



# **SDOT Director's Rule for Pedestrian Mobility in and Around Work Sites SDOT DR-10-2015**

**Seattle, Washington**

**SEPA Checklist**

November 5, 2015

**STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

**A. BACKGROUND:**

**1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:**

SDOT Director's Rule for Pedestrian Mobility in and Around Work Sites, SDOT 10-2015

**2. Name of Applicant:**

City of Seattle

**3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:**

LeAnne Nelson  
(206) 684-3897

City of Seattle Department of Transportation  
700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300  
P.O. Box 34996  
Seattle, Washington 98124-4996

**4. Date checklist prepared:**

November 5, 2015

**5. Agency requesting checklist:**

City of Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT)

**6. Proposed timing or schedule (include phasing if applicable):**

SDOT plans to implement the Director's Rule beginning on January 1, 2016

**7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansions, or further activities related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:**

No, there are no plans for future activities related to this proposal.

**8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal:**

There is no additional environmental information that has been prepared or will be prepared related to this proposal.

**9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:**

Not applicable.

**10. List any governmental approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known:**

The proposed Director's Rule will require adoption by the SDOT Director. Individual proposals for maintaining pedestrian access around projects will require a Street Use permit authorizing the use of the public place.

**11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site.**

The Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) is proposing a revised Director's Rule for Pedestrian Mobility in and Around Work Zones (SDOT DR 10-2015). The expanded rule emphasizes sidewalk closures as a last resort, when there is no other reasonable solution to keep a public walkway open. The newly updated rule establishes standards for meeting Seattle Municipal Code requirements, including materials, their placement, and steps to ensure American Disability Act (ADA) compliance. The new rule may be applied to all types of permits issued under SMC Title 15 for use of public right of way, not just those issued for construction projects. Prior to issuing permits SDOT will consider the impacts of all other projects within any proposal's area of influence.

SMC 15.04.035 allows the Director broad authority to condition permits in order to allow for safety and to promote transportation purposes. In accordance with that authority, this Director's Rule interprets the provisions in SMC Title 15, Street and Sidewalk Use, which address how Street Use permits may be conditioned to maintain pedestrian safety and mobility in the right of way.

The new rule would replace SDOT Director's Rule 1-2011. The major differences between the rules are listed below. The new rule:

1. Expands mobility protection and coordination to cover all right of way activities governed by SMC Title 15 and permitted by the SDOT Director. The old rule was restricted to setting conditions for the control of construction projects governed by Section 22 of Title 15. For instance, the updated pedestrian mobility requirements will now be included in franchise utility work and façade repair permits that allow private use of the right of way. When conditioning street use permits, coordination with all project types within the impact area of each project is mandated.
2. Specifies materials and material placement for pedestrian protection for the preferred path, reroutes and detours. These include calling for impact-rated barriers to protect pedestrians around construction sites, and eliminating the orange tube delineators known as candlesticks as an option on arterials.
3. The new rule emphasizes the need to maintain ADA compliant pedestrian access in all cases.
4. Re-organizes the listing of pedestrian safety standards in relation to issuing permits for Open Walkways, Reroutes, Detours, Corner Work, Covered Walkways and Scaffolding.
5. Defines the "preferred method of maintaining access" as maintaining ADA compliant passage, "re-route" as maintaining an ADA compliant passage in a right of way adjacent to the work area and "detour" as sidewalk closure adjacent to the work area with signage and ADA compliant barricades directing pedestrians to alternative routes.
6. Gives a framework to condition permits to limit the hours that pedestrian access is altered and limit the number of days that restriction can remain in place. The applicant must provide rationale for requesting altered pedestrian access and justify the time period(s) requested.
7. Requires pedestrian accommodation on all sides of the project, not just those on the side of the project with the highest use.
8. Specifies different materials for construction of Pedestrian Re-Routes in Downtown and Urban Villages and different standards for Pedestrian Reroutes for Downtown & Urban Village arterials and non-arterials.

9. Conditions permits based on proposed use, safety needs, the area of influence the project has on pedestrian access, and the location of sensitive receptors as determined by the Director, as opposed to the set distances listed in DR 1-2011.
  10. Discontinues mobility credits for simply keeping pedestrian access on the same side of the street as construction since this is the new standard requirement.
12. **Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.**

This is a non-project proposal that would apply within all of the City of Seattle right of way.

**B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS:**

**1. Earth**

- a. General description of site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other.**

Not applicable. This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way for which Street Use permits are issued. Seattle's public right of way exists on flat, rolling, hilly and steep slopes.

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- e. Describe the purpose, type and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction or use? If so, generally describe.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

**h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:**

No measures are proposed as this non-project proposal will not result in any impacts to the earth.

**2. Air**

**a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

**b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

**c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:**

No measures are proposed as this non-project proposal will not result in any impacts to air.

**3. Water**

**a. Surface Water:**

**1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.**

Several water bodies are near public rights of way within the City of Seattle, including but not limited to Lake Washington, the Duwamish River, Lake Union, Portage Bay, Green Lake, Bitter Lake, Haller Lake, Elliott Bay, and numerous creeks and streams.

**2) Will the project require any work over, in or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.**

This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way for which Street Use permits are issued. While the proposed rule will influence the pedestrian mobility requirements for individual projects adjacent to waterbodies, it will not impact permit conditions that are currently required for projects located near waterbodies.

**3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

**4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

**5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground for septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground or runoff water impacts, if any:

No measures are proposed as this non-project proposal will not result in any impacts to water.

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

Shrubs

Grass

Pasture

Crop or grain

Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other

Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

Other types of vegetation

Most terrestrial vegetation types listed above could be found in the planting strip or other unpaved portions of the City of Seattle public right of way.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

The proposed rule may result in more frequent temporary removal of planting strip vegetation to install pedestrian paths during construction. While planting strip removal is allowed under the existing rule, it may happen more often under the new rule since the priority for types of pedestrian access are more clearly defined.

**c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site:**

This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way for which Street Use permits are issued. Threatened or endangered plant species are not known to be found in the vicinity of the public right of way.

**d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:**

No measures are proposed. The proposed rule will not change how street use permits are conditioned to address vegetation issues; any planting strip vegetation that is removed to install pedestrian paths would have to be replaced.

**5. Animals**

**a. Circle any birds and animals that have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:**

- **birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:** Birds that are likely to be found in the area include common urban species such as crows, pigeons, doves, starlings, and house sparrows.
- **mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:** Mammals that may be found in the project area would include rats, squirrels, and raccoons.
- **fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:** There are several species of fish throughout the waterbodies within Seattle; however the proposal would not permit uses on the water.

**b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.**

There are several species of threatened and endangered salmonid fish species throughout the waterbodies within Seattle. However, there are no threatened or endangered species known to be found in within the public rights of way covered by this proposal.

**c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.**

Seattle is within the Pacific Flyway, one of the four principal north-south migration routes for birds (including Canada geese, herons, and other birds) in North America. The Pacific Flyway encompasses the entire Puget Sound Basin.

**d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal. Seattle's public right of way is developed and urban in character. Approval of the proposed for providing temporary protected pedestrian access around work zones will not result in probable significant adverse impacts to birds, mammals, or fish; birds migrating along the Pacific Flyway; or threatened or endangered species.

**6. Energy and Natural Resources**

**a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing etc.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

**b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- c. **What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:**

No measures are proposed as this non-project proposal will not result in any energy impacts.

**7. Environmental Health**

- a. **Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- 1) **Describe special emergency services that might be required.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- 2) **Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:**

No measures are proposed as this non-project proposal will not result in any environmental health hazards.

**b. Noise**

- 1) **What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?**

This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way for which Street Use permits are issued. Ambient noise typical of urban areas exists in Seattle's public right of way but it will not impact implementation of the proposed rule.

- 2) **What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.**

The proposal will require installation of specified pedestrian safety equipment, which may cause additional noise. The noise associated with the installation of pedestrian protection, such as barricades, covered walkways and scaffolding should not be significant compared to the noise generated by each project's construction.

- 3) **Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:**

No measures are proposed as this non-project proposal will not result in probable significant adverse noise impacts.

**8. Land and Shoreline Use**

- a. **What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal. This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way.

- b. **Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal. This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way.

- c. Describe any structures on the site.**  
Not applicable to this non-project proposal. This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way.
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?**  
This non-project proposal is not expected to result in structures being demolished.
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?**  
Not applicable to this non-project proposal. This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way.
- f. What is current comprehensive plan designation of the site?**  
This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way. City of Seattle's rights of way are located in all City comprehensive plan designations.
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?**  
This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way. City of Seattle's rights of way are located in all City shoreline master program designations.
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.**  
This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way. Some of Seattle's rights of way are located through or adjacent to environmentally sensitive areas.
- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?**  
Not applicable to this non-project proposal.
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?**  
This non-project proposal will not have displacement impacts.
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:**  
Not applicable as this non-project proposal will not have displacement impacts.
- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:**  
This non-project proposal is intended to achieve greater compatibility with the existing Pedestrian Master Plan by requiring use of the existing pedestrian right of way unless work site conditions are too hazardous to do so.

Special requests in unique situations for temporary closure of pedestrian facilities will be determined on a case-by-case basis and will only be used as a last resort. It is the intent of this proposed rule that all pedestrian facilities remain open and meet ADA requirements.

The proposed rule is consistent with the City's Vision Zero initiative for safer streets by emphasizing safety and predictability through consistently applied well defined standards for protected pedestrian access.

The proposal is also aligned with the Access Seattle Initiative which seeks to mitigate the cumulative impacts of construction activity in areas of dense development.

**9. Housing**

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.**

This non-project proposal will not provide housing units.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.**

This non-project proposal will not eliminate any housing units.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:**

Not applicable as this non-project proposal will not affect housing.

**10. Aesthetics**

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal..

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:**

No measures are proposed since this non-project proposal will not impact aesthetics; the proposed rule would not change the heights of structures that may be constructed to maintain pedestrian access.

**11. Light and Glare**

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?**

Ambient light and glare typical of urban areas presently exist in Seattle's public right of way and will not affect the proposal.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:**

Since the new rule will not impact light and glare, no measures are proposed.

**12. Recreation**

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?**

There are parks and other designated and informal recreational opportunities within and near Seattle's public rights of way areas.

- b. **Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.**  
This non-project proposal is not expected to displace any existing recreational uses.
- c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:**  
Since the new rule will not impact recreational opportunities, no measures are proposed.

**13. Historical and Cultural Preservation**

- a. **Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.**  
There are seven historic districts and over 350 designated landmarks within the City of Seattle. Seattle's rights of way lie within historic districts and contain or abut designated landmarks.
- b. **Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site?**  
This non-project proposal applies to all of Seattle's public right of way and individual projects may abut landmarks with historic, archaeological, scientific or cultural importance.
- c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:**  
Since the new rule will not impact historical or cultural preservation, no measures are proposed.

**14. Transportation**

- a. **Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe the proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.**  
This non-project proposal will apply to all of Seattle's public right of way. The Director of Transportation reviews all applications for work on or adjacent to the public right of way and conditions permits to maintain access for pedestrians, provide accommodation for protecting street fixtures and amenities, and conform to Americans with Disabilities Act guidelines. The proposed rule emphasizes that sidewalk closures will be permitted only as a last resort, when there is no other reasonable solution to keep a public walkway open.
- b. **Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?**  
This non-project proposal will apply to all of Seattle's public rights of way, many of which are served by public transit.
- c. **How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?**  
The proposed rule designates the general purpose parking lanes adjacent to the work site as the preferred pedestrian reroute when travel on the existing walkway is not practical. This would result in temporary elimination of some parking spaces.

- d. **Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).**

The proposed rule will not require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets.

- e. **Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.**

This non-project proposal will apply to all of Seattle's public right of way; however, it will not impact water, rail, or air transportation.

- f. **How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.**

The proposed rule may result in an increase of vehicle trips to and from individual project sites during installation and maintenance of pedestrian walkways and safety equipment. The increase should not be significant compared to the number of trips made to construction sites for deliveries of material and removal of soil and debris.

- g. **Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:**

The proposed rule aims to reduce and control impacts from construction projects upon pedestrian facilities in the public right of way. No additional measures are proposed.

## 15. Public Services

- a. **Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.**

This non-project proposal is not anticipated to result in an increased need for Seattle Fire Department, SDOT, or the Seattle Police Department services.

- b. **Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.**

The proposed rule does not impact on the need for public services. The proposed rule requires that access to public services within a specific project's area of influence be considered when conditioning permits.

## 16. Utilities

- a. **Utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.**

Seattle's public rights of way are extensively developed and are served by all the utilities listed above except for septic systems. Other utilities available include other communication services, cable television, and internet access.

- b. **Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:**

Not applicable to this non-project proposal.

**C. SIGNATURE**

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge.  
I understand the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

*Jeanne Nelson*

Date submitted: \_\_\_\_\_

*1/6/15*

**D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON-PROJECT ACTIONS**

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering the questions, be aware of the extent of the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

**1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?**

The proposed Director's Rule would not result in an increase to discharges to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise.

**Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:**

Since no impact is expected, no measures are proposed.

**2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?**

The proposed Director's Rule would be unlikely affect plants, animals, fish or marine life.

**Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:**

Since no impact to plants, animals, fish, or marine life are expected no measures to protect and conserve them are proposed.

**3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?**

The proposed Director's Rule would not be likely to deplete energy or natural resources.

**Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:**

Since no impacts are expected, no measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are proposed.

**4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened, or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?**

The proposed Director's Rule will not affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated for governmental protection.

**Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:**

Since no impacts are expected, no measures to protect sensitive areas or areas designated for governmental protection are proposed

**5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land and shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?**

The proposed Director's Rule will not affect existing land or shoreline uses. This non-project proposal is intended to achieve greater compatibility with the existing Pedestrian Master Plan, the Vision Zero and Access Seattle initiatives. The proposal includes goals to ensure that transportation decisions are coordinated and support the urban village strategy to operate streets to promote healthy urban environments while keeping safety and accessibility in balance.

**Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:**

The proposed Director's Rule is not expected to result in shoreline or land use impacts so no measures are proposed.

**6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?**

The proposed Director's Rule is likely to require construction of temporary protected pedestrian access more frequently than the existing rule. The duration of closures of existing pedestrian facilities will be more limited than with the existing rule. The proposed rule designates the general purpose parking lanes adjacent to the work site as the preferred pedestrian reroute when travel on the existing walkway is not practical. This would result in temporary elimination of some parking spaces. Where adverse impacts on transportation or public services and utilities are identified, the Street Use permit will be conditioned to minimize or mitigate such impacts, allowing only those impacts that are necessary to protect the public from construction hazards for only the duration as such hazard exists. There may be an increase in inspections for construction projects within the right of way, along with the associated enforcement of permit compliance by SDOT. None of these changes should significantly increase demands on transportation or public services.

**Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demands are:**

Since no significant adverse impacts are suspected, no measures are proposed.

**7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.**

No conflicts are anticipated with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for protection of the environment.