# **Rate Design Themes – Public Feedback**

Theme	Suggestions (Source)	Discussion
Offering Customer Choice	Offer multiple rate options like default Time of use (TOU), traditional flat kWh rate, market	Try rate pilots? (How long?)
	rate etc - Keller	Should we offer opt-in pricing?
	Offer choices to customers -Meade	What pricing options to consider?
	Offer Market or Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) pricing - Keller	TOU, flat rate, market rate, super
	Gradualism with options for early adopters -Keller	green, etc.
	Don't offer short pilot projects because these don't support financing - Keller	
	Offer demand response/interruptible rate – Manufacturing and Industrial Council (MIC)	
	Offer green premium power option - Harmon	
Time-based Rates	Expand use of TOU rates - Price, King County (KC)	Offer TOU rates?
	TOU rates should be default rate - Keller	For some customers or all?
	Introduce critical peak pricing - Keller, NW Energy Coalition (NWEC)	
	Need bigger gap between off peak and peak rates to incentivize heating and charging off peak	
	(4¢ off-peak, 15¢ peak/off-peak gap) - Keller	Look at tradeoffs between TOU
	TOU rates don't help us because we have a flat load profile - Sabey	and demand charges?
	I don't know if time of use rates are appropriate for SCL - Harmon	
Demand Charges	Demand charges very low and energy charges are high compared to other places - Sabey, KC	
	Demand charges are bad. People don't understand, hard to translate to behavior/investment -	
	Keller	
	Differentiate flat and variable commercial loads, flat load profile customers should get lower	
	rates – Sound Transit (ST)	
Residential Block Rates	Make first block bigger, reconsider size - NWEC, Karp	
	Cap second block and add third block - NWEC	
	Two-block residential rate disincentivizes residences from switching fuel to electricity -Keller	
Fixed Charges	Resist allure of high customer charge, not transformative - Price	
	Customer charge should only cover costs related to customers- analyze costs included - NWEC	
	Consider changing fixed charges to min charge like Arizona - Keller	
	Keep basic customer charge low because high fixed charges hurt low income - NWEC, Karp	

	Higher fixed charges may solve a short-term problem, but they increase long run marginal	
	costs because they reduce energy efficiency (EE) and distributed generation (DG). SCL does not	
	need to increase fixed charges to protect itself from competition - Harmon	
Decoupling	Expand Rate Stabilization Account (RSA) to residential - Price, NWEC	
	RSA for commercial/decoupling for residential - Price, NWEC, Harmon	
	The best way to get stable rates is decoupling and aggressive EE - Harmon	
	No decoupling, especially not for industrials - MIC	
Change Customer Classes	General service rate class divisions cause inefficient behavior. Consider creative policy (e.g.	
	narrow gap, grace period, rachet) to eliminate this barrier to customers making efficiency	
	investments - KC	
	Consider cost of service (COS) difference between single- family (SF) and multi-family (MF),	
	should they be separate rate classes? - NWEC	
Incentive	Two-block residential rate disincentivizes switching to electricity from fossil fuels - Keller	
Decarbonization/	Rate design should incentivize electrification, e.g. lower rate (1 <sup>st</sup> block) for adopters of	
Electrification	electrification, e.g. heat pump - Keller	
	Transit rate, e.g. fleet buses – encourage transportation electrification - ST	
	Rates to facilitate transportation electrification and decarbonization - Price	
	Align rate design principles with climate goals for city: carbon neutrality - Keller	
	Current rate design shelters customers from what is going on, customers want to do more -	
	Keller	
Incentivize	Separate rates for industrial (?)	
Economic/Social(?)	Rates to encourage small businesses (e.g. free energy for 3 years) - Latino Chamber	
Outcomes	Public agency rate - KC	
	Competitive rates needed for industrials to be competitive in global market - MIC	
Outside Scope of Rate Rede	esign Initiative or Phase II (proposed)	
Valuation of efficiency and	Study non-wire solutions (including from 3 <sup>rd</sup> parties) to address system improvement needs (U-	
DER	District) - Keller	
	Revalue energy efficiency as a foundation for a distributed energy resource future - Price	
	Consider micro grids - Brombaugh	
Prepare for disruption and	Look to behind the meter services - Meade	
decentralized grid	Public/private partnership - Meade	
-	Prepare for distributed energy resources (DERs) - Price	
	Allow those generating power to sell it directly to other customers - Sabey, Meade	
Suburban Franchise Cities	Higher Tukwila rates should be same as Seattle - Sabey	

	Are franchise city customers being double taxed? - Sabey	
	Suburban rates should subsidize Seattle City rates - voters should get lion's share of benefits of	
	public utility - NWEC	
	Cost of service in Suburbs might be higher, they should get a higher rate - NWEC	
Consider impact on low	Rate design could have negative impacts on low income - Karp	
income households	Do not pit low income against DG and environmental interests - Karp	
	Redesign the Utility Discount Program (UDP), sliding scale - Karp	
	Prioritize whole house weatherization - Karp	
Other	Seattle should be a leader on rate design (?)	
	Analyze why Puget Sound Energy (PSE) rates are different (Res 85% of PSE, Industrial 76% of	
	PSE)	
Communication/education	Education is essential element if one wishes to help folks understand that when the weather	
	changes, so will their energy bills - Harmon	
Managing capital and	1.5x debt coverage ratio - NWEC	
financing	Lower capital requirements - Price, Karp	
Managing revenue	Long-term revenue requirement is more critical than rate design - Price	
requirement		
Industrial installation	Paid for feeders back to substation, not recognized in rates? (Sabey)	
charges		
Rooftop solar policy	Offer large scale net metering (Sabey)	
RSA sizing (liquidity)	Reduce the size of the RSA (MIC)	
Efficiency programs	Decoupling does not solve the "lost unit" issue with EE and DG. MEETS (EEaas) does solve	
	those issues and should be expanded significantly and soon. (Harmon)	

#### **Other Information**

## Rate Design Research Summary ESOURCE data (presented to Panel on 9.25.18)

#### Feedback on Rate Design (Residential Customers)

- 1. Greed and mistrust drives prices: electricity is perceived as a basic necessity with limited competition. As a result many utility customers believe price fluctuations are driven by greed.
- 2. Dedicated deal hunters. Many participants had a strong deal-hunting mentality. They believe that "every penny counts" and are willing to put in some extra effort in order to find the best deal.
- 3. Power outages are increasingly disruptive. More disruptive, costly, and painful today than in the past because our work and play is increasingly digital.
- 4. Fairness of energy pricing is polarizing. Some consumers believe they're being charged clearly and fairly for energy. However another group lacks clarity and understanding on how energy pricing works.
- 5. Willing to partner with utilities to save. Customer are willing to sacrifice some level of convenience or put in extra effort to save money. The general idea of helping energy utilities conserve in exchange for savings was universally popular.
- 6. Spotlight on peak time energy programs. Customer don't like the idea of peak-time programs because they have to give up too much control, especially those who stay home during the day.
- 7. Spotlight on TOU programs. TOU programs piqued interest because they provide more control over how/when savings occur. Some would like to see "flash sales" where they could partake in an energy "sale". (But wouldn't be tied to the program 24/7)
- 8. Resistance and hesitation to try new rate design. Some people are enrolled in special rate programs but feel the process of how it works was not properly explained to them; or they don't clearly see how they benefit or a direct impact on their bill.

# City Light Specific Customer Feedback (From 9.25.18 Rate Design Research Summary presentation)

- 1. No relationship with my utility: autopay, basic
- 2. Relationship is a bill to be paid
- 3. Don't have my best interest at heart
- 4. Make people feel bad for using electricity (cold weather)
- 5. Good when they help me save energy/money
- 6. Pretty quick with outages
- 7. Billing: want email/less paper, autopay, wish I could use a credit card
- 8. Want to know where my electricity is coming from
- 9. Simplify language, messaging, line items
- 10. Wish it were cheaper

## Rate Design Concepts (From 9.25.18 Rate Design Research Summary presentation)

- 1. Bill redesign. How can we create a bill that contains information consumers find valuable/informative?
- 2. Choice design. Customers resent utility monopoly, feel they can't do anything about the rates we set. How might we design billing options to help customers feel empowered?
- 3. Rewards for rate or bill engagement. Can we reward customers for behaviors that are valuable? (e.g. reward programs)
- 4. Community rewards. How can we create communities around energy?
- 5. Energy as a service. Sell end use, cell phone model, leverage data. (Warm house, cold beer)

https://rmi.org/blog\_2016\_05\_17\_moving\_to\_better\_rate\_design/

#### More sources of info coming:

**EPRI** Research

Cuthbert Utility Survey

LPPC RDWG Meeting (Nov 29-30)