Background

- SPU owns, operates and leases about 400 buildings and structures including office space, pump stations, parking lots, shops, and yards.
- **Overall Problem**: SPU lacks a strategic approach for planning, managing, maintaining, and retiring our facility assets, resulting in higher O+M costs, inadequate space for staff and equipment, and health and safety issues.
Examples
Proposed Actions

Three-pronged proposed approach:

1. Facilities Construction (CIP) – Add $17.2M in 2015-2020 for master planning, design and construction to address space needs for SPU staff and equipment at the South Operations Complex, North Operations Complex and Cedar Falls Phase II [$64M baseline]

2. Facilities Management (O&M) – Add $85K per year and 1.0 FTE for a centralized facilities management program [$0 baseline]

3. Decommissioning (O&M) – Add $150K per year to retire above-ground structures that are no longer in use or necessary for SPU [$0 baseline]
1. Facilities Construction

Problem: SPU lacks adequate space for operational functions for staff, equipment, tools, and materials. Many facilities do not meet current regulatory, environmental and space allocation standards.

Aerial: Charles Street Yard
1. Facilities Construction

Proposed Action: Add $17.2M in the 2015-2020 CIP to complete facilities master plan, design and construction for the South Operations Complex, North Operations Complex, and Cedar Falls Phase II for SPU field staff, with the focus on resolving the chronic space shortage for the south end DWW Operations

Benefits: Provides adequate space for staff, equipment, tools and materials; improves employee productivity and safety conditions; reduces costs for leased space; meets environmental and regulatory requirements; and reduces life cycle costs
1. **Facilities Construction**

Proposed Cash Flow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a DWW Interim Relocation</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b S Ops Complex, Master Plan</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c S Ops Design, Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$1,850</td>
<td>$12,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d N Ops Complex, Master Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e N Ops Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f Cedar Falls Ph 2, Master Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** $1,000 $3,500 $3,150 $3,250 $3,700 $2,600 $17,200
2. Facilities Management

**Problem**: SPU takes a decentralized approach to managing its facilities with no single point of accountability

**Proposed Action**: Add 1.0 FTE ($85K) to implement a centralized facilities management program for SPU’s operational complexes, including: developing Strategic Maintenance Plans; establishing uniform building maintenance practices; monitoring utilities consumption

**Benefits**: Lowers energy costs, reduces risk (vandalism/damage to facility) liability, & improves utility system utilization
3. Decommissioning

Problem: SPU lacks a discrete budget to proactively decommission facilities that are no longer in use.
3. Decommissioning

**Proposed Action:** Add $150K per year in the O&M to complete a Condition Assessment of non-functioning buildings and structures, develop decommissioning strategies, and provide funds to deconstruct, demolish, recycle or mothball above-ground structures.

**Benefits:** Reduces life cycle costs, vandalism, theft of materials, limits liabilities, and allows SPU to meet industry standards for safety requirements and permissible hazardous material levels.