

April 12, 2022 – Seattle Community Police Commission
Community Engagement Meeting
Chat Transcripts

00:31:08 Zoom user: Red Seattle here of Michael Randall Ealy Social Justice Foundation

00:34:30 Howard Gale: Sometimes theory does not match reality

00:35:15 castill hightower: I agree, Howard. I can attest to that as recently as the last meeting as an impacted family member.

00:35:28 Tyrone Grandison: How do we see all the participants?

00:36:15 Howard Gale: Why can't we see who is in attendance?

00:36:24 Malik Davis: Mr. Monitor does anything prevent you and judge from commenting on the labor contract once both sides have neigioated - you and judge seeing together with appropriate parties. Seattle needs you and judge to HELP us.

00:36:52 Tyrone Grandison: I'm here, Co-Chair Seattle Human Rights Commission

00:37:54 Valerie Schloredt: Are members of the public going to be given time to do Q&A on video?

00:38:56 Nia Franco: Hi Valerie. Yes, you can use the raise hand function on Zoom and a member of staff will make sure you are able to unmute.

00:39:41 CPC Community Engagement: Hi Red, thank you

00:39:57 Nia Franco: Hi Valerie! Yes, you can use the raise hand function on Zoom and a CPC staff member will make sure you are able to unmute.

00:42:08 Valerie Schloredt: Thank you, Nia. I hope that community members will also be able to appear on video while speaking if they choose to turn on their camera.

00:47:36 Sarah Lippek: Hi, Dr. Oftelie - Use of force discovered in the course of an OPA investigation is not currently forwarded or considered by FIT/FRB. This has resulted in a large number of injuries caused by officers at protest events being completely unexamined as uses of force - officers don't report that they have hurt someone, and even if someone makes an OPA complaint that describes serious injury - including Type III force causing permanent loss of functioning - those injuries aren't included in use of force systemic reviews or SPD data. OPA/OIG acknowledged this 'gap' in a joint letter, which I forwarded to you. You did not respond. Protest-related injuries are NOT being counted in your data.

00:48:16 Tyrone Grandison: This is *Julia Ismael*, Co-Chair of the Seattle Human Rights Commission. Commissioner Tyrone Grandison is also present.

00:48:53 Sarah Lippek: Would you also please describe how, exactly, 19,000 complaints were consolidated into 145 incidents? Did OPA put human eyes on each complaint?

00:49:21 Marla K Murdock: In the Use of Force assessment, I would like clarification of the statement in the report - "The balance of protest-related complaints ... and the number of suspensions or resignations (6) raises significant questions. This requires further examinations regarding the efficacy of the overall disciplinary systems" What questions does this raise for you, as the Court Monitor?.

00:49:22 Jerome Drescher: thanks SHRC for being here!

00:49:53 Tyrone Grandison: This is *Tyrone Grandison* SHRC Commissioner

00:49:57 Sarah Lippek: Yes, THANKS, Comissioners!

00:50:54 Sarah Lippek: That "spike" by type is not capturing the large number of people whose injuries were not reported by officers and did not lead to OPA complaints.

00:51:29 Tyrone Grandison: No doubt! We're here.

00:51:49 Tyrone Grandison: Q: How are we ensuring that this data is reliably and consistently captured? (because a captured event here is simply when someone chooses to document .. not when something happens ... it is not automated)

00:52:16 Jerome Drescher: does this data come from SPD use of force reports? was there any effort to collect independent data to verify the accuracy of the data?

00:52:56 Mike McCormick: The comment that SPD hadn't dealt w/ situations like 2020 before, is he talking about dealing w/ large crowds for sustained periods? Because the 1999 Seattle WTO Ministerial was a casebook situation for dealing with crowds and was significant enough that other police depts. studied it.

00:52:57 Tyrone Grandison: Q: Did the tick-up / tick-down occur because of under-reporting / under-documenting or some other reason?

00:53:29 Sarah Lippek: Echoing Jerome - What you're measuring appears to be increases/decreases in SPD use of force REPORTING, with no effort at all to verify that reporting with any other source.

00:53:38 Jerome Drescher: Carolyn blick ands Lippek have both identified serious issues with SPD's force reporting. additionally OPA gives a training referral for not filing use of force reports, which means that officers can choose not to file use of force reports without consequences

00:53:55 Tyrone Grandison: How do you ensure that "Officers always report all uses of force" ?

- 00:53:56 Valerie Schloredt: Exactly to Mike's point. SPD should have learned from the mistakes it made at WTO.
- 00:54:11 Howard Gale: Yes Mike McCormick: not just 2003-2005 anti-war demos, 2011-2012 Occupy, 2014-2016 BLM....
- 00:54:29 Tyrone Grandison: "Supervisors must conduct a comprehensive investigation" ... what is comprehensive defined as?
- 00:55:25 Sarah Lippek: Neither the OPA nor the OIG have ever provided actual oversight or actual consequences for abusive officers.
- 00:55:33 Tyrone Grandison: How do I post a spongebob meme here?
- 00:55:33 Rev.Harriett Walden: Hello Mike McCormick good to see you here tonight.
- 00:55:46 Sarah Lippek: Having them sitting at the table offers zero assurance.
- 00:56:01 Tyrone Grandison: This is a lot of process .. that happens inside SPD ... where there are social and culture concerns that may curb fairness ... how is this being addressed?
- 00:56:49 Howard Gale: You are assuming the SPD documentation is accurate which it is not
- 00:57:48 Tyrone Grandison: All this documentation / data is based on the assumption that the ingest and quality of data is consistent, accurate, and complete (and the data quality is high). We can't make that assumption.
- 00:58:30 Sarah Lippek: I personally know more than 13 people who were injured at the Type III level in 2020.
- 00:58:33 Patricia Goodwin: How can we get officers trained/educated around cultural sensitivity and mental health awareness in communities of color and all over king county
- 00:58:56 Tyrone Grandison: Systemic examination should include all aspects (data is one flawed pillar/aspect in this case). What other aspects are being examined ?
- 00:59:45 Sarah Lippek: Do the 2020 use of force stats include those brought to the attention of the City via civil lawsuits?
- 01:00:04 Valerie Schloredt: And even mire problematic as to how OPA responded to the killing of Mr. Caver.
- 01:00:06 Howard Gale: Sarah Lippek: only 6 TOTAL Type III UOFs were identified in this report that were protest related! Clearly absurd.

01:00:54 Tyrone Grandison: Self-reported data is going to be biased if reporting may negatively impact one's career / promotion / etc ... so why are you using these pieces of self-reported data items as "ground truth" for any analysis ?

01:01:04 Tyrone Grandison: I'm here mainly for the comments.

01:01:18 Mahkyra Gaines: ^^^

01:02:28 castill hightower: Same

01:03:08 Sarah Lippek: Permanent hearing loss is Type III. Loss of use of a finger or hand is Type III. ANY use of force that is a potential criminal violation is Type III. Officers caused literally dozens, possibly hundreds, of these injuries during the 2020 police assault spree.

01:03:43 Marla K Murdock: It is all good to state that CPC should look at accountability issues, but CPC has no subpoena power and the majority of the time it appears that information they provide to the city governing and accountability bodies is disregarded and not followed through on.

01:04:01 Tyrone Grandison: Distribution of the force: What about the cultural beliefs and social conditioning of the force? That would seem more relevant. A culture of xenophobia mixed with power and authority is an intoxicating mix. I won't state the obvious.

01:04:49 Sarah Lippek: Again - there has been 'progress' in what the police have elected to write down. There is zero indication that the reporting is accurate, complete, or consistent.

01:04:52 Alex Buijs: Hello everyone. Thank you for inviting myself and Antonio from the WSCJTC LETCSA department (originally I-940). We are happy to be here and observing your meeting!

01:05:09 Howard Gale: Monitor is a fundamental part of this system and cannot do an independent review of the system. We need an outside entity

01:05:37 CPC Community Engagement: Thank you for being here Alex and Antonio!

01:05:50 Tyrone Grandison: feels like the anti-bias should be #1.... but that's just me.

01:05:54 Amy Sundberg: I agree that basing these reports solely on police-reported data is highly problematic.

01:06:36 Howard Gale: Marla Murdock: simply not true. the CPC has hidden things from the public & routinely gone against what the public has asked of it. The CPC said nothing for over 3 months when Terry Caver was murdered by SPD

01:06:45 Tyrone Grandison: Monitoring Plan Action feels like patches (aka fixing visible manifestations of a disease) rather than solving root cause issues.

01:06:45 Jerome Drescher: I'd like to highlight that there is a vast discrepancy between how SPD policy is presented to the community vs how it is interpreted in OPA investigations, to the point that

policy is almost meaningless. I don't think it is appropriate to highlight the policy when it isn't actually applied

01:07:05 Sarah Lippek: The Sentinel Event Review committee that is reviewing 2020 protests includes at least three officers who are themselves personally implicated in hurting people at protests or giving orders to do so against policy.

01:07:16 Tyrone Grandison: The base assumption of a broken system exists and we are trying to take steps to make it marginally better

01:07:32 CPC Community Engagement: Hi Tyrone. Thank you being here tonight. I appreciate your participation, and I would love to have a broader conversation with you to register your concerns

01:07:46 Sarah Lippek: We don't need innovative new ideas when the regular old ideas - like actual consequences for abusive officers - haven't been attempted.

01:08:11 Tyrone Grandison: @julia Ismael has questions

01:08:37 Amy Sundberg: I have also seen CPC's recommendations being ignored by other governmental bodies, don't see what's not true about that. They also don't have subpoena power.

01:09:22 Zoom user: They got Me last May 1. We were out in front of the Olive Street starbucks. Iff to My near right they did something so quickly I neverknew what hit Me.I was holdingMy bike by the brakes in. I waent down,got a small injury and the bicycle was broken. I went straight to thebuke shoppe.Of cuorse bo recourse

01:10:57 Howard Gale: Amy Sundberg: re-read my comment -- regardless of what has been ignored by the City the CPC has ignored repeated community demands, e.g. end having police investigate police (in Feb/March 2021 the CPC voted unanimously to continue having police investigate police)

01:11:05 Nia Franco: Tyrone, is Julia logged in under a different name? Can they use the raise hand function?

01:11:27 Valerie Schloredt: Thank you, Sarah Lippek. The make-up of the SER panel is not representative of the community. It was selected by a planning group of people working within the reform bureaucracy—OIG, CPC, etc. There was no open invitation to the public to take part in the SER panel. Dr. Oftelie, you say nice things about the SER in your report. I would like the federal court to know that the people most impacted by SPD conduct were not given an opportunity to be on the panel.

01:11:39 Tyrone Grandison: The last SPD contract undermined a lot of the accountability measures how are you going to prevent that in future?

01:12:11 Tyrone Grandison: @Nia Franco, it looks like we are logged under the same name

01:12:12 Valerie Schloredt: 4 or 5 days was not enough

01:12:17 Tyrone Grandison: thank goodness she can read fast

01:12:27 Jerome Drescher: I'd like to reiterate my question regarding if the monitor is using use of force data reported by SPD and if there has been any independent verification of this data

01:12:45 Amy Sundberg: Yes, I did read your comment. But however you might feel about the actions of the CPC, it is also true that their recommendations are often ignored and that they lack subpoena power. Nothing gained jumping down people's throats because they're making a different point than yours.

01:13:03 Howard Gale: Jerome Drescher is correct: why are we trusting SPD data?

01:13:14 Sarah Lippek: Dr. Oftelie and Mr. Ward - I note that your press release today in which you characterize our meeting fails to note that Dr. Oftelie claimed, here in a public CPC meeting, that there is an active FBI investigation into SPD abuse of homeless young people. When you asked me to meet with you, you told me that you had "forwarded my information to the FBI" months ago. I asked you, in writing and in the meeting, to provide me with the name of your FBI contact for that investigation, and you have not responded. Is it true that there is an actual investigation into police sexual abuse?

01:13:52 Shayleen Morris: The questions in the chat are being captured in order and will be asked by CPC staff after questions are asked by those who have their hands raised. Any questions that we may not get to will be sent to the Monitor team!

01:15:52 Jerome Drescher: thanks Agnes! great comments!

01:16:05 Patricia Goodwin: How can we get officers trained/educated around cultural sensitivity and mental health awareness in communities of color and all over king county

01:16:53 Howard Gale: Why would anyone trust these SPD stats? I have specific examples where there is simply missing data

01:16:54 Nia Franco: @Tyrone, could one of you rename yourself so I can make sure we unmute the correct person? Thanks!

01:16:57 Tyrone Grandison: Thank you for checking on me. I prefer to listen to others first, so please ensure I have a few minutes at the end of the Q&A if able.

01:17:47 Tyrone Grandison: I tried to rename, but no function. Just unmute both and no doubt we can figure it out!

01:18:07 Tyrone Grandison: meeting link was valuable resource, hence the multiple sign ins.

01:18:43 Tyrone Grandison: @Nia do you see a difference now ?

01:19:37 Nia Franco: @Tyrone, no, I'm sorry, I don't. I will make sure that we work through it when it is Julia's turn

01:20:37 Valerie Schloredt: As someone who had high hopes for the CPC when I first started following this, before the consent decree, I became very disappointed. Regardless of institutional power, we all have personal power and the power of whatever platform we have. CPC has an important public platform. I've sat through many meetings when OPA and OIG presented really horrible circular logic to the CPC. Commissioners seldom asked hard questions, and sometimes asked no questions at all. There was more they could have done, said, and asked. I've asked the CPC many times over the years, respectfully, to take a more pro-active stance. Usually to no avail. It has been very disheartening.

01:20:41 Sarah Lippek: I also alerted you, in the same meeting, to data held by the City regarding sexual harassment and abuse of vulnerable populations that was provided to the City during the community survey work - I have heard nothing from you about efforts to locate those records. I inquired, additionally, about specific OPA files including one that included confidential informant information about officers on the vice squad - again, records held by the City. I also specifically pointed to the case of Captain Randall Woolery, who was arrested for sexual misconduct, and apparently allowed to retire with full pension before any completed inquiry into his misconduct. There was a total lack of investigation into his role on the force review board, or in OPA, or as a command chain supervisor - he had a huge role in "accountability" and betrayed his own duties, but there was no attempt to look at his accountability-related decisions or his effects on the integrity of that system.

01:22:13 Sarah Lippek: So my question is - will there be any inquiry by any internal or external body into the EXISTING, known reports of sexual abuse by officers? In the records already held by the City?

01:23:54 Carol Haffar: Large protests are compared and contrasted, let's begin with SPD's experience with the WTO protests. Wasn't data collected at that time? Then, Protests after George Floyd's murder, approx May 29&30, June 4-8, and BLM March of Silence June 12. Please describe police presence at the June 12 March of Silence, and can you make informative comparisons to the other 3, and key differences in police response? What may have been instructive? Thank you.

01:23:55 Nia Franco: @Tyrone & Julia, when it is Julia's turn I will unmute both Tyrone Grandisons at that point Tyrone, you can just mute yourself.

01:24:30 Tyrone Grandison: @nia perfect

01:25:12 Tyrone Grandison: Thank you @Nia. As I mentioned, we prefer to listen first and opt to go last. Thank you.

01:26:28 Howard Gale: The union contracts are a distraction: Newark & Nashville got around, the former by going to court & the latter by having a citizens initiative that gained overwhelming support causing the police unions to back off

01:28:16 Valerie Schloredt: Yes, there are things people of the city can do. We don't have to just be hostages of SPOG contract.

01:29:02 Carol Haffar: How does police contract language effect adjudication in officer involved shooting resulting in death? How does police contract language effect legislating police accountability?

01:29:32 Sarah Lippek: I went into a meeting with you in good faith, to ask for how community experiences of sexual abuse by SPD could be safely brought to the attention of the consent decree court. I asked for guidance and assurances that information provided to you would be taken seriously, and that people brave enough to come forward would have some form of protection from police retaliation. It is disappointing that you made a press prelease, with my name and that of a colleague, in order to disavow any responsibility for any further action, rather than continuing a conversation about constructive collaboration.

01:30:14 Zoom user: Rogue...

01:30:28 Shannon Cheng: Collective bargaining for public sector unions in Washington are governed by state law. A lot of the frustrations we run into with not having insight into these critical negotiations is because of state law. Passing a citizens initiative here could show the will of the people, but unless state law is changed, it would still have to go through bargaining with the police guilds. It may have worked in Newark and Nashville, but those are not in Washington state. King County passed a 2015 Charter Amendment giving OLEO more oversight power, but it was hindered by the most recent KCPOG contract. The contracts and/or the laws governing collective bargaining absolutely are important in making progress on the police accountability front.

01:32:02 Carol Haffar: RE: SPOG contract language. Does SPOG retain legal teams?

01:32:59 Sarah Lippek: Dr. Oftelie, I already emailed it to you. I will do so again.

01:33:00 Valerie Schloredt: Of course the contract is a problem and city council needs to stand up to SPOG. But the OPA and OIG are not functioning properly and are not delivering real accountability. We could do better.

01:33:09 Jerome Drescher: it is very disappointing that the monitor did not voice support of the state legislation that would have prohibited police unions bargaining on accountability. this would be an opportunity for the monitor in the future

01:33:35 Sarah Lippek: Point of process question - How can attendees get a copy of this chat?

01:33:53 Valerie Schloredt: yes

01:34:22 Mike McCormick: I second the motion for a copy of the chat.

01:36:30 Carol Haffar: When was data set taken prior to DOJ's determination of patern and practice of (unconstitutional policing).

01:36:52 Sarah Lippek: Another point of process question - Why is it that each 'public engagement' meeting by the CPC get less and less public? We can't see who is here, or even how many

people are here. We can't sign up for comment or see who else has. I just tried to raise my hand and no one seemed to notice - which makes me wonder who else may have attempted to raise their hands. The way this is set up does not allow for orderly, transparent provision of comment by the public.

01:37:43 Jerome Drescher: I'll also highlight that I filed an OPA complaint resulting from a protest and never saw a case summary released

01:40:14 Tyrone Grandison: For those here, is it possible to let us know in the chat that you are present?

01:40:56 Zoom user: Sure-Red Seattle of MRESJF

01:41:11 Nia Franco: For those looking for the chat it will be posted on the CPC website in the coming days

01:41:46 Agnes Govern: Thank you. Can you also post the powerpoint

01:42:49 Sarah Lippek: Thank you, Nia - Please also post the recording of the meeting, the chat, and the powerpoint 😊

01:44:10 Mike McCormick: TY Nia!

01:44:19 Nia Franco: @Sarah, yes we will bundle them all together along with the assessment!

01:44:42 Amy Sundberg: Thanks for breaking that down, Howard, I was wondering who was on that panel.

01:44:50 Valerie Schloredt: At this time point, Dr Gale's comments on the non-representative make-up of the SER planning group and panel.

01:49:25 Howard Gale: It would advance the conversation w/police unions to have 70-80% of people in Seattle vote for fully civilianized police oversight -- that would be the voice of the people not politicians. Let's see if SPOG rejects a popular vote. Also, like Newark, the unions can be taken to court on the basis of accountability & discipline not being bargainable

01:49:32 Malik Davis: Monitor and Judge helping us on contract.

01:50:03 Malik Davis: Monitor and Judge critiquing SEATTLE leadership...WHAT WE ARE DOING AND NOT DOING

01:50:12 Zoom user: Love it

01:51:01 Malik Davis: Monitor and Judge need to tell CPC, OPA, OIG, City Council, Mayor TO TALK TO EACH OTHER

01:51:30 Malik Davis: This accountability structure is hurting cause city leadership AINT talking to each other.

01:51:41 Agnes Govern: Echo Malik's call for Federal Court (Judge and Monitor) help us with the new contracts - as Dr. Oftelie said, there is so much riding on the contracts. Let's be proactive !

01:52:28 Valerie Schloredt: I'm not sure they can or would weigh in on the contracts, Agnes and Malik. Best to check if they can under WA labor law.

01:52:30 Malik Davis: Mr. Monitor,....please write editorial with examples of what City Council needs to do to support CPC.

01:53:04 Malik Davis: Mr. Monitor...did you just say TRANSPARENCY?

01:53:07 Malik Davis: Say again

01:53:16 Agnes Govern: DOJ representative on one of the previous calls, asked if SPD could have a session with the community on understanding their data collection efforts. Let's build credibility and improve the data collection so it meets community expectations, not just meet constitutional requirements

01:53:21 CPC Community Engagement: Do you still want to ask a question

01:53:23 castill hightower: How egregiously irresponsible and insulting to Dr. Gale, the community, impacted people and impacted families for this refusal to at least classify those lives, those humans beings, those dearly loved community members appropriately

01:53:52 Sarah Lippek: I can't unmute myself by myself

01:54:10 brandy grant: @sarahlippe I wanted to respond to your process question--public engagement meetings. To enhance the security of the CPC Community Engagement meetings, we enabled features such as webinar registration and designating speakers due to a complete security breach and harmful meeting 2/8/22. It is not our intention to not be open and transparent, but we have to host meetings that are not overran with inappropriate images and language to cause further harm to already sensitive topics. Thank you

01:54:38 castill hightower: I was impacted by police violence I left for a second but I am here now

01:54:55 Valerie Schloredt: Castill's hand is up

01:55:02 Tyrone Grandison: speak, Castill. and many thanks to Sarah Lippek.

01:55:03 Howard Gale: PLEASE CALL ON CASTILL WHO IS AN IMPACTED PERSON

01:55:29 Jerome Drescher: I want to be honest in that I found that answer seriously concerning. Myself and several other people in the chat and the meeting have brought up serious

concerns about the validity about SPD's data. This has been well documented by the Emerald for some time now.

01:56:47 Jerome Drescher: if I'm being honest I also found Mr oftelie's answer somewhat condescending - there are many practical avenues the monitor could have used to attempt to validate the data and I would have appreciated if Mr oftelie would have been honest about the fact that they failed to do this rather than suggest that it isn't practical

01:57:25 Sarah Mccann: Jerome please expound on the solutions you have- that would be wonderful to know.

01:57:55 Malik Davis: Mr. Monitor - please send your observations on disjointed leadership in Seattle to Mayor, City Council. SPEAK truth to power. leadership is missing.,

01:58:06 Dr. Tameka Hammond: Q: MO of naked Person Terry; being naked in public is illegal, if use of force was use was it due to a disease that's illegal to transfer to others in the society or community. As a military retiree that would be a legal right to protect yourselves if the person or person's have for example HIV/ aids with no clothing, with a warning given verbally the uniformed person has a right to protect there selves from high risks community based people by using there weapon to prevent harm to there well being. Example fear of getting the disease doesn't make it okay to shot them but use of force may be used to prevent the passing of the disease to uniformed armed employees who always have rights to protect there selves from STD that there are no cures for. Or did this change as well?

01:58:25 Jerome Drescher: The obvious one would be to do limited community surveys about if people have experienced force and compare to SPD data.

01:58:44 Jerome Drescher: if the monitor needs more ideas on this please contact me at drescj@gmail.com

01:58:51 Tyrone Grandison: Heard.

01:58:53 Valerie Schloredt: No, being naked in public in Seattle is not illegal. Check it out.

01:59:29 Jerome Drescher: I could also like to request that CPC notify Judge Robart to alert him that the monitor is using data that cannot be verified and that is likely suspect.

01:59:33 Tyrone Grandison: Right now, in this moment, it is hers.

02:00:20 Malik Davis: Mr. Monitor. After that information packed 2nd Wave OIG report, can you say with confidence that recommendations are being discussed in other venues - Mayors Office, City Council committee on Public safety, CPC.....nothing I have seen reflects any leadership on OIG recommendations.

02:00:21 Howard Gale: re: JD: there should be in depth spot-checks of data & independent audits

02:00:29 Tyrone Grandison: I will raise my hand now, please call on me last?

02:01:36 Tyrone Grandison: How do we move from conversation (we been having lots of those) to action and accountability? A lot of us have conversation fatigue. It has all been talked about extensively before (whether it is with you or you heard it .. is a different question)

02:01:37 Jerome Drescher: I don't mean to be glib but verifying this sort of data is routine among most disciplines that use data to inform decision making.

02:02:23 Malik Davis: No, CM Della

02:03:19 Howard Gale: You are not glib Jerome: I work in research & auditing data & seeing how close it reflects reality is normal

02:03:29 Malik Davis: can I say something

02:03:31 Howard Gale: new hand

02:05:37 Shannon Cheng: If the Monitor did auditing of the data, why is that not covered in the assessment?

02:07:43 CPC Community Engagement: David did you have another question

02:09:46 Tyrone Grandison: I didn't realize it's personal...sorry Howard. I know you speak for others as well.

02:09:52 David Della: No further questions, thank you.

02:10:11 Valerie Schloredt: Echo that the SER process in Seattle is not worthy of your praise. We've established in this conversation—and it is in the OIG documents—that it was not open, transparent, and representative.

02:10:39 Howard Gale: NO! not policy & practice ACCOUNTABILITY

02:10:42 Rev.Harriett Walden: Thank you David Delia.

02:10:44 Malik Davis: can I say something

02:10:50 Malik Davis: I am

02:10:59 Valerie Schloredt: Folks can dig out OIG docs and see who was in the SER planning group and panel.

02:11:46 Tyrone Grandison: Listening...

02:12:45 Zoom user: Yes !

02:14:14 Rev.Harriett Walden: The Judge ordered the City work with CPC and other parties, the former Mayor never respond

02:14:19 Howard Gale: I invite all people who desire an end to a self-referential system of self-serving data to join with the Seattle Human Rights Commission Criminal Justice Task Force to help gather the stories & voices of those hidden by data

02:14:23 Tyrone Grandison: The Mayor and his team are negotiating on the City's behalf. What is the Monitor and CPC doing to ensure that their negotiation baseline includes accountability

02:15:09 Agnes Govern: Dr. Oftelie - Rather than the Judge "signal" what they want to say, can the Judge simply state what has to be included (like contract must be consistent with the 2017 Accountability Ordinance passed by the Council)

02:16:28 Amy Sundberg: Once the contract is made public but before the City Council votes on it, would it be possible for Court Monitor Oftelie and/or Judge Robert to comment then? At that point the contract is no longer secret.

02:17:23 Agnes Govern: Thank you, Reverend Walden.

02:17:24 Howard Gale: Data is not reality. Join the Seattle Human Right Commission to up people over data and accountability over accounting.

02:17:59 Tyrone Grandison: Human Rights Commission currently is recruiting 10 Commissioners. Facts.

02:20:16 Howard Gale: Amy Sundberg: it would be a violation of state law & case law to have any 3rd party weigh in on the contracts validity

02:20:35 Howard Gale: before it is voted on

02:20:55 Amy Sundberg: So they couldn't express an opinion then? Am I understanding that correctly?

02:21:16 Malik Davis: please mute me

02:24:02 Carol Haffar: Access to legal opinion must be public. Why wouldn't it be? If each member of the public had access to legal teams...that's the point we don't. Each time a legal obstacle is created for even one member of the public the system gets much more difficult to participate in, to be effectuate a change in time to matter.

02:24:47 Howard Gale: Amy: a union contract is between two parties, so both parties would have to vote & then a court could weigh in, but Judge Robart has made clear case law on federal courts rejecting parts of a contract is very unclear (barring a contract provision that, in and of itself, be unconstitutional)

- 02:25:22 Arthur Aldrich: Last July the CPC made a filing with the Court overseeing the Consent Decree to request a role for the Monitor in negotiations with the police unions:
<https://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/CommunityPoliceCommission/2021-07-27%20CPC%20dkt%20676.pdf>.
The Judge spoke to this in August, here's a news article with his verbal comments about the request:
<https://publicola.com/2021/08/11/federal-judge-doesnt-see-path-yet-towards-ending-consent-decree/>.
(The CPC filing also spoke to revisiting the prior order for a plan to establish compliance)
- 02:26:10 Valerie Schloredt: Thanks. We really need the chat to be preserved and published by CPC. Please.
- 02:26:42 Howard Gale: 2nd problem w/Judge Robart weighing in is that he never had a "finding of facts" which opens the door for SPOG/SPMA to sue & demand the court have a finding of fact
- 02:26:43 Shayleen Morris: I am capturing all questions and the chat will be saved and made publicly available.
- 02:30:33 Howard Gale: The biggest problem with focusing on police union contract: if the 2017 Seattle police accountability law was 100% adopted by the union it would make no difference since police still investigate police, and the system has no community safeguards as they have in Newark, Nashville, Oakland, etc.
- 02:33:33 Howard Gale: Yes Julia! Will the CPC document to the court the failings of the system & those harmed who have seen no justice or accountability
- 02:33:42 Rev. Harriett Walden: We can not write the judge, the judge has order us Court
- 02:33:44 Howard Gale: I invite all people who desire an end to a self-referential system of self-serving data to join with the Seattle Human Rights Commission Criminal Justice Task Force to help gather the stories & voices of those hidden by data
- 02:33:50 Tyrone Grandison: Seattle Human Rights Commission Criminal Justice Task Force meeting on Monday, April 25th, 2022 from 6:30pm - 7:30pm
<https://seattle.webex.com/seattle/j.php?MTID=m1bfe14fcedf7a5dadcf0de3d1fb77e8f> Email Marta.Idowu@seattle.gov for information
- 02:34:13 Valerie Schloredt: Thank you, Julia.
- 02:35:11 Valerie Schloredt: But CPC does write reports that it submits to the court, doesn't it?
- 02:35:36 Howard Gale: The CPC can respond with exactly what Julia is asking in the context of responding to the Monitor filing
- 02:35:43 brandy grant: Thank you, Julia
- 02:35:59 Julia Ismael: Thank you kindly for the information, Rev. Walden.

- 02:36:55 Julia Ismael: Thank you Ron. its just the beginning @ Felicia, looking forward.
- 02:37:03 Howard Gale: I do believe that legally the CPC can respond with exactly what Julia is asking in the context of responding to the Monitor filing
- 02:37:28 Arthur Aldrich: Here is the Court's response to the CPC's motion in 2021:
<https://herbold.seattle.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Dkt-681-Minute-Order-2021-08-04.pdf>,
noting the CPC is not a party (to the Consent Decree) and therefore not entitled to file motions.
- 02:37:37 brandy grant: Dr Gale that is correct we can respond to the Monitors filing
- 02:37:53 Valerie Schloredt: Please make the chat available for the public.
- 02:38:01 Howard Gale: Thank you Brandy.
- 02:38:04 Julia Ismael: to attend our task force meetings on the 2nd and 4th Tuesdays.
- 02:38:25 Julia Ismael: marta.idowu@seattle.gov (all are forwarded to me)