	5	0
9.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American	0	0	0	0
10.	Other Multi-Racial	6	0	0	0
11.	Column Totals (Sum of Rows 1-10)	344	62	86	15

Data Check: Sum of Row 11 Column A and Row 11 Column C equals the total number HOPWA Beneficiaries reported in Part 3A, Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

*Reference (data requested consistent with Form HUD-27061 Race and Ethnic Data Reporting Form)

Section 3. Households

Household Area Median Income

Report the income(s) for all households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.

Data Check: The total number of households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance should equal Part 3C, Row 7, Column b and Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a. (Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance).

Note: Refer to <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/il.html> for information on area median income in your community.

Percentage of Area Median Income		Households Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	0-30% of area median income (extremely low)	300
2.	31-50% of area median income (very low)	40
3.	51-80% of area median income (low)	4
4.	Total (Sum of Rows 1-3)	344

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities
B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with HOPWA funds. If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor should complete Part 6: Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a, Project Site Information, and 2b, Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

1. Project Sponsor Agency Name (Required)

N/A

2. Capital Development

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a *group* and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

Type of Development this operating year	HOPWA Funds Expended this operating year (if applicable)	Non-HOPWA funds Expended (if applicable)	Name of Facility:
<input type="checkbox"/> New construction	\$	\$	Type of Facility [Check only one box.] <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent housing <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing <input type="checkbox"/> Supportive services only facility
<input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitation	\$	\$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition	\$	\$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Operating	\$	\$	
a.	Purchase/lease of property:		Date (mm/dd/yy):
b.	Rehabilitation/Construction Dates:		Date started: Date Completed:
c.	Operation dates:		Date residents began to occupy: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet occupied
d.	Date supportive services began:		Date started: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet providing services
e.	Number of units in the facility:		HOPWA-funded units = Total Units =
f.	Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year
g.	What is the address of the facility (if different from business address)?		
h.	Is the address of the project site confidential?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not publish list <input type="checkbox"/> No, can be made available to the public

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a, please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy-Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible – Mobility Units - Sensory Units
Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired with or without rehab				
Rental units rehabbed				
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)				

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor

Charts 3a, 3b, and 4 are required for each facility. In Charts 3a and 3b, indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a. Check one only

- Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
- Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units:

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor	Total Number of Units in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units					
	SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm	5+bdrm
a. Single room occupancy dwelling						
b. Community residence						
c. Project-based rental assistance units or leased units						
d. Other housing facility <i>Specify:</i>						

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing	Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor
a. Leasing Costs		
b. Operating Costs		
c. Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units		
d. Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) <i>Specify:</i>		
e. Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)		
f. TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a through d minus Row e)		



HUD ESG CAPER

Grant: ESG: Seattle - WA - Report Type: CAPER

Report Date Range

1/1/2021 to 12/31/2021

Contact Information

First Name Debra
 Middle Name
 Last Name Rhinehart
 Suffix
 Title FMGU Interim Manager
 Street Address 1 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5800
 Street Address 2 PO Box 34215
 City Seattle
 State Washington
 ZIP Code 98124-4215
 E-mail Address debra.rhinehart@seattle.gov
 Phone Number (206)684-0574
 Extension
 Fax Number

Project types carried out during the program year

Components	Projects	Total Persons Reported	Total Households Reported
Emergency Shelter	2	488	316
Day Shelter	1	171	171
Transitional Housing	0	0	0
Total Emergency Shelter Component	3	659	487
Total Street Outreach	0	0	0
Total PH - Rapid Re-Housing	1	147	50
Total Homelessness Prevention	0	0	0

Grant Information

Emergency Shelter Rehab/Conversion

Did you create additional shelter beds/units through an ESG-funded rehab project No
 Did you create additional shelter beds/units through an ESG-funded conversion project No

Data Participation Information

Are there any funded projects, except HMIS or Admin, which are not listed on the Project Links and Uploads form? This includes projects in the HMIS and from VSP No
 How many of the VSP projects have a HUD approved plan and are using a template rather than a comparable database report uploaded? 0

Project Outcomes

Project outcomes are required for all CAPERS where the program year start date is 1-1-2021 or later. This form replaces the narrative in CR-70 of the eCon Planning Suite.

From the Action Plan that covered ESG for this reporting period copy and paste or retype the information in Question 5 on screen AP-90: "Describe performance standards for evaluating ESG."

The City of Seattle worked in partnership with the CoC (All Home), King County, and United Way of King County to develop shared performance standards used in all contracts. These standards were included in the City's 2017 RFP. Examples of performance requirements include Exit Rate to Permanent Housing, Length of Stay (days), Return Rates to Homelessness, and Entries from Literal Homelessness.

The City's data team provides quarterly performance progress reports and technical assistance as needed and works closely with the data team at King County to evaluate performance and review trends. The City is also in sync with other local funders to develop policies for HMIS. (King County is the operator of HMIS.)

Based on the information from the Action Plan response previously provided to HUD:

1. Briefly describe how you met the performance standards identified in A-90 this program year. If they are not measurable as written type in N/A as the answer.

All four programs met at least one of the system-wide performance standards. The minimum performance standards and outcomes per project type are as follows:

Family Emergency Shelter -
Utilization Rate:
85% standard and 69.8% outcome
Exit Rate to Permanent Housing :
65% standard & 52% outcome
Length of Stay (days):
90 days standard & 158 days outcome
Return Rates to Homelessness:
10% standard & 0% outcome
Entries from Literal Homelessness:
90% standard & 100% outcome

Single Adult Emergency Shelter -
Utilization Rate:
85% standard and 99% outcome
Exit Rate to Permanent Housing :
40% standard & 31% outcome
Length of Stay (days):
90 days standard & 178 days outcome
Return Rates to Homelessness:
10% standard & 11% outcome
Entries from Literal Homelessness:
90% standard & 85% outcome

Single Adult Day Shelter -
Exit Rate to Permanent Housing :
40% standard & 21% outcome
Length of Stay (days):
90 days standard & 190 days outcome
Return Rates to Homelessness:
10% standard & 14% outcome
Entries from Literal Homelessness:
90% standard & 98% outcome

Rapid Rehousing -
Exit Rate to Permanent Housing
80% standard and 95% outcome
Length of Stay (days)
180 days standard and 250 days outcome
Return Rates to Homelessness
5% standard and 0% outcome
Entries from Literal Homelessness
90% standard and 98% outcome

2. Briefly describe what you did not meet and why. If they are not measurable as written type in N/A as the answer.

The system-wide performance standards were established for the first time in 2017 and haven't been analysis and revised, which has the most variance between the standards and outcomes for shelter programs in the CoC.

The other main reasons for not meeting all of the outcomes was the impact of COVID-19, staffing, unit turnover, household housing barriers, and lack of affordable housing.

OR

3. If your standards were not written as measurable, provide a sample of what you will change them to in the future? If they were measurable and you answered above type in N/A as the answer.

N/A

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

5/4/22, 6:29 AM

Sage: Reports: HUD ESG CAPER

Financial Information

ESG Information from IDIS

As of 4/29/2022

FY	Grant Number	Current Authorized Amount	Funds Committed By Recipient	Funds Drawn	Balance Remaining	Obligation Date	Expenditure Deadline
2021	E21MCS30005	\$817,674.00	\$765,006.00	\$46,623.67	\$771,050.33	8/6/2021	8/6/2023
2020	E20MCS30005	\$820,644.00	\$820,644.00	\$820,644.00	\$0	7/8/2020	7/8/2022
2019	E19MCS30005	\$805,090.00	\$805,090.00	\$765,071.65	\$40,018.35	9/11/2019	9/11/2021
2018	E18MCS30005	\$796,553.00	\$796,553.00	\$796,553.00	\$0	10/3/2018	10/3/2020
2017	E17MCS30005	\$808,890.00	\$808,890.00	\$808,890.00	\$0	9/12/2017	9/12/2019
2016	E16MCS30005	\$819,850.00	\$819,850.00	\$819,850.00	\$0	7/22/2016	7/22/2018
2015	E15MCS30005	\$833,959.00	\$833,959.00	\$833,959.00	\$0	7/9/2015	7/9/2017
Total		\$7,159,210.00	\$7,106,542.00	\$6,348,141.32	\$811,068.68		

Expenditures	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
	FY2021 Annual ESG Funds for			FY2018 Annual ESG Funds for			
Homelessness Prevention		Non-COVID		Non-COVID	COVID		
Rental Assistance							
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance							
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Services							
Hazard Pay (unique activity)							
Landlord Incentives (unique activity)							
Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)							
Training (unique activity)							
Homeless Prevention Expenses		0.00		0.00	0.00		
	FY2021 Annual ESG Funds for			FY2018 Annual ESG Funds for			
Rapid Re-Housing		Non-COVID		Non-COVID	COVID		
Rental Assistance		59,752.76					
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance							
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Services		39,917.64		18,049.36			
Hazard Pay (unique activity)							
Landlord Incentives (unique activity)							
Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)							
Training (unique activity)							
RRH Expenses		99,670.40		18,049.36	0.00		
	FY2021 Annual ESG Funds for			FY2018 Annual ESG Funds for			
Emergency Shelter		Non-COVID		Non-COVID	COVID		
Essential Services							
Operations		502,628.00					
Renovation							
Major Rehab							
Conversion							
Hazard Pay (unique activity)							
Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)							
Training (unique activity)							
Emergency Shelter Expenses		502,628.00		0.00	0.00		
	FY2021 Annual ESG Funds for			FY2018 Annual ESG Funds for			
Temporary Emergency Shelter		Non-COVID		Non-COVID	COVID		
Essential Services							
Operations							
Leasing existing real property or temporary structures							
Acquisition							
Renovation							
Hazard Pay (unique activity)							
Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)							
Training (unique activity)							
Other Shelter Costs							
Temporary Emergency Shelter Expenses					0.00		
	FY2021 Annual ESG Funds for			FY2018 Annual ESG Funds for			
Street Outreach		Non-COVID		Non-COVID	COVID		
Essential Services							
Hazard Pay (unique activity)							
Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)							
Training (unique activity)							
Handwashing Stations/Portable Bathrooms (unique activity)							
Street Outreach Expenses		0.00		0.00	0.00		
	FY2021 Annual ESG Funds for			FY2018 Annual ESG Funds for			
Other ESG Expenditures		Non-COVID		Non-COVID	COVID		
Cell Phones - for persons in CoC/YHDP funded projects (unique activity)							

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

5/4/22, 6:29 AM

Sage: Reports: HUD ESG CAPER

Coordinated Entry COVID Enhancements *(unique activity)*
 Training *(unique activity)*
 Vaccine Incentives *(unique activity)*
 HMIS
 Administration
 Other Expenses

	FY2021 Annual ESG Funds for		FY2018 Annual ESG Funds for	
	Non-COVID		Non-COVID	COVID
Administration	0.00		0.00	0.00
Other Expenses	0.00			
Total Expenditures	602,298.40		18,049.36	0.00
Match	817,674.00			
Total ESG expenditures plus match	1,419,972.40		18,049.36	
Total expenditures plus match for all years				1,438,021.76

2021 CAPER AFH

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

CP Goal #	AFH/DF Goal Name	Consolidated Plan Strategic Goal Indicator	AFH Activity Name	Dept. or Agency	Strategic Document for Activity	Metric source location	Metric (5-year period)	Metric Indicator	Comments	Est. Date Completed
1	CPD: Increase Homeless Services		Increase <u>apprehending</u> services and supports to assist homeless individuals and families to stabilize as rapidly as possible.	HSD	Con Plan	HMS			See Table 1 of 2020 CAPER for data	2020
2	CPD: Increase Small Business Assistance		Increase access to financial and technical assistance to small businesses, particularly microenterprises that do not have equitable access to conventional financing and	OED	Con Plan	IDS			See Table 1 of 2020 CAPER for data	2020
3	CPD: Affordable Commercial Opportunities		Develop financing that incentivizes creation of affordable commercial space (including, but not limited to, tenant improvement financing)	OED	Con Plan	IDS			See Table 1 of 2020 CAPER for data	2020
4	CPD: Access to Nature and Physical Activities		Enhance equitable access to nature and physical activity opportunities for people in protected classes throughout the City.	Parks	Con Plan	IDS		0 Park upgrades for ADA access	6 of the 9 2018 PUP projects included ADA improvements	Completed
5	CPD: Increase Disaster Readiness		Increase the City's readiness for disaster prevention, recovery, and resiliency. Ensure needs of vulnerable individuals and families in protected classes are integrated disaster plans and activities.	HSD	Con Plan	IDS		1 - 1 - Integrate into Con Plan	Inclusion of Disaster readiness language in 2018-2022 Con Plan	Completed
6	Engage communities in civ participation	5 Other (1 for each activity by SH&A & DDM)	Host community clinics	DDM				10 Clinics hosted	DDM hosts community clinics via the People's Academy for Community Engagement (PACE) program. In addition to the three PACE cohorts which graduated more than 50 community members, PACE hosted six Pop-Up workshops: Capitol Hill Housing Resource Fair, Seattle Teen Summit (in partnership with Seattle Youth Commission), Transportation Equity in Duwamish, Seattle Mayor's Commission, Seattle Youth Commission. In addition, two DDM Community Liaisons (CL) visited PACE clinics to support their liaison work, and one completed the full program.	Completed in 2017 & 2018
			Increase active Community Liaisons	DDM				4 Liaisons added and 40 communities served	Four new communities have been added to the Community Liaison Roster and include Lao, Tain, Vietnamese, and Japanese. The total number of communities served is 40. In 2018, CLs held 21 Community Conversations with an estimated attendance of 3,735.	Completed in 2017 & 2018
			Staff and engage with resident advisory committees	SH&A	AFH Council Website	CSO Reports		1. 10 resident groups -> 2. LPHM Joint Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) + SH&A Joint Policy Advisory Committee quarterly meetings -> 3. Resident Advisory Council monthly meetings -> 4. 36 Duly elected resident councils - series by building	28 resident councils	SH&A has 28 resident councils which advise staff on policy and programming decisions and advocate on behalf of residents
			Convene focus groups about MHA	CPD			107 People engaged	Completed in 2017 (MAY)	2017	

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

7	Equitable outreach efforts to support HALA	5 Other (for each activity by OPEL/DOR)	Produce "Weekly Work" videos and translate documents to 7 top languages	OPEL			2-2 Consider it Tools	Created two Consider it tools for input on SHM implementation and Neighborhood Plan policy changes (NW)	Completed in 2017
				OPEL			4 Weekly Work Videos made	http://www.seattle.gov/hala/videos	Completed in 2018
				OPEL			7 Languages translated to	http://www.seattle.gov/hala/videos	Completed in 2017
			Attend "lunch and learns" that included underrepresented populations	DDN			589 Meetings attended	Representatives of DDN, including Community Engagement Coordinators, attended 589 community City outreach meetings/events, including "lunch and learns" in 2018.	Ongoing
8	Provide housing/support services to seniors	6 (for each OH, SMA, HSD/ADS activity)	Adapt policies prioritizing seniors and people with disabilities for the Housing Levy	OH	Levy A&F Plan/Housing Funding Policies	N/A	1 Policies enacted	Housing Levy Administrative and Financial Plan and Housing Funding Policies for program years 2017-2024 and 2024-2026, adopted by Ordinance 123308 (2017) and amended by Ordinances 125571 (2018) and 133531 (2019). Seniors and people with disabilities identified as a part of the priority resident populations for Rental Housing Program funding	2017 and ongoing
			SHR Aging in Place Initiative: Explore how to leverage ACS and ACH	SHR	AFH	Policy Dept. Reports	2 FCS pilot to test feasibility of self-sustaining supported housing program for high needs seniors and younger disabled Medicaid recipients	FCS pilot proved feasibility. FCS program continues with plan to expand	Ongoing
			Continue to offer and expand community services, socialization, and exercise programs	SHR	AFH	ICD Reports	23 buildings receiving services	All 23 buildings in SHR's SHRP portfolio are receiving services	Ongoing
			Continue providing senior-specific units, and vouchers to service providers serving seniors	SHR	AFH	EDW	23 buildings and 1000+ units in SHRP		Ongoing
			Implement data sharing agreement between Seattle/King County Public Health, SHR, and King County Housing Authority	SHR	AFH	AFH Budget Report	1 Agreement signed 2017	Completed	Completed in 2017
			Generate housing action plan to assess senior needs, as part of the City's Positive Aging Initiative	HSD/ADS	Age Friendly Seattle Action Plan	N/A	1 Plan created	After obtaining input from more than 1,000 persons, and holding focus groups with a broad cross-section of community stakeholders, Age Friendly Seattle completed a work plan that includes 86 actions to implement 28 goals or initiatives	Completed in 2018

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

9	Create supportive housing and reduce barriers for homeless	4 (for each activity by HSD/HSD, OH, SHA)	Implement coordinated entry systems for homeless people including those with disabilities	HSD/HGI			1 CEA policy created	CEA Policy group is working on a complete redesign of the CEA vulnerability assessment process. Disability status will be a significant factor in prioritizing for housing placement. The new process was in the research and planning phase for all of 2020 and will continue through 2021 with new tools and processes planned to be rolled out by the end of 2021.	Completed in 2021	
			Expand stock of Permanent Supportive housing through capital investment	OH	Levy A&F Plan/Housing Funding Policies	WFOB		\$ 705 units funded	2017 – DESS 22nd Avenue: 85 units; Plymoueth 501 Rainier: 102 units; Eng House: 7 units; Patricia S: 52 units // 2018 – Chief Seattle Club: 747th Housing: 60 units; Plymoueth 4 Stw Housing: 91 units; Transitional Resource Valley Street Housing: 64 units // 2019 – Plymoueth Madison/Brydson: 112 units; DESS Holborn Place 8: 92 units; Plymoueth 12th & Spruce: 105 units	Ongoing
			Adapt policies prioritizing homeless families, individuals, and youth for Housing Levy	OH	Levy A&F Plan/Housing Funding Policies	N/A		1 Policies enacted	Housing Levy Administrative and Financial Plan and Housing Funding Policies for program years 2017-2020 and 2020-2023, adopted by Ordinance 125308 (2017) and amended by Ordinances 125375 (2018) and 125351 (2018). Homeless families, adults, and youth and young adults, including chronically homeless individuals with disabling conditions, identified as a one of the priority resident populations for Rental Housing Program funding	2017 and ongoing
			SHA will dedicate additional Housing Choice Vouchers	SHA	AH	EDW		300 Homeless Levy Vouchers committed and 10,425 homeless-preference vouchers authorized		Ongoing
10	Services for people with different abilities	4 (for each activity by HSD/HSD, OH, SHA)	SHA to continue the conversion and construction of UFAS units	SHA	AH	Asset MGMT reports		18 units created	Ongoing	
			SHA to hire a second ADA Coordinator	SHA	AH, MTM, Budget reports	HR		1 Coordinators hired	Completed 2018	
			Ensure all high-rise buildings housing more than 2,000 people with disabilities have access to case managers	SHA & HSD	AH, MTM, Budget reports	OSD Reports		Case MGT hours are available for resident walk-in at each of the 50 buildings. Property MGT staff make referrals to case in each of the 50 buildings	Ongoing	
			Adapt policies prioritizing seniors and people with disabilities for the Housing Levy	OH	Levy A&F Plan/Housing Funding Policies	N/A		1 Policies enacted	Housing Levy Administrative and Financial Plan and Housing Funding Policies for program years 2017-2020 and 2020-2023, adopted by Ordinance 125308 (2017) and amended by Ordinances 125375 (2018) and 125351 (2018). Seniors and people with disabilities identified as a one of the priority resident populations for Rental Housing Program funding	2017 and ongoing

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

			Fund production of affordable projects with family-oriented housing units	OH	Levy AEP Plan/Housing Funding Policies	WSD and OH Annual Investment Reports	3,000 housing units funded	2017: 538 rental; 25 homeownership 2018: 837 rental; 68 homeownership 2019: 1,534 rental; 26 homeownership	2017 RENTAL – 660 Roosevelt TOD: 245 units; Jubilee Junction: 74 units; Uncle Bob's Place: 103 units; Mt. Baker Family Housing: 84 units; 2017 HOMEOWNERSHIP – Walnut 159; @ Lake City: 16 units; Yukima Avenue: Townhomes: 8 units; (/ 2018 RENTAL – Bryant Manor: 95 units; Madjax Apartments: 185 units; LNH Othello Apartments: 52 units; Othello Apartments: 327 units; Naylor Family Housing: 158 units; 2018 HOMEOWNERSHIP – Central Square Building D: 64 units; (/ 2019 RENTAL – Alki/Calvin Plaza: 142 units; Rose Street II: 196 units; Bellwether Madison/Bohannon: 249 units; Nesbit Family Housing: 118 units; Vista: 221 units; North Lot: 202 units; Lam Row: 82 units; The Madison: 75 units; Willow Crossing: 211 units; 2019 HOMEOWNERSHIP – Loyal Heights Townhomes: 7 units; Phinney Ridge Condominiums: 18 units	Ongoing
11	Provide more housing choices for families	5	Encourage production of larger, family-friendly units in private market projects	OPCD			##(a) Available (DC)	Family sized units produced	We do not have a readily available data set to track tandem size units in private market construction. Answering this question in full would essentially require a study. In the past we could have used Dupre/Scott's reports to get an idea of this for market-rate apartments. However, Dupre/Scott has closed and we have yet to identify a similarly reliable data source. (Data not currently available; will begin reporting on this in future if the data become available.)	
			SEA will enable families with children to access high opportunity areas through financial assistance and a range of services	SEA	SEA, MITM, Budget reports	Policy Dept. Reports HUD/DC	206 families were served by CMTO in 2019 and 46 received FAS supplements	FAS has no projected end date. The CMTO pilot is scheduled to end in 2021.	2021	
			Explore conversion of units in Scattered Site portfolio to family-sized units	SEA	SEA, MITM, Budget reports	HUD/DC	## 4 units converted			2022
			Evaluate requirements and incentives to build family-friendly housing into market rate multifamily residential development	OPCD	OPWHDG https://www.kingcounty.gov/2020/01/mayors-shares-affordable-middle-income-housing-advisory-council/		1 Reports generated	OPWHDG's report discussed family size housing requirements as part of Citywide MHA Implementation (http://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/MHA/Policy/Document_Rapor_t_MHA_Citywide.pdf). MHA adopted Citywide in 2019). Released Housing Choice Readiness Report in 2019. This report summarized data on the housing market (http://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/OPCD/Reports/Status/HousingChoice/HousingChoiceBackGroundReport.pdf). As part of work on Housing Choice, OPCD also held public discussions to understand what types of housing people would like to see more of. We produced a Public Engagement Summary report in January 2020 describing the feedback we heard, which included comments about the need for more	Ongoing	

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

12	Dedicated resources for affordable housing	5	Effective implementation of Seattle Housing Levy to ensure success	OH	Levy A&P Plan/Housing Funding Policies	Summer	1	When administrative budget for 7 year Levy and in pace to meet or exceed program goals	Commitments and expenditures of the 2008 Seattle Housing Levy remained under budget in 2017, and annual production has far in excess to meet or exceed program goals	2024
			Pilot City bond financing for affordable housing	OH	N/A	Legislative database	1	Legislation adopted	Ordinance 125205, adopted by Council on 2/21/2017, created City bond financing of \$29M for affordable housing production	2017
			Implement assessment of City-owned property for affordable housing opportunities	OH	N/A	N/A	1	Updated review of City inventory		2018
			Advocate for state authority to enact DCET affordable housing	OH						ongoing
			Advocate for greater federal investment in affordable housing	OH & OH & SHA			1	Federal investment	Current local resources for affordable housing greatly outweigh federal resources.	ongoing
13	Provide resources to stabilize at risk renters and homeowners	3	Provide funding for weatherization and repair of low-income residents homes	OH & HSD	Levy A&P Plan/Housing Funding Policies	Winter	#	3,308 low-income homeowners assisted	2017: \$444,000 of loans and grants were awarded (28 homeowners assisted); \$1,734 HomeWise Weatherization Program grant funds awarded (1,418 units weatherized) 2018: \$796,000 of loans and grants were awarded (46 homeowners assisted); \$1,894 HomeWise Weatherization Program grant funds awarded (1,773 units weatherized) 2019: \$927,051 of loans and grants were awarded (67 homeowners assisted)	ongoing
			Provide funding for low-income homeowners at risk of foreclosure	OH			5	Funds provided	In 2018, OH selected an administrator and awarded a \$484,000 contract for its Foreclosure prevention loan program, which was intended to provide loans of up to \$30,000 to help low-income homeowners become current on housing related payments. While the program has been actively marketed over the past two years, the administrator has only originated 2 loans to date. The primary challenge has been that the inquiries received are from homeowners who are ineligible for assistance. Additionally, the number of Foreclosures has been steadily dropping over the past years, indicating a decreased demand for this program.	ongoing
			SHA provides resources for eviction prevention interventions	SHA	APH, MTR, Budget reports	CO Reports	#	12 buildings receiving services	SHA invests \$1.4 million in four organizations to provide case management and eviction prevention services across HOPE and LPH properties.	
			Identify low income seniors to increase HHS or Utility Discount Program, Senior homeowner property tax exemption, or deferral program	HSD/HDC	Age Friendly Seattle Action Plan	Age Friendly team at JDS meeting 1/16	#	Seniors identified	Working with the City of Seattle's Youth and Family Empowerment Division and the Mayor's Council on African American Elders, Age Friendly Seattle engaged a plan to identify and reach out to older adults through coffee hours in downtown and throughout the City's various neighborhoods.	ongoing

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

			Create cross-referral relationships between tax exemption, deferral program, and utility discount and expedited enrollment into these programs	MSD/ADS	COMBINED WITH ABOVE ACTIVITY				
			Develop Age-Friendly Seattle 2018-2023 Work Plan	MSD/ADS	Age Friendly Seattle Action Plan	Age Friendly team at ADS tracking this	1 Work Plan created	Upon accepting the report "Moving Toward Age-Friendly Housing in King County", the City of Seattle's Aging and Disability Services (ADS) Division supported plan implementation through the formation of a community-led housing committee. At the same time, ADS's Age-Friendly Seattle partnered collaboratively with other city departments and outside agencies such as King County Metro to create GIS asset and service maps, as recommended in the report's conclusion. http://www.seattle.gov/agefriendly	2018
14	Preserve and increase affordable housing	G	Invest in production/preservation of affordable housing in areas with high risk of displacement	OH	Lowy A&P Plan/Housing Funding Policies	MRDB	6,111 units of affordable housing produced or preserved	Households with low incomes are at high risk of displacement in Seattle due to high cost of housing. The majority of the newly produced or preserved affordable housing funded between 2017-2020 is located in neighborhoods where low-income people are particularly at high risk of displacement.	ongoing
			Conduct assessment on RCW 35.21.010 and its connection to fair housing	MSD/HBI	Council item		1	Preliminary work complete	2020
			Provide funding to rehab and preserve affordable units in existing housing	OH	Lowy A&P Plan/Housing Funding Policies	MRDB	670 Housing units funded	\$2.7M award for portfolio preservation projects in 2017 [214 units] \$7.3M award for portfolio preservation projects in 2018 [231 units] No portfolio preservation projects in 2019	ongoing
			Advocate for state authority for a Preservation Tax Exemption for landlords to keep rents affordable in existing housing	OH	Council-adopted State legislative agenda	N/A	1 Advocacy conducted		ongoing
			Scale MHA requirements to other geographic areas with strong markets yielding large contributions to affordable housing	OFCD			1 MHA requirements in Chapters 23.580 and 23.59C	Established in MHA framework in 2006/2007	Completed this phase in 2017, actions ongoing

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

			Dedicate land and resources toward affordable housing near major transit investments	OH	N/A	N/A	2 publicly owned properties sold or leased for affordable housing	Procure 1500-245 units at the Roosevelt light rail station area purchased from Sound Transit; Mt. Baker Family Housing; 54 units located 1 block from the Mt. Baker light rail station. OH continues to partner with Sound Transit to pursue future opportunities to site affordable housing near light rail stations, including potential affordable homeownership sites in SE Seattle.	ongoing	
15	Access to housing in high opportunity areas	4	Adopt zoning legislation that promotes diverse housing types within urban villages	OPCD			Approx. 1,320 acres multi-family zoning by zone type	Approx. 1,320 acres of multifamily and mixed-use zoning would be created through proposed citywide MHA legislation. Documented in Appendix H of MHA EIS: http://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/MHA/Policy/MHA_FCS/Regpt_MHA_FCS_2017.pdf	Adopted 2019	
			Promote affirmative marketing of affordable housing units in Multifamily Tax Exemption and incentive zoning/MHA programs	OH	OH Affirmative Marketing Plan Requirements	N/A	1	Requirements published; outreach completed	Published affirmative marketing requirements for MFL, MHA, and ID performance units. https://www.seattle.gov/housing/housing-development/multifamily-tax-exemption/ Held public outreach and information meeting 4/2/2019	Completed in 2018
			Pursue development of affordable housing on surplus public property	OH	N/A	N/A	0	0 publicly owned properties sold or leased for affordable housing	Affordable housing on 6 surplus properties funded (garment and bridge lanes) through NCSA and BFL. 2017. Sound Transit's surplus property at Rainier Hill station area, City-owned site in South of 20th. 8 site (City-owned) v/c. King County/Seattle Housing Authority Records Site // 2015-2 Seattle City Light owned sites in Phinney Ridge and Lloyd Heights	ongoing
			SHA to enable families with children to access rental units in high opportunity areas	SHA	AH, MFL, Budget reports	Policy Dept. Reports	206 families were served by CMTO in 2019 and 46 received FAS supplements. SHA acquired four new properties across Seattle in 2020 to increase access to affordable housing.	FAS has no projected end date. The CMTO pilot is scheduled to end in 2021.	2021	
			Continue redevelopment of Foster Terrace (Choice Neighborhoods Initiative) - activity this appears in Goal #17	SHA	AFL, Foster Reports	Developers and Reports	150 Cypress Apartments (48 units at 30%AMI) Red Cedar (80 units at 30% AMI and 30 units at 60% AMI)		Ongoing	
			Study MHA alternatives that increase affordable housing in areas with high access to opportunity and low risk of displacement	OPCD			1	1 Environmental Impact statement	Complete	2016 and 2017
			Develop 180 new 24/7 enhanced shelter beds for unsheltered homeless persons	HSD	Pathways Home	Compass Int. Presbyterian	180 # of beds	291 new units of enhanced shelter were added in 2018	ongoing	

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

16	Increase housing options for homeless families	3	Implement Pathways Home: improve construction and outreach, increase safe sleeping locations, shelter and housing options, facilitate those on waiting lists for housing.	USD	Pathways Home		1	Reports generated	The system improvements implemented as a result of Pathways Home have resulted in the increase of enhanced shelter, improvements to CEA process including the development of a case conferencing process, increased coordination of outreach through the development of the outreach continuum and transitioning investments to high performing programs. These improvements have resulted in significant increases in the number of persons exiting to permanent housing.	Ongoing
			Create Navigation Center to bring adults living outdoors into the Center and transition them to stable housing within 30 days.	USD	Pathways Home		1	47% placed annually	2020: 74 households moved to permanent housing at a rate of 46% percent of calls to permanent housing	Ongoing
17	Promote equitable growth in new development	2	Adopt zoning legislation to implement MHA in: University District, Downtown/South Lake Union, Central Area/Charstown International District, Uptown	CPED			4	Zoning legislation adopted by city	Complete	2017
			Continue redevelopment of Yelder Terrace (Choice Neighborhoods Initiative) - activity also appears in Goal #15	SNA	AH, MTM, Budget Reports	Development Reports			Cypress Apartments (40 units at 30%AMI) Red Cedar (80 units at 30% AMI and 39 units at 60% AMI)	Ongoing
18	Support tenants access to affordable housing	3	Improve quantity and quality of assistance provided to voucher holders	SNA	AH, MTM, Budget reports	HVC Reports			75 households received Home First services; 33 received application/leasing fee assistance and 60 received security deposit assistance	Ongoing
			Evaluate payment standards annually using market factors and pilot supplemental payment standards in opportunity neighborhoods for families with children	SNA	AH, MTM, Budget reports	Policy Dept. Reports			46 HHs were served by FAS	Ongoing
			Maintain and expand affordable units in neighborhoods that are otherwise difficult for SNA's clients to access	SNA	AH, MTM, Budget reports	Policy Dept. Reports			296 families were served by CMTD in 2018 and 40 received FAS supplements. SNA acquired four new properties across Seattle in 2019 to increase access to affordable housing.	FAS has no projected end date. The CMTD pilot is scheduled to end in 2021. Acquisition strategies are ongoing.
			Provide resources to low-income home buyers to purchase homes in Seattle	OH	Low A&F Plan/Housing Funding Policies	HO206	1	122 resale restricted affordable ownership units funded	2017: \$2.25M for affordable ownership development that is resale-restricted for 50 years or more (25 units) 2020: \$1.20M for resale-restricted affordable ownership development (71 units) 2021: \$2.50M for resale-restricted affordable ownership development (28 units)	Ongoing

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

19	Promote financial security for low-income HHS	3	Utilize public property to develop low-income ownership models	OH	Housing Funding Policies	N/A		1	Note public property available for development	2017: OH awarded a publicly owned parcel in the Leschi neighborhood to a non-profit homeownership developer for the development of at least 3 permanently affordable townhomes that will be sold to low-income, first-time homebuyers. 2020: OH awarded surplus utility-owned land to a non-profit homeownership developer for the development of at least 3 3-bedroom townhomes and 20 condominiums that will be permanently affordable and sold to low-income, first-time homebuyers.	ongoing
			SHA will develop a proposal to support residents seeking economic self-sufficiency	SHA	AH, MTH, Budget reports	N/A		1	Program folded in to 2021-24 AFH Goal #171		Completed in 2017
20	Housing for Persons with different abilities	2	Determine method to identify ADA Class I permitted units for both rental and single family in the existing permit database	HOENR & SDO	AFH	Current DB	SCD	1	Proposal developed for #8 changes		2022
			Determine cost and feasibility of creating an inventory of units and making list accessible to the public	SDC	AFH			1	Implementation work plan developed		2022
21	Address access to proficient schools	5	Improve children's readiness for school	Seattle Public Schools	SPS District Scorecard	SPS District Scorecard		67%	Kindergartners demonstrating readiness to be successful learners	This is the same assessment as WA005	Completed in 2019
			Enhance students' academic achievement and reduce achievement gap	DEEL	FEL Implementation Plan	OSR		67.00%	Children meeting age level expectations	From Oct 2019 OSR report card	2019
				Seattle Public Schools	SPS District Scorecard	SPS District Scorecard		67%	Opportunity Gap in grade level English language arts proficiency (9-10-11th grade) / Opportunity Gap in grade level mathematics proficiency (9-10-11th grade)	same as DEEL measure below	2019
			DEEL	FEL Implementation Plan	OSR		8%	% Reduction in opportunity gap of all first-time 9th graders earning a C or better in all core courses	Gap was 37% in 16-17 and 37% in 18-19	2019	
			Seattle Public Schools	SPS District Scorecard	SPS District Scorecard		83%	High school students graduating in four years or fewer	From Class of 2019, OSR report card	2019	
			DEEL	FEL Implementation Plan	OSR		86%	5-year graduation rate	From Class of 2018, OSR report card	2019	
DEEL	SPS District Scorecard	SPS		Math: 42% (LA: 48%)	Opportunity Gap in grade level English language arts proficiency (9-10-11th grade) / Opportunity Gap in grade level mathematics proficiency (9-10-11th grade)	data from Spring 2019 testing, provided by SPS, analyzed by DEEL. Opportunity gap is defined as difference between White/Asian proficiency and Native American/Alaskan American/Latino/ Pacific Islander proficiency	2019				
DEEL	AFH	DEEL Implementation		\$19,002,281	Money spent invested in schools in and near RUCAPS		2019				
22	Economic mobility for low-income residents public housing residents	1	SHA will revamp workforce services, programs, and incentives through participant feedback, evaluation of current offerings, best practices, and community strengths.	SHA	AH, MTH, Budget reports	Economic Opportunity Reports			327 individuals served, including 231 job placements and 205 residents enrolled in education/training programs	Ongoing	
23	Initiatives supporting workforce development	2	Various Commercial Affordability and Workforce initiative targets throughout 2021:	DED	AFH	DED			500 Low income individuals offered career planning	Completed	2018
				DED	AFH	DED			300 Low income individuals enrolled in high-demand career training	Completed	2018
				DED	AFH	DED			250 Low income individuals employed in high-demand careers	Completed	2018
			ORA	1. 2017 CEBA Activity Close out	All Ready to Work			160 Ready to Work slots offered in classes	Completed and on-going	2018	
ORA	Practical Objectives: Low	metrics, data and			160 Ready to Work slots offered in classes filled	Completed and on-going	2018				

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

			Various Commercial Affordability and Workforce Initiative targets throughout 2021. - CPD funded activities that connect workers of color to the broader economy	ORCA ORCA ORCA ORCA ORCA	2019 ORCA 2020 CPD Contact with the Ready to Work Program, CDDO (lead agent, Home Sign) 3, 2017 CDDO year End Report: Public	Information collected and prepared to ORCA by HomeSign and its subcontractors	3 classes offered 120 individuals participating in the program 150 individuals enrolled in classes 120 Low Income and Extremely Low-Income individuals served 120 individuals receiving educational, career counseling, and job placement services	Completed and ongoing 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	
14	Increase access to government facilities	3	Coordinate with FAS to implement recommendations from the City-wide 2016 ADA survey. Priority for projects that increase access to public/community facilities, programs & services, and communication between the public and government. ADA improvements for Gov't facilities. - CPD funded activities. This would be ADA Parks, not SCC parks- SCC doesn't do just ADA as they also do non-ADA rehabilitation.	FAS FAS FAS FAS FAS	ORCA ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan	ADA Title II Compliance Program in FAS	158 City programs evaluated 24 Departmental corrective action plans 10 City owned facilities reviewed for ADA compliance 1 target dates for barrier removal (12,222 elements identified)	All program evaluations completed throughout 2017 All completed and department directors signed off. Completed Reviews completed. Some projects have target for barrier removal. RPS, including protocols for entering data, is complete. Working on next steps, including a plan for barrier removal prioritization.	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018
			ADA improvements for Gov't facilities. - CPD funded activities. This would be ADA Parks, not SCC parks- SCC doesn't do just ADA as they also do non-ADA rehabilitation.	Parlo/MSD/RSI	PKS ADA Plan		13 parks had ADA improvements	The Parks Dept is expecting a higher number of completions next year	ongoing
			Create interim advisory board to build on low income communities and communities of color existing capacity for self-determination	DCN			Board members invited	Delayed implementation, may resume in 2020	2020
			Make capacity building investments to elevate leadership in planning and development	DCN			1 investment made in 20 projects	Through the Neighborhood Matching Fund, DCN funded 20 projects related to the planning and design of capacity building projects totaling \$24.4M.	ongoing
25	Strong community despite displacement pressure	4	Through RSI make capacity building investment within government for staff to undertake equity work Through equity analysis anticipate and prevent displacement of vulnerable residents Establish community stabilizing policies and investments	DCN OPCD OPCD	RSI EDI Implementation Plan/EDI Financial Strategy		1 investment made 15 displacement strategies initiated in 100 neighborhoods 37 EDI Projects supported	In 2018, DCN released our RSI effort, a Healthy and Thriving System, with four opportunities for staff members to engage. Completed and ongoing	2018 2018
			Leverage its partnership with SPS to improve educational outcomes both organizations serve Evaluate, possibly continue or expand, Home from School program, supporting homeless families with students in target schools to access affordable housing	SHA SHA	AFH, Urban Institute Eval AFH, MTSH, Budget reports	SPS-SEA HCV Reports	5,000/6,011 students 31 households currently housed	SHA and SPS share 6,011 students and coordinate to provide services SHA to discuss potential evaluation of the program in 2020	ongoing Ongoing
			Promote access to its residents to higher education scholarship program and federal financial aid	SHA	AFH	Policy Dept. Reports	5 Dream Right Scholarships SHA staff work with youth on an ongoing basis to support them in all their educational needs, including FAFSA coaching for high school/college students and helping parents enroll students in College Bound in middle school.		Ongoing
			Expand engagement opportunities for youth in its large family communities	SHA	AFH Budget Reports	CPD Reports	SHA continues to work closely with students and parents in our family communities to support all students to achieve to their potential.		Ongoing
			Continue partnership with Seattle University to provide academic supports to families and students in Chaco Neighborhoods.com	SHA	AFH Seattle Univ. Reports	Seattle Univ. Reports	114 youth served	Completed	2019

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

			Promote digital access and training for all SHA tenants including continuation of free internet services for families	SHA	AHJ	CSD Reports		As of year-end 2019, Community WiFi was installed in 41 buildings.	SHA also funds technology training at two locations and supports computer labs at additional SHA properties.	Ongoing			
27	Track/Stay accountable to Comprehensive Growth Management Plan	3	Develop and monitor community indicators of equitable development and progress in implementing City Comprehensive Plan	OPCD	Seattle 2035 Comp. Plan (pg. 13-14) and Equitable Development Implementation Plan (pages 37-44)			Number of mentoring programs established	OPCD published the first Comprehensive Plan Urban Village Monitoring Report in June 2018.	Ongoing			
				OPCD	Seattle 2035 Comp. Plan (pg. 13-14)	Comprehensive Plan Urban Village Working Report		17 Comp Plan Urban Village Indicators and ED Indicators updated per year	Assess for transportation financing opportunities to fund ED investments for resources spent beyond the Comprehensive Plan. Working with various entities to fund and coordinate green financing, including public-private partnerships, to address equity issues. Reviewing the relationship between the Comprehensive Plan and other policies to ensure consistency and integration.	Ongoing			
				OPCD	Equitable Development Implementation Plan (pages 37-44)		See notes to right	Completion of first ED Plan Monitoring Report	Completed and on-going	Ongoing			
28	Equitable input to environmental justice issues	1	Review three (3) environmental programs or policies to ensure people and communities that are most affected are centered in the environmental progress and resolving environmental justice issues.	OSE				3 reviews completed for environmental policy/programs for response to addressing environmental justice issues.	2017				
29	Equitable investment across all communities	2	Establishment of Equitable Development Initiative Fund to distribute the benefits and burdens of growth equitably Develop neighborhood strategy for revitalization of Foster Terrace-Choice Neighborhood Initiative	OPCD	EDI Implementation Plan			\$1,745,391 EDI fund investment	Currently the fund is supporting 24 projects. Approximately \$5,800,000 of investments made as of December 2019.	2018			
30	Equitable Access and amenities throughout city	4	Transportation equity - Complete priorities for \$930 million Seattle Moves Levy over next 8 years. Base investment priorities on objective data need to minimize undue influence of privileged voices and economic power as the key determinant of public investment (e.g., Rail levy) Distribute the benefits and burdens of growth equitably Connect workers of color to the broader economy Prioritize resolving environmental justice issues and foster pathways to employment	SHA	AHJ, Foster Reports	Developers and Reports		1	Unit production schedule completed	Construction ongoing	Ongoing		
				SDOT	SDOT Road Equity Toolkit (NET) 2016-2020					NETs completed for each levy program and CR project		2025	
				SDOT	Levy to Move Seattle Oversight Committee quarterly updates					8 of reports	Quarterly updates	Levy to Move Seattle Oversight Committee quarterly updates track projects and program delivery against levy commitments	Completed
				OPCD & DSN	EDI Implementation Plan					24 projects in multiple neighborhoods	Based on 8 of existing EDI projects. Some projects may overlap neighborhoods	Ongoing	
OPCD & DSN	EDI Implementation Plan					2 EDI Projects that have an economic development component	As of 2019, 9 projects currently underway having some type of economic development support.	Ongoing					
ODE							Green Pathways Resolution for green jobs	Project reauthorized					

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

Goal	Objective	Activity	Responsible Agency	Reporting Agency	Reporting Period	Progress	Notes	Start Year	End Year
81	All communities are environmentally sound	Invest in cultural institutions	OPCD & DCA	ED Implementation Plan			9 EDI Projects initiated that contain a cultural component	As of 2018, 38 projects currently include support for cultural anchors and/or cultural assets	2018
		Complete Duwamish Clean Use and Valley plan	OSG				1 Active Plan created	Complete	2017
		Develop Duwamish Valley Action Plan to align and coordinate investments and programs city-wide to address environmental and integrate shared community issues for improvements.	OSG				1 Progress reports completed	Plan completed in 2017	
82	Partnerships to improve public health outcomes	Implement the Seattle Climate Preparedness Strategy as adopted in Q2 2017	OSG				1 Strategy Plan created	Plan completed in 2017	
		Provide funding for ventilation and repair of low-income residents homes	CU	SHI "Provide resources to stabilize at-risk renters and homeowners"			4 buildings receiving services; 4 community health workers hired	Outcomes dependent on redevelopment schedule	Ongoing
		Expand partnerships to provide on-site nursing in more LIPIH buildings and offer the Community Health Worker program in Yester Terrace	SHA	AH, Budget Reports	CD Reports			1 Study completed	
83	Access to high opportunity areas	Evaluate impact of redevelopment strategies on residents health and well-being or health service	SHA	AH	CD/Qual upwork				Ongoing
		Selected units at Yester Terrace will feature Breathe Easy units	SHA	AH	Development Reports		150 Breathe Easy units completed in 2019		Ongoing
		Engage in data sharing arrangement with Seattle-King County Public Health to gather understanding of risk factors and outcomes for those receiving housing subsidies	SHA	AH, DSA with Public Health	Policy Dept. Reports		1 More than 360,000 records matched	SHA is in the process of updating the OSA with Public Health to increase the universe of data matched to further our analysis of health outcomes for subsidized housing residents	Ongoing
83	Access to high opportunity areas	Complete 7 Rapid Ride Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) projects in partnership with Metro Transit	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website		7 Completion of 7 Rapid Projects 2018-2024		2024
		In partnership with Sound Transit, provide funding for an Infil Link light rail station at Draborn Street in southwest Seattle	SDOT	Sound Transit 3 Progress Reports	Website		Project completion and commencement of service station		2021
		Fund a pedestrian and bicycle bridge over I-5 connecting North Seattle College to the Northgate light rail station	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website		Completion of project in time for opening of Northgate Link light rail		2021
		Implement the Accessible Meant Baker Phase project to improve bicycle, pedestrian, and bus connections to the Mt. Baker light rail station	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website		Project completion, along Rainier Ave RapidRide project		2022
		Make bus service more reliable through a comprehensive transit improvement program to eliminate bottlenecks in key locations	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website		1 Completion of Transit Spot improvement work plan for each year		2023
		Complete 12-15 corridor safety projects, improving safety for all travelers on high-crash streets	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website		12 Completion of 12+ safety projects		2024
		Complete 9-12 Safe Routes to School projects, with additional investment at schools in areas with the most barriers to children walking	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website		9 Completion of 9+ projects		2023
		Build over 50 miles of new protected bike lanes and 60 miles of neighborhood greenways	SDOT	Annual Bicycle Master Plan Implementation Plan	Website	50 miles of PBs, 60 miles of neighborhood greenways	50 PBs and 60 miles of greenway		2024
		Repair up to 225 blocks of damaged sidewalks in urban villages and centers	SDOT	Annual Pedestrian Master Plan Implementation Plan	Website	225 blocks	Completion of each project		2024
		Make curb ramp and crossing improvements at up to 750 intersections citywide	SDOT	Annual Pedestrian Master Plan Implementation Plan	Website	750 intersections	Completion of each project		2024
		Structurally reinforce 38 vulnerable bridges	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website	16 seismic bridge projects	Completion of each project		2024
		Repair up to 180 lane-miles of arterial streets	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website	180 lane miles	Completion of each project		2024
		Repair 65 targeted locations every year, totaling an average of 7-8 arterial lane miles per year	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website	65 spot improvements per year	Completion of each project		2024

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

		Work with employers to improve employees access to transit passes, bike share, and car share memberships	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website		Completion of each project		
		Work with residents, landlords, and developers of new buildings to ensure access to transit, car share, bike share, and other travel options	SDOT	Citywide and Waterfront Area CTR Performance	Website	Based on Annual Work Plan targets			Ongoing
		Build over 150 new blocks of sidewalks, filling in 75% of the sidewalk gaps on priority transit corridors citywide	SDOT	Pedestrian Master Plan Implementation Plan	Website	150 block factor	Completion of each project		2024
		Complete 20-35 neighborhood priority projects to improve safety, mobility, and access and quality of life in those neighborhoods	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website	20 projects	Completion of all awarded projects		2024
		Partner with Seattle Public Utilities to pave streets, provide new pedestrian infrastructure and crossings, and address drainage issues in flood-prone South Park	SDOT	Levy to move Seattle Annual Report	Website	2 projects	Completion of projects in two neighborhoods		2024
34	Pursue best practices to end homelessness	Conduct a study on housing needs of LGBTQ seniors	OH	N/A	N/A	1	Study completed	Study completed in 2018 https://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/Housing/Factors/2019Pages/Details/DetailsReports/SeattleLGBQSeniorHousingStudyReport.pdf	2018
		Issue affirmative marketing guidelines for private housing participating in City incentive programs and City funded housing	OH	N/A	N/A	1	Requirements published	Published affirmative marketing requirements for MTE, MHA, and OI performance units in 2018. Guidelines for private housing participating in incentive programs: https://www.seattle.gov/Housing/HousingDevelopment/MultiFamily/Incentives/IncentivesGuidelines.pdf Guidelines for City funded housing updated in 2020: https://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/Housing/Factors/2019Pages/Details/DetailsReports/SeattleLGBQSeniorHousingStudyReport.pdf	2018 & 2020
		Provide trainings on how to best address bias when using criminal records in tenant screening	SDCR	AH, HUD Guidance	Internal spreadsheet	15-20	Trainings held	As part of DCH's core fair housing curriculum, sections on implicit bias and microaggressions help housing providers and community members learn how these actions may impact housing decisions. DCH conducted 26 workshops and training sessions for housing providers and community on how best to address bias when using criminal records in tenant screening.	2018 - Completed
		Support housing providers in reaching groups most impacted by displacement and gentrification	SDCR	AH	Internal spreadsheet	21	Workshop and trainings held	SDCR conducted 21 workshops and training sessions for housing providers.	2019 - Completed
		Monitor impact of City First Come First Served renters protective legislation							City Council passed the legislation in 2021. The law was upheld by the WA State Supreme Court.

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

35	Fair housing education to all involved parties	3	Provide quarterly fair housing workshops to housing providers and the community	SOCR and SDC		Federal jurisdiction	8 Workshops held	The Seattle Office for Civil Rights (SOCR) conducts education and outreach through free quarterly fair housing workshops for housing providers and community in partnership with Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI) in an effort to make information on housing laws easier to access for the public. Provide trainings on how to best address bias when using criminal records in tenant screening. The partnership with DCI has led to reaching owners who own less than 2.5 units and have less access to technical assistance than larger providers that are connected to landlord organizations.	2019 and ongoing
			Educate public via campaigns on new protection passed 2016/2017, or in response to significant testing findings	SOCR		Federal jurisdiction	1 Campaigns launched	OCR has educated the public through campaigns about Alternative Source of Income and Fair Chance Housing legislation. The campaigns have included community grants, free ads, print ads, workshops, print materials, tubing, and more. OCR conducted 51 training sessions on Alternative Source of Income and 30 training sessions on Fair Chance Housing since those laws were passed.	2018 - Completed
			Create a "Renting in Seattle" web portal	SDC		SDC Code Compliance	1 Portals created		30-Apr-18
			Provide fair housing awareness and resources to SHA residents	SHA	APH	HCV Reports	4 Revising educational materials going forward		Ongoing
			Develop a proposal for a Tenant/Landlord Resource Center	SDC		SDC Code Compliance	45 new issuance orientations and 48 MWCA orientations were held in 2019.		Ongoing
			All Housing Choice Voucher orientations include instruction from SHA staff on Fair Housing Act protections	SHA	APH	HCV Reports	45 new issuance orientations and 48 MWCA orientations were held in 2019.		Ongoing
36	Combat institutional racism and barriers	3	Conduct fair housing testing on an annual basis	SOCR		N/A	1 Report generated	OCR investigates complaints of discrimination in housing. In addition to receiving complaints, OCR carries out strategic enforcement via housing testing based on protected classes. OCR completed a total of 179 in person and phone tests on race in 2018. In 2019, OCR completed 187 in person and phone tests on race. NOTE: The 2018 report is available on OCR's website and the 2019 data is forthcoming.	2018 and ongoing
			Pass Fair Chance Housing legislation	SOCR		N/A	legislation passed	In August 2017, Seattle City Council passed the Fair Chance Housing Ordinance which was signed by the Mayor of Seattle. The Ordinance became effective on February 16, 2018.	2017 - Completed
			Ensure accountable relationships with communities of color, people with disabilities, LGBTQ residents, immigrants and refugee students, and other communities	SOCR		N/A		OCR conducted a Racial Equity Toolkit process and the report is completed in 2019. OCR is conducting community engagement for the Community Preference policy, on an ongoing basis.	2019 - ongoing

2017 City and Seattle Housing Authority Assessment of Fair Housing 2021 Accomplishments Report
 Five Year Work Plan Integrated into 2018-2022 Consolidated Plan

			Improve and evaluate the City First in Time renter's protections	SOC/CH Auditors Office is responsible for evaluation	City Auditor Website			City Auditor Report	The City Auditor produced a rental housing study with a focus on FI in 2020. They were unable to conduct an evaluation because there was no data collected prior to the legislation being passed. Seattle's First in Time protections was upheld by the Washington State Supreme Court in 2020.	2018 - Completed
			Develop Community Preference policy for high displacement neighborhoods	SOCR and OH	N/A	PDF		Links to documents will be published on OH and SOCR websites	OH and OCR are developing community preference guidelines to affordable housing providers in certain neighborhoods that experience high levels of displacement and best practices to mitigate displacement. We are also creating a policy doc to provide background/history of how this policy came about. SOCR has provided technical support for the Racial Equity Toolkit process and participated in some community engagement. In addition to this project, we also continue to provide HR liaison support for OH.	2019 and ongoing

2021 PR26 with Cert

	Office of Community Planning and Development U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Integrated Disbursement and Information System PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report Program Year 2021 SEATTLE, WA	DATE: 12-06-22 TIME: 10:28 PAGE: 1
---	--	--

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES	
01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	11,653,849.67
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	9,533,942.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	1,000,000.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	877,191.35
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT	0.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	23,064,983.02
PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES	
09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	14,073,673.56
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	(1,102,075.73)
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	12,971,597.83
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	1,181,649.70
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	14,153,247.53
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	8,911,735.49
PART III: LOW/MOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD	
17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	1,949,029.10
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	9,937,974.80
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	1,084,593.93
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	12,971,597.83
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	100.00%
LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS	
23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	FY: 2020 PY: 2021 PY: 2022
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	24,104,099.02
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	19,273,887.09
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	79.66%
PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS	
27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	6,605,022.63
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	(2,935,546.67)
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	3,669,475.96
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	9,533,942.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	405,133.46
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	9,939,075.46
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	36.92%
PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP	
37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	1,181,649.70
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	90,876.71
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	0.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	1,090,772.99
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	9,533,942.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	877,191.35
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	10,411,133.35
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	10.48%



Office of Community Planning and Development
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
Program Year 2021
SEATTLE, WA

DATE: 12-06-22
TIME: 18:28
PAGE: 2

LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17
Report returned no data.

LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2001	38	5565	OED 2001 Section 108 - Lewiston Hotel LP	14B	LWH	\$1,000,000.00
2020	8	4964	OH 2020 DNDA Rehabilitation Project: Dainidge Heights	14B	LWH	\$810,380.73
2020	8	4965	OH 2020 DNDA Rehabilitation Project: Holden Manor	14B	LWH	\$138,648.37
Total				14B	Matrix Code	\$1,949,029.10
						\$1,949,029.10

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2019	15	4887	6528700	Evergreen Treatment Services	01	LWC	\$1,000,000.00
2021	15	5723	6539095	HSD 2021 Denise Louie Beacon Hill Acquisition	01	LWC	\$430,000.00
					01	Matrix Code	\$1,430,000.00
2019	15	4966	6509753	HSD 2019 ROOTS Shelter rehabilitation	03C	LWC	\$710,329.85
					03C	Matrix Code	\$710,329.85
2020	17	5422	6505657	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Yesler Community Center	03E	LWC	\$87,426.04
2020	17	5422	6528709	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Yesler Community Center	03E	LWC	\$31,838.41
2020	17	5422	6541825	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Yesler Community Center	03E	LWC	\$102,868.59
2020	17	5422	6589911	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Yesler Community Center	03E	LWC	\$8,009.42
2020	17	5423	6505657	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Van Asselt Community Center	03E	LWC	\$177,501.36
2020	17	5423	6528709	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Van Asselt Community Center	03E	LWC	\$64,641.60
2020	17	5423	6541825	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Van Asselt Community Center	03E	LWC	\$208,854.42
2020	17	5423	6560084	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Van Asselt Community Center	03E	LWC	\$6,465.29
2020	17	5423	6589911	Parks 2020 ADA Parks Improvements - Van Asselt Community Center	03E	LWC	\$9,796.26
					03E	Matrix Code	\$697,401.39
2020	16	4969	6541833	Parks 2020 PUP Ravenna Park	03F	LMA	\$21,469.92
2020	16	4969	6560050	Parks 2020 PUP Ravenna Park	03F	LMA	\$51,606.20
2020	16	4969	6589908	Parks 2020 PUP Ravenna Park	03F	LMA	\$31,923.88
2020	16	5233	6541833	Parks 2020 PUP Bitter Lake (open space)	03F	LMA	\$44,435.45
2020	16	5233	6560050	Parks 2020 PUP Bitter Lake (open space)	03F	LMA	\$37,921.84
2020	16	5233	6589908	Parks 2020 PUP Bitter Lake (open space)	03F	LMA	\$6,383.87
2020	16	5234	6541833	Parks 2020 PUP Cal Anderson Park	03F	LMA	\$224.43
2020	16	5234	6560050	Parks 2020 PUP Cal Anderson Park	03F	LMA	\$378.65
2020	16	5234	6589908	Parks 2020 PUP Cal Anderson Park	03F	LMA	\$612.68
2020	16	5235	6541833	Parks 2020 PUP Judkins Park	03F	LMA	\$101,582.25
2020	16	5235	6560050	Parks 2020 PUP Judkins Park	03F	LMA	\$2,820.98
2020	16	5235	6589908	Parks 2020 PUP Judkins Park	03F	LMA	\$279.83
2020	16	5238	6541833	Parks 2020 PUP Plymouth Pillar Park	03F	LMA	\$55,580.95
					03F	Matrix Code	\$355,220.93
2020	2	5721	6561040	HSD 2021 The Salvation Army/Lighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	03T	LWC	\$880,171.64
2020	2	5721	6597138	HSD 2021 The Salvation Army/Lighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	03T	LWC	\$2,046,375.03
2021	3	5720	6561040	HSD 2021 DESC Main Shelter DA21-1334	03T	LWC	\$1,956,388.80
2021	3	5720	6597138	HSD 2021 DESC Main Shelter DA21-1334	03T	LWC	\$479,311.84
2021	3	5727	6597138	Seattle Indian Center/Shelter Program	03T	LWC	\$133,429.78
					03T	Matrix Code	\$5,495,677.09
2021	14	5574	6589915	OIRA 2021 HomeSight RTW South	05H	LWC	\$623,624.15
					05H	Matrix Code	\$623,624.15
2021	3	5578	6597138	HSD 2021 DESC Queen Anne Shelter	05Z	LWC	\$140,219.39
2021	4	5588	6549378	HSD 2021 Mt. Baker Family Resource Center	05Z	LWC	\$240,383.07
2021	4	5588	6560089	HSD 2021 Mt. Baker Family Resource Center	05Z	LWC	\$31,514.49
2021	4	5588	6597138	HSD 2021 Mt. Baker Family Resource Center	05Z	LWC	\$73,604.44
					05Z	Matrix Code	\$485,721.39
2021	12	5725	6561208	OED 2021 Tenant Improvement Fund - Simply Souful	18A	LWJ	\$140,000.00
					18A	Matrix Code	\$140,000.00
Total							\$9,937,974.80

LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity to prevent, prepare for, and respond to Coronavirus	Activity Name	Grant Number	Fund Type	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2020	2	5721	6561040	Yes	HSD 2021 The Salvation Army/Lighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	B20MCS30005	EN	03T	LWC	\$880,171.64

Final City of Seattle 2021 CAPER

	Office of Community Planning and Development U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Integrated Disbursement and Information System PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report Program Year 2021 SEATTLE, WA	DATE: 12-06-22 TIME: 10:28 PAGE: 3
---	--	--

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity to prevent, prepare for, and respond to Coronavirus	Activity Name	Grant Number	Fund Type	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2020	2	5721	6597138	Yes	HSD 2021 The Salvation Army/Lighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	B19MC530005	EN	03T	LMC	\$647,433.00
2020	2	5721	6597138	Yes	HSD 2021 The Salvation Army/Lighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	B20MC530005	EN	03T	LMC	\$1,398,942.03
2021	3	5720	6561040	Yes	HSD 2021 DESC Main Shelter DA21-1334	B21MC530005	EN	03T	LMC	\$1,956,388.80
2021	3	5720	6597138	Yes	HSD 2021 DESC Main Shelter DA21-1334	B21MC530005	EN	03T	LMC	\$479,311.84
2021	3	5727	6597138	No	Seattle Indian Center/Shelter Program	B21MC530005	EN	03T	LMC	\$133,429.78
									03T Matrix Code	\$5,495,677.09
2021	14	5574	6589915	No	OHRA 2021 HomeSight RTW South	B21MC530005	EN	05H	LMC	\$623,624.15
									05H Matrix Code	\$623,624.15
2021	3	5578	6597138	No	HSD 2021 DESC Queen Anne Shelter	B21MC530005	EN	05Z	LMC	\$140,219.39
2021	4	5588	6549378	No	HSD 2021 Mt. Baker Family Resource Center	B21MC530005	EN	05Z	LMC	\$240,383.07
2021	4	5588	6560089	No	HSD 2021 Mt. Baker Family Resource Center	B21MC530005	EN	05Z	LMC	\$31,514.49
2021	4	5588	6597138	No	HSD 2021 Mt. Baker Family Resource Center	B21MC530005	EN	05Z	LMC	\$73,604.44
									05Z Matrix Code	\$485,721.39
No Activity to prevent, prepare for, and respond to Coronavirus										\$1,242,775.32
Yes Activity to prevent, prepare for, and respond to Coronavirus										\$5,362,247.31
Total										\$6,605,022.63

LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount	
2021	2	5576	6549378	2021 HSD CDBG Planning	20		\$97,226.04	
2021	2	5576	6597138	2021 HSD CDBG Planning	20		\$42,202.59	
2021	12	5577	6542043	OED 2021 OIS Planning Activities	20		\$93,876.28	
2021	16	5582	6541833	Paris 2021 PUP Planning	20		\$50,792.52	
2021	16	5582	6560050	Paris 2021 PUP Planning	20		\$5,116.63	
2021	16	5582	6589908	Paris 2021 PUP Planning	20		\$36,430.91	
							20 Matrix Code	\$325,644.97
2021	2	5575	6549378	2021 HSD CDBG Administration	21A		\$139,133.81	
2021	2	5575	6560089	2021 HSD CDBG Administration	21A		\$64,705.44	
2021	2	5575	6597138	2021 HSD CDBG Administration	21A		\$652,105.48	
							21A Matrix Code	\$856,004.73
Total							21A Matrix Code	\$1,181,649.70

2021 CAPER PR26-CV

	Office of Community Planning and Development	DATE:	02-16-23
	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	TIME:	15:22
	Integrated Disbursement and Information System	PAGE:	1
	PR26 - CDBG-CV Financial Summary Report		
	SEATTLE, WA		

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG-CV RESOURCES		
01 CDBG-CV GRANT		11,490,269.00
02 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT		1,109,566.24
03 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT		0.00
04 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-03)		12,599,835.24
PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG-CV EXPENDITURES		
05 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION		6,732,033.62
06 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION		0.00
07 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS		0.00
08 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 05 - 07)		6,732,033.62
09 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 04 - LINE8)		5,867,801.62
PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT FOR THE CDBG-CV GRANT		
10 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS		0.00
11 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING		0.00
12 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES		6,361,599.86
13 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 10 - 12)		6,361,599.86
14 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 05)		6,732,033.62
15 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 13/LINE 14)		94.50%
PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CALCULATIONS		
16 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES		6,361,599.86
17 CDBG-CV GRANT		11,490,269.00
18 PERCENT OF FUNDS DISBURSED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 16/LINE 17)		55.37%
PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP		
19 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION		0.00
20 CDBG-CV GRANT		11,490,269.00
21 PERCENT OF FUNDS DISBURSED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 19/LINE 20)		0.00%



LINE 10 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 10

Report returned no data.

LINE 11 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 11

Report returned no data.

LINE 12 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 12

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount	
2020	2	5418	6469638	SHARE/WHEEL Shelters COVID 19 Response	03T	LMC	\$150,000.00	
		5419	6469638	HSD YouthCare South Seattle Shelter COVID19 Response Operations DA20-1267	03T	LMC	\$196,433.00	
	24	5721	6561040	HSD 2021 The Salvation ArmyLighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	03T	LMC	\$2,020,705.19	
		6597138	HSD 2021 The Salvation ArmyLighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	03T	LMC	\$699,348.81		
		5396	6469638	YWCA Seattle-King-Snohomish Counties Project Self Sufficiency	05Q	LMC	\$17,884.82	
		6476752	YWCA Seattle-King-Snohomish Counties Project Self Sufficiency	05Q	LMC	\$87,702.33		
		5399	6469638	HSD 2020 El Centro Homeless Prevention Program DA20-1360	05Q	LMC	\$50,000.00	
		5400	6469638	HSD 2020 HOPWA Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1909	05Q	LMC	\$47,303.73	
		6560038	HSD 2020 HOPWA Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1909	05Q	LMC	\$53,202.15		
		6597138	HSD 2020 HOPWA Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1909	05Q	LMC	\$60,754.46		
		6639166	HSD 2020 HOPWA Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1909	05Q	LMC	\$2,273.68		
		5401	6469638	HSD 2020 ReWA Refugee Immigrant Homelessness DA20-1382	05Q	LMC	\$39,969.35	
		6639166	HSD 2020 ReWA Refugee Immigrant Homelessness DA20-1382	05Q	LMC	\$63,903.57		
		5402	6469638	HSD 2020 SIHB Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1405	05Q	LMC	\$27,935.20	
		6639166	HSD 2020 SIHB Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1405	05Q	LMC	\$45,678.10		
		5403	6469638	HSD 2020 St. Vincent de Paul HP - DA20-1344	05Q	LMC	\$162,500.00	
		5404	6469638	HSD 2020 Neighborhood House HPP DA20-1168	05Q	LMC	\$133,431.57	
		5405	6469638	HSD 2020 United Indians of All Tribe Foundation	05Q	LMC	\$31,763.19	
		5406	6469638	HSD 2020 Interim Community Development Association	05Q	LMC	\$53,906.84	
		5585	6597138	St. Vincent de Paul DA21 - 1344	05Q	LMC	\$288,666.99	
	5715	6597138	HPP - El Centro de la Raza DA21-1360	05Q	LMC	\$166,667.00		
	5717	6597138	YWCA/Project Self-Sufficiency (HP) DA21-1123	05Q	LMC	\$27,388.39		
	5719	6597138	Neighborhood House/Homelessness Prevention DA-21-1168	05Q	LMC	\$187,840.88		
	25	5395	6463731	CV-OH 2020 Emergency Rental Assistance: Affordable Housing	05Q	LMC	\$1,391,608.35	
	31	5412	6470571	OED 2020 COVID19 Seattle Jobs Initiative Employment Support/Training	05H	LMC	\$86,969.88	
		6476804	OED 2020 COVID19 Seattle Jobs Initiative Employment Support/Training	05H	LMC	\$153,810.58		
	35	5722	6597138	HSD 2021 Interim/Homelessness Prevention DA21-1362	05Q	LMC	\$52,450.80	
	3	5720	6561040	HSD 2021 DESC Main Shelter DA21-1334	03T	LMC	\$61,501.00	
	Total							\$6,361,599.86

LINE 16 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 16

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2020	2	5418	6469638	SHARE/WHEEL Shelters COVID 19 Response	03T	LMC	\$150,000.00
		5419	6469638	HSD YouthCare South Seattle Shelter COVID19 Response Operations DA20-1267	03T	LMC	\$196,433.00
	24	5721	6561040	HSD 2021 The Salvation ArmyLighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	03T	LMC	\$2,020,705.19
		6597138	HSD 2021 The Salvation ArmyLighthouse Shelter at SODO DA21-1461	03T	LMC	\$699,348.81	
		5396	6469638	YWCA Seattle-King-Snohomish Counties Project Self Sufficiency	05Q	LMC	\$17,884.82
		6476752	YWCA Seattle-King-Snohomish Counties Project Self Sufficiency	05Q	LMC	\$87,702.33	
		5399	6469638	HSD 2020 El Centro Homeless Prevention Program DA20-1360	05Q	LMC	\$50,000.00
		5400	6469638	HSD 2020 HOPWA Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1909	05Q	LMC	\$47,303.73
		6560038	HSD 2020 HOPWA Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1909	05Q	LMC	\$53,202.15	
		6597138	HSD 2020 HOPWA Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1909	05Q	LMC	\$60,754.46	
		6639166	HSD 2020 HOPWA Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1909	05Q	LMC	\$2,273.68	
		5401	6469638	HSD 2020 ReWA Refugee Immigrant Homelessness DA20-1382	05Q	LMC	\$39,969.35
	6639166	HSD 2020 ReWA Refugee Immigrant Homelessness DA20-1382	05Q	LMC	\$63,903.57		



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG-CV Financial Summary Report
 SEATTLE, WA

DATE: 02-16-23
 TIME: 15:22
 PAGE: 3

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2020	24	5402	6469638	HSD 2020 SIHB Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1405	05Q	LMC	\$27,935.20
			6639166	HSD 2020 SIHB Homelessness Prevention Program DA20-1405	05Q	LMC	\$45,678.10
		5403	6469638	HSD 2020 St. Vincent de Paul HP - DA20-1344	05Q	LMC	\$162,500.00
		5404	6469638	HSD 2020 Neighborhood House HPP DA20-1168	05Q	LMC	\$133,431.57
		5405	6469638	HSD 2020 United Indians of All Tribe Foundation	05Q	LMC	\$31,763.19
		5406	6469638	HSD 2020 Interim Community Development Association	05Q	LMC	\$53,906.84
		5585	6597138	St. Vincent de Paul DA21 - 1344	05Q	LMC	\$288,666.99
		5715	6597138	HPP - El Centro de la Raza DA21-1360	05Q	LMC	\$166,667.00
		5717	6597138	YWCA/Project Self-Sufficiency (HP) DA21-1123	05Q	LMC	\$27,388.39
		5719	6597138	Neighborhood House/Homelessness Prevention DA-21-1168	05Q	LMC	\$187,840.88
	25	5395	6463731	CV-OH 2020 Emergency Rental Assistance: Affordable Housing	05Q	LMC	\$1,391,608.35
	31	5412	6470571	OED 2020 COVID19 Seattle Jobs Initiative Employment Support/Training	05H	LMC	\$86,969.88
			6476804	OED 2020 COVID19 Seattle Jobs Initiative Employment Support/Training	05H	LMC	\$153,810.58
	35	5722	6597138	HSD 2021 Interim/Homelessness Prevention DA21-1362	05Q	LMC	\$52,450.80
2021	3	5720	6561040	HSD 2021 DESC Main Shelter DA21-1334	03T	LMC	\$61,501.00
Total							\$6,361,599.86

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19
 Report returned no data.