


Status Check

Seattle Citizens Assess Their Communities and Neighborhood Plans

Summary of the Eastlake Neighborhood Discussion



Status Check: How is Your Neighborhood Doing?

Prepared by
Seattle Planning Commission
October 2009

What is this report?

This report contains summaries prepared by members of the Seattle Planning Commission. These summaries document discussions co-facilitated by members of the Planning Commission and the Neighborhood Plan Advisory Committee (NPAC) at a series of neighborhood meetings held in June and July of 2009.¹ The Commission and NPAC co-hosted five open house workshops that included 24 neighborhood specific breakout sessions.² In all, about 350 people participated in the five open house meetings.

The Commission was asked to provide a summary for each of the 24 neighborhood specific breakout sessions. The summaries will help City staff to complete the Status Reports and will be a part of the "State of the Neighborhood Report" that goes to the Mayor and Council at the end of the year.

The Commission and NPAC developed four questions so that we could gather information from the people who live, work, attend school and have businesses in the neighborhood to better understand perceptions about the neighborhoods and how well the neighborhood plan is doing. Participants at the meetings were grouped by neighborhood and asked these four questions by the NPAC co-host while the Commission co-host worked to capture the sentiments of the participants. Participants were also provided questionnaires that contained the same four questions and were encouraged to fill them out and return them to be included in the record. All of the original questionnaires returned from the open house workshops are contained in the appendix of this report.

Outreach and Interpretation

The City of Seattle's neighborhood planning team arranged for interpretation services to the communities often under-represented because of language barriers. Spanish interpretation was available at 14, Chinese interpretation was available at 4; Vietnamese interpretation was available at 6; and Tagalog interpretation was available at 3 of the neighborhood community discussions. Interpretation services were used at 4 of the neighborhood community discussions: Columbia City, Georgetown, Rainier Beach, and the West Seattle Junction.

Virtual Meeting

In an attempt to broaden participation, the Planning Commission also created and hosted a virtual on-line meeting from June through August. The virtual on-line meeting included a questionnaire that asked the same four questions that participants at the open houses were asked. The on-line questionnaire had a total of 4,576 participants. The Commission has provided a companion piece to this report that includes the responses to the on-line questionnaires for each of the 24 neighborhoods.

-
1. The Seattle Planning Commission (SPC) was adopted into the City Charter in 1946. The Commission is an independent and objective group that advises the Mayor and City Council on Urban Planning issues such as land use, zoning, transportation and housing issues.
 2. The Neighborhood Plan Advisory Committee (NPAC) was formed in 2008. NPAC is a committee of Seattle residents and business-people that advises the Department of Neighborhoods and the Department of Planning and Development on conducting the neighborhood updates and neighborhood status reports.

What is included in this report?


Summary of one of 24 neighborhood discussions held in June and July 2009

Admiral	Georgetown
Aurora/Licton Springs	Green Lake
Belltown	Greenwood/Phinney Ridge
Broadview/Bitter Lake/Haller Lake	Lake City
Capitol Hill	Morgan Junction
Central Area	Pike/Pine
Columbia City /Hillman City/Genesee	Queen Anne
Crown Hill & Ballard	Rainier Beach
Delridge	University Community
Eastlake	Wallingford
First Hill	West Seattle Junction
Fremont	Westwood/Highland Park

Appendix A – Sample agenda

Appendix B – List of attendees from five open house meetings

Appendix C – Notes and questionnaires submitted at meetings



EASTLAKE

General Summary

Excluding facilitator and note-taker, attendance at the neighborhood discussion included about 10 participants. Many attendees expressed that they were members of the Eastlake neighborhood and one participant was from the Cascade neighborhood. Most identified themselves as residents who lived in Eastlake for many years including a few for several decades.

Highlights

- Neighborhood has significantly changed in character and quality within the last ten years. The increase in growth has not been friendly to Eastlake stealing some of its unique character and quality that initially defined the neighborhood and provided the magnet for many who moved there.
- There has been a disproportional investment in commercial building development and condominium development that has displaced single family residences and affordable housing. In addition, many see the neighborhood accepting much more growth than the neighborhood plan targets without the requisite commitment to invest in proportionate and needed infrastructure investments.
- Increased traffic within the neighborhood, lack of appropriate parking, and reduced transit service to neighborhood residents is huge and growing concern. Most traffic is not generated within the neighborhood but travel through, as does most bus service not stopping within the neighborhood and providing more transit choices.
- Open space is desperately lacking and the few spaces like Terry Petus Park are not well maintained. The neighborhood feels strongly that there must be a commitment to generate more open space and parks in order to support higher densities, and there must be a similar commitment to maintaining these neighborhood amenities.



1. Most of the neighborhood plans were adopted about 10 years ago and are in their mid-life. How has your neighborhood changed in the last decade since the plan was adopted, (or since you've been there)?

- Loss of identity as 'funky and casual village', more like the rest of the city with gentrification.
- Loss of single family homes replaced by condominium buildings without affordable housing.
- Loss of real sense of 'community,' Fairview has become busy street without traffic calming or enforcement.
- Concerns about lack of matching infrastructure investment in business district as compared to neighborhood growth.
- Growth in traffic without calming devices and little increase in transit service.
- More renters in neighborhood seen as not taking care of properties as owners would, and not participating in community.
- Non-neighborhood parking without enforcement.
- Some strip mall development is not pedestrian friendly or supportive of neighborhood concerns and specific identity.
- Growth targets were met 8 years ago but keep moving without related infrastructure/community investments.
- Second largest growth in number of units next to Wallingford.
- Public safety remains an outstanding issue; high speed traffic on Eastlake separates the neighborhood.
- Huge growth in the commercial area on the southern boundary—perhaps getting unbalanced infrastructure investment.
- Much more noise and loss of air quality due to traffic on Eastlake and I-5.
- Not as strong a business mix, more graffiti and less maintenance commitments from landowners.

2. What changes or aspects of your neighborhood are you most pleased about?

- A restaurant scene has developed which is an employment source as well as an attraction.
- Growth targets have been met but continue to change-support for increased density must be consistent with neighborhoods commitment to grow.

Most dissatisfied about?

- Open Space: Terry Petus Park is not maintained and the NOAA Property has become an RV Park with needles and garbage.
- Need more public open space, parks and maintenance commitments.
- Need better transit service. Most service transits thru the neighborhood now w/o stopping and serving Eastlake.
- Need more commitment in public safety.
- Need better bike and pedestrian connections thru and in-between the neighborhood-nonexistent.
- Need commitment to finish the loop around Lake Union and street improvements along Fairview Ave.
- Need 'Green Street' commitment which was passed along to Lake Union—need to continue commitment to Eastlake
- Need to finish sidewalks to support ped/bike connections and safe pedestrian connections to transit.
- Need a better and community supportive mix of businesses. There has been considerable and unbalanced amount of commercial space built without respect for the residential character and quality of the neighborhood.
- Thru neighborhood traffic has become noisier, faster, and uncontrolled creating separations and safety concerns throughout the neighborhood,
- Transit is unreliable,
- Parking in the business district has become a huge problem, bleeding into the neighborhood.
- The gentrification of the neighborhood has made it more homogenous and not reflective of the once special quality that most associated with Eastlake—loss of identity.

3. How well are your Neighborhood Plan vision and key strategies being achieved? Are they still the priority?

- **Eastlake met Growth Management goals** but nothing else happens. The City needs to connect the plan with action and implementation. Some see neighborhood planning effort as top down, with little concerns for community driven goals but rather dictates from city hall. ‘Changes in the neighborhood have not invalidated the Neighborhood Plan but it needs to be updated to reflect the future vision and commitment.’
- **Green streets and open space** strategy is a failure; progress has been glacial. Extend Street Car to UW and calm traffic on Eastlake and Fairview.
- **Sustain Character**
 - The neighborhood plans have not guided improvement in Eastlake, the City acts independent of the wants, needs or wishes of residents (see above).
 - “Eastlake has gone from funky to rather indefinable.”
 - Want to preserve iconic sense of history of the area.
 - Need more affordable housing, less condos, and more commitment to business district.
- **Parking Supply**
 - Businesses fail due to no-parking policies. Loss of parking impacts retail business and is an issue for those businesses that require support from consumers outside the immediate neighborhood.
 - No parking structures or parking lots.
 - City has conflicting policies regarding parking.

4. The city is completing neighborhood plan status reports focusing on demographics, development patterns, housing affordability, public amenities and transportation networks. What should there be more focus on (or less focus on) as the neighborhood status reports are completed in the coming months? Are there any important gaps in the draft status report?

- **Parking** policies need to be revised to include opportunities to increase on-street parking to support business, traffic calming, and increase in sidewalk completion.
- **Green Streets** remains a priority since they have not been committed to Eastlake. Plan and implementation needs to be refined. The problem of public/private cooperation may require City back-charge costs of implementation to developers.
- **Open Space** is increasingly important as population increases. Pocket parks in combination with green streets are needed.
- **Character** is reflected in the diversity of the community, but diversity has lots of meanings. Is this a neighborhood to raise children? Is this a neighborhood focused on commercial, biotech, residential, or all and how do we best mix the uses and commitment?
- **City planning** efforts are seen to be dictated from above—the neighborhoods should help drive the effort. . A civic improvements plan is needed as a base to create a rich living environment. Once a plan for schools, parks, open space, social services is in place, the housing/development projects can be added as infill.
- **Accomplishments** mentioned in the status report required the stewardship of people in the community. City needs to provide staff to fulfill the goals plus the resources to carry out the desired projects. A City staffer/advocate/steward is needed in the neighborhood.

i

Five Open Houses, 24 neighborhood discussions

1. June 22 at the South lake Union Armory; Uptown/ Queen Anne; Belltown; Eastlake; Capitol Hill; First Hill; Pike/Pine
2. July 8 Northgate Community Center Lake City, Aurora/Licton Springs, Broadview - Bitter Lake - Haller Lake, University Community (University District NW, Ravenna)
3. July 23 Phinney Neighborhood Center Greenwood/Phinney Ridge, Crown Hill & Ballard, Fremont, Wallingford, Green Lake
4. July 27 Rainier Community Center Central Area (Madison-Miller, 23rd & Union - Jackson and 12th Avenue), Columbia City - Hillman City - Genesee, Rainier Beach
5. July 28 Delridge Community Center Admiral, West Seattle Junction, Morgan Junction, Delridge, Westwood/Highland Park, Georgetown

ii

Neighborhood	Planning Commission Host	NPAC Member Host
Admiral	Catherine Benotto	Mark Wainwright
Aurora/Licton Springs	Michelle Zeidman	Sharonn Meeks (Mark Wainwright unable to attend)
Belltown	Kay Knapton	Catherine Stanford
Broadview/Bitter Lake/Haller Lake	Linda Amato	Craig Benjamin
Capitol Hill	David Cutler	Heidi Oien
Central Area	Mark Johnson	Kate Stineback (Adrienne Bailey did not attend)
Crown Hill & Ballard	Leslie Miller	Ashley Harris
Columbia City/Hillman City/Genesee	Leslie Miller	Linda Amato of the SPC (Eddie Hill unable to attend)
Delridge	Chris Persons	Boaz Ashkenazy
Eastlake	Martin Kaplan	Brian Ramey
First Hill	Kevin McDonald	Sharonn Meeks
Fremont	Chris Fiori	Toby Thaler
Georgetown	Amalia Leighton	Judith Edwards
Green Lake	Jerry Finrow	Kate Joncas
Greenwood/Phinney Ridge	Linda Amato	Kate Stineback
Lake City	Colie Hough-Beck	Renee Staton
Morgan Junction	Jerry Finrow	Cindi Barker
Pike/Pine	Josh Brower	Dennis Saxman
Queen Anne	Matt Roewe	John Coney
Rainier Beach	Chris Persons	Christie Coxley
University Community	Mark Johnson	Jeannie Hale
Wallingford	Amalia Leighton	Irene Wall
West Seattle Junction	Kay Knapton	Sharon Meeks
Westwood/Highland Park	Kevin McDonald	Christie Coxley

Neighborhood Open House

June 22, 2009 - 6:00 – 8:00 pm

South Lake Union Armory – 860 Terry Ave. N.

Hosted by the Seattle Planning Commission & Neighborhood Planning Advisory Committee Agenda

1. Opening Session – 20 minutes

Introduction & Welcome – Josh Brower, NPAC Co-Chair

Opening Remarks – Councilmember Sally Clark

Orientation Video

2. Six (6) Neighborhood Breakout Sessions – 75 minutes

Breakout sessions for Queen Anne, Belltown, Eastlake, Capitol Hill, First Hill, Pike/Pine

Presentation by SPC table host (5-7 minutes)

- Goals of the breakout session
- Presentation of background information on neighborhood plan and status update
- How to provide input (discussion, written questionnaire, easel pad, on-line questionnaire)
- Additional resources available

Facilitated discussion of question led by NPAC table host

1. Most of the neighborhood plans were adopted about 10 years ago and are in their mid-life. How has your neighborhood changed in the last decade since the plan was adopted, (or since you've been there)?
2. What changes or aspects of your neighborhood are you most pleased about? Most dissatisfied about?
3. How well are your Neighborhood Plan vision and key strategies being achieved? Are they still the priority?
4. The city is completing neighborhood plan status reports focusing on demographics, development patterns, housing affordability, public amenities and transportation networks. What should there be more focus on (or less focus on) as the neighborhood status reports are completed in the coming months? Are there any important gaps in the draft status report?

3. Closing Remarks and Next Steps – 5 minutes

Closing Remarks & Next Steps – Josh Brower, NPAC Co-Chair

Appendix B – List of attendees from five open house meetings

Tim Ahlers	Kara Ceriello	Patty Foley	Jim Jensen	Phil Mocek
Joy Anderson	Jose Cervantes	Nancy Folsom	Dale Johnson	Rob Mohn
Jennifer Anderson	Gordon Clowers	Becca Fona	Blair Johnson	Dave Montoure
Aurora Anunicacion	Clarice Coker	Tony Fragada	Matt Johnston	Jesse Moore
Katheryn Armstrong	Rene Commons	Eric Friedli	Giff Jones	Patti Muller
Jill Arnow	John Coney	Bill Fuzekas	Mary Jones	Lisa Muller
Boaz Ashkenazy	Colleen Cooke	Dennis Galvin	Roger Jones	Dan Mullins
Joanne Auterjung	Dorene Cornel	Herbert Getchell	Alan Justad	Mars Mure
Maris Avots	Michael Cornell	Lucille Getchell	Laura Kalleb	Jessica Nguyen
Emi Baldwin	George Counts	Joseph Gockowski	Erica Karlovits	Tri Nguyen
John Barbee	Stuart Crandall	Daniel Goddard	Elias Kass	Hong Nguyen
Scott Barkan	Sally Crone	John Golobiec	Narom Khath	Dan Nolte
Tod Barker	Web Crowell	Kirsten Graham	Phoeun Khim	Richard Nordstrom
Deb Barker	Michael Cuadra	Lynn Graves	Melanee King	John Nuler
Catherine Barker	Mike Dady	Matt Gray	Wesley Kirkman	Karen O'Brien
Rick Barrett	MJ Davidson	Elizabeth Guenara	Cheryl Klinker	Jeannie O'Brien
Zander Batchelder	Susan Davis	Justina Guyott	Chris Knapp	Dara O'Bryne
Vicki Baucom	DeEtte Day	Julia Hadley	Kay Knapton	Susan O'Connell
Ellen Beck	Christo de Klerk	Jeannie Hale	Amber Knox	Kristy O'Donnell
Craig Benjamin	William Decherd	Craig Hanway	Sam Knoz	Pennie O'Grady
Cory Bergman	Jim Del Cielo	Susan Harmon	Sybil Knudson	Sokunthea OK
Jane Bigby	Jon deLeeves	Kathy Harper	Karen Ko	Kenneth Olsen
Derek Birnie	Rory Denovan	Michael harthorne	Diane Kremingk	Vlad Oustimoritch
John Bito	Donn Devore	Ralph Heitt	Tom Lee	Chris Pasco
Allina Black	Brian Dougherty	Tom Henry	Dorothy Lengye	Betty Pata
Neel Blair	Lloyd Douglas	Eva Hermesmeier	Jeff Libby	Nina Pata
Mark Bloudek	Nancy Driver	Hai Hoffman	Ref Lindmark	Bert Patrick
Anna Bowers	Chanta Dumas	Dick Hogan	Peter Locke	Jeffrey Pelletier
Dave Boyd	Christa Dumpys	Charles Hogg	Julie Lubre	Andrea Petzel
Sheila Brown	Shannon Dunn	K Beth Hollingsworth	Wendy Luker	Beth Pflug
Jan Brucker	Tim Durkan	Raft Hollingsworth	Andrew M	Boyd Pickerell
Susie Burke	Ruth Dyksterhais	John Hoole	Matt Ma	Erik Pihl
Janice Burnell	Sherell Ehlers	Bert Hopkins	Glenn MacGilvra	Jeff Pittman
Gloria Butts	David Ellinger	Ron Hornuns	John Magnenat	Ed Pottharst
Priscilla Call	Julie Enevoldsen	Megan Horst	Mike Mariano	Jen Power
Pablo Cambinico	John Enger	Serin Houpton	Velma Maye	Tim Pretare
Leon Capelo	Alicia Fadul	Ryan Hughes	Vivian McLean	Susie Prets
Kevin Carrabine	David Fansler	Wendy Jans	Douglas McNutt	Mary Quackenbush
Eudora Lowery Carter	Abdy Farid	Joan Jeffery	Sandra Melo	Brian Ramey
Susan Casey	Bill Farmer	Sarah Jenkes	Susan Melrose	Craig Rankin
Chris Caster	Andrea Faste	Susan Jensen	Richard Min	Jordan Rash

Appendix B – List of attendees from five open house meetings

Matt Rehder
Mike Reinhardt
Diane & Bob Rhea
Marjorie Rhodes
Scott Ringgold
Amelia River
Kirk Robbins
Joan Robbins
Delight Roberts
Lee Roberts
Ray Robinson
Donna Roseveark
Dennis Ross
Jon Rudical
Dennis Saxman
Sue Scharff
Dena Schule
Shirley Schurman
Deanise Schwarz
Sharon Scully
Rita Selin
Dic Selin
Philip Shach
Sarah Shoup
Sam Simone
Steve Sindiong
Susan Sisson
Cindy Small
Tamra Smilanich
Marty Spiegel
Catherine Stanford
Catherine Stengord
Kate Stineburk
Ruth Stinton
Conan Storlie
Mike Stringer
Adam Strutynski
Christine Stuffels
Jean Sundrorg
Jeff Taylor
Nicole Taylor

Tony To
Viet Tran
Alexandra Tu
Ron Turner
Cathy Tuttle
Sarah Valenta
Diana Vergis Vinh
Jessica Vets
Roger Wagoner
Forrest Wald
Irene Wall
William Walsh
Ed Wecloires
Stuart Weiss
Catherine Wentbrook
Al Werner
Julien Wheeler
Patty Whisler
Scott White
Mary Whitmore
Stephen Whitmore
Thomas Whittemore
Adrienne Wicks
Kraig Wilhelmsin
Adrian Wilkenson
Vivian Williams
Terry Williams
Betty Williams
Laura Wing-Whitebear
Greg Winterstea
Laura Wong-Whitebear
Mikala Woodward
Jason Woycke
Sara Wysocki

Status Check: How is Your Neighborhood Doing?

Please provide the following information and attach additional sheets if necessary.

Which neighborhood are you commenting on? Eastlake

For how long have you...

	less than 5 years	5-10 years	more than 10 years	does not apply
lived in this neighborhood?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
owned a business in this neighborhood?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
regularly visited this neighborhood?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
worked in this neighborhood?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
attended school in this neighborhood?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
other (please describe)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Name:

Email Address:

comcast.net

Question 1

Most of the neighborhood plans were adopted about 10 years ago and are in their mid-life. How has your neighborhood changed in the last decade since the plan was adopted (or since you've been there)?

There has been a lot of development - too much - primarily in the form of condos. A little more of Eastlake's wonderful character seems to disappear with almost every new building going up (and with almost every old building that is replaced).

Question 2

What changes or aspects of your neighborhood are you...

most pleased about?

- transportation
- housing
- safety
- parks and open space
- public facilities (e.g. libraries, community centers)
- business district (e.g. stores, restaurants)
- buildings - new and existing
- other (please specify)

most dissatisfied about?

- transportation
- housing
- safety
- parks and open space
- public facilities (e.g. libraries, community centers)
- business district (e.g. stores, restaurants)
- buildings - new and existing
- other (please specify)

Do you have any comments related to what you are pleased or dissatisfied about?

Regarding transportation, this neighborhood's proximity to downtown, other neighborhoods, I-5, and bus lines is probably my favorite thing about it. Most of the new buildings seem to have been thrown up with little regard for the neighborhood, showing poor planning. And Eastlake needs fewer condos and more facilities like libraries.

Question 3

How well are your Neighborhood Plan vision and key strategies being achieved?

- very well
- somewhat well
- somewhat poorly
- very poorly
- don't know/neutral

please describe

Are they still the priority?

- yes, very much so
- some priorities have changed, some haven't
- no, not at all
- don't know/neutral

please describe

I am familiar with a little of the plan but not a lot of it. It mostly seems to me that there is no good long-range plan around building development, and it seems like almost every developer gets a permit.

Question 4

The City is completing neighborhood plan status reports focusing on demographics, development patterns, housing affordability, public amenities and transportation networks.

What should there be more focus on (or less focus on) as the neighborhood status reports are completed in the coming months?

There should be more focus on...

There should be less focus on...

development patterns

Are there any important gaps in the draft status reports?

- No
- Yes (please describe)

Key strategy A of the neighborhood plan (preserving history and diverse housing types, commercial spaces, and architectural styles" seems to be getting overlooked.

Please return this questionnaire by **Monday, July 6, 2009** to:

The Seattle Planning Commission
700 5th Avenue, Suite 2000
PO Box 34019
Seattle, WA 98124

You can also fill out the questionnaire on-line at:
http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/Planning/Neighborhood_Planning/StatusReports/default.asp

Status Check: How is Your Neighborhood Doing?

Please provide the following information and attach additional sheets if necessary.

Which neighborhood are you commenting on? Eastlake

For how long have you...

less than 5 years 5-10 years more than 10 years does not apply

- | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| lived in this neighborhood? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| owned a business in this neighborhood? | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| regularly visited this neighborhood? | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| worked in this neighborhood? | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| attended school in this neighborhood? | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| other (please describe) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Name:

Email Address:

@gmail.com

Question 1

Most of the neighborhood plans were adopted about 10 years ago and are in their mid-life. How has your neighborhood changed in the last decade since the plan was adopted (or since you've been there)?

I like the changes. Want the tram to go from the Hutch to UW.

Question 2

What changes or aspects of your neighborhood are you...

most pleased about?

- transportation
- housing
- safety
- parks and open space
- public facilities (e.g. libraries, community centers)
- business district (e.g. stores, restaurants)
- buildings - new and existing
- other (please specify) _____

most dissatisfied about?

- transportation
- housing
- safety
- parks and open space
- public facilities (e.g. libraries, community centers)
- business district (e.g. stores, restaurants)
- buildings - new and existing
- other (please specify) _____

Do you have any comments related to what you are pleased or dissatisfied about?

more parks?

Question 3

How well are your Neighborhood Plan vision and key strategies being achieved?

- very well
- somewhat well
- somewhat poorly
- very poorly
- don't know/neutral

please describe

Are they still the priority?

- yes, very much so
- some priorities have changed, some haven't
- no, not at all
- don't know/neutral

please describe

Question 4

The City is completing neighborhood plan status reports focusing on demographics, development patterns, housing affordability, public amenities and transportation networks.

What should there be more focus on (or less focus on) as the neighborhood status reports are completed in the coming months?

There should be more focus on...

There should be less focus on...

transpiration
 { need a } *trader Joes*
 { *QFC*

Are there any important gaps in the draft status reports?

- No
- Yes (*please describe*)

Please return this questionnaire by **Monday, July 6, 2009** to:

The Seattle Planning Commission
 700 5th Avenue, Suite 2000
 PO Box 34019
 Seattle, WA 98124

You can also fill out the questionnaire on-line at:
http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/Planning/Neighborhood_Planning/StatusReports/default.asp