### SBP 2019 SWOC Assessment Summary Review of 2013, 2016 SWOCs

#### 1. STRENGTHS THEMES

- People
  - Customers: Highly satisfied and see high quality service connected to quality of life
  - Workforce: Competent and dedicated
- Business
  - Financial: Fiscal strength, rate based, high bond ratings
  - Service Delivery: High quality, reliable
- Environment + Health
  - Seattle as national leader and careful steward with strong commitments and relationships

### 2. WEAKNESSES THEMES

- People
  - Customers: Concern about high bills and visibility/understanding of work
  - Workforce: Succession planning, development, expectations
- Business
  - Financial: Improve prioritization, fixed costs, O&M new resources
  - Service Delivery: Aging/incomplete systems, process + staff inefficiency, pacing w/ demand
- Environment + Health
  - Service equity

### 3. OPPORTUNITIES THEMES

- People
  - Customers: Build Voice of Customer culture and increase knowledge about SPU
  - Workforce: Improve flexibility, adaptability, diversity
- Business
  - Financial: Balance rate pressures and affordability
  - Service Delivery: Strengthen partnerships and continuous improvement culture inc. tech
- Environment + Health
  - Expand partnerships, leadership, keep working upstream, nature-based solutions, climate

### 4. CHALLENGES THEMES

- People
  - Customers: Eroding trust in government
  - Workforce: Retirement/Recruitment tension
- Business
  - Financial: Affordability/ability to pay, Looming and mounting demands
  - Service Delivery: Big event Emergency response
- Environment + Health
  - Regulatory demand, climate adaptation, lower recycling rates for certain streams

# SPU Strengths – 2013, 2016 SWOCs

		2013 SWOC	2016 SWOC
People (E+E)	<ul> <li>Customers + Community</li> <li>High satisfaction w/service</li> <li>Recognize and link services to quality of life</li> <li>High quality outreach/education methods and materials</li> </ul>	X X	X X X
	<ul> <li>Workforce</li> <li>Strong analytic and technical skills and abilities</li> <li>Pride, dedication, innovative, engaged, professionalism</li> </ul>	X X	X X
Business (A+A)	<ul> <li>Financial</li> <li>Fiscal strength, rate based, and high bond ratings</li> <li>Strong rate design (recycling, conservation)</li> <li>Commitment to asset management principles and practice</li> </ul>	X X X	x x
	<ul> <li>Service Delivery</li> <li>High quality, reliable services with few outages</li> <li>Strong emergency management functions</li> </ul>	X X	Х
Environment + Health (R+R)	Water Resources -Watershed resources and agreements -Water quality best in US -Regional Conservation savings -Improved storm tracking, analysis, response -Enough drinking water til 2060	X X X X	
	Solid Waste Resources  -Good at getting organics out of garbage -Recycling leader -Know the waste stream	X X X	
	<ul> <li>Corporate         <ul> <li>SPU and community commitment to regulatory compliance and progressive stewardship</li> <li>Careful management of natural resources to meet needs of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	X X	X X
	<ul> <li>(people, fish, env)</li> <li>National leader in sustainability, conservation</li> <li>Good relationships with federal, state, local agencies</li> </ul>	X X	X X

# SPU Weaknesses – 2013, 2016 SWOCs

		2013 SWOC	2016 SWOC
People (E+E)	<ul> <li>Customers + Community</li> <li>Feeling that bills are too high; affordability</li> <li>Lack of understanding about services SPU provides         (invisibility of work, lingo)</li> <li>Equity issues with service delivery, language, culture barriers         (inc tap water – immigrants)</li> </ul>	X X X	X X
	<ul> <li>Lack sufficient customer input to utility</li> <li>Workforce         <ul> <li>Insufficient succession planning</li> <li>Employ low number of millenials</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	X	X X
	<ul> <li>Insufficient training and professional development (including soft skills, tech)</li> <li>Lack of clear expectations, accountability, empowerment (fear of making mistakes)</li> <li>Union relationships</li> </ul>	X X X	X X
Business (A+A)	<ul> <li>Trust deficit, silo'ing</li> <li>Financial         <ul> <li>Transparency around where/how/how much money is being</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	X	
(ATA)	spent - Lack of effective prioritization - O&M for new projects not adequately resourced - High % of fixed costs (wlob) - Lack of risk management processes	X X X X	X X X
	<ul> <li>Service Delivery         <ul> <li>Aging Infrastructure, deferred and lack of maintenance (valves, hydrants, metering accuracy)</li> <li>Lack complete systems, models, full operations (dww, scada)</li> <li>Lack of systems planning</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	X X X	X X
	<ul> <li>Technology not keeping pace with needs, customer expectations</li> <li>Inefficient, inconsistent, excessive business processes and</li> </ul>	x	x x
	programs  - Lack understanding of roles and resources needed to get work done (when need new crew, etc)	X	X
	<ul> <li>Lack of enforcement of some codes, rules, etc (creating underperformance)</li> </ul>		Х

Environment + Health (R+R)	<ul> <li>Water Resources</li> <li>Insufficient funding for creeks, streams, habitat restoration</li> <li>Stormwater impacts to receiving water bodies</li> <li>Neighborhood equity/lack of service/unplanned emergency issues flooding, sewer backups</li> </ul>	x x	x x
	Solid Waste Resources  -Historic Landfills not dealt with -Cost of garbage, recycling pickup	X X	
	Corporate - Lack plan to address SPU carbon footprint		х

## SPU Opportunities – 2013, 2016 SWOCs

		2013 SWOC	2016 SWOC
People (E+E)	<ul> <li>Customers + Community</li> <li>Explore additional ways to help low income pay bills</li> <li>Expand efforts to meet the needs of historically</li> </ul>		X X
	<ul> <li>underserved populations</li> <li>Increase knowledge about SPU services, benefits, value, regulatory cost drivers</li> </ul>	Х	X
	<ul> <li>Continue to build Customer Centric/Voice of the Customer culture, transcreation</li> </ul>	X	X
	Workforce		
	<ul> <li>Improve workforce and workclass flexibility, adaptability, diversity and strength</li> </ul>	X	X
	- Improve employee safety practices and programs		X
	<ul> <li>Cultivate environmental focus/image to be employer of choice</li> </ul>		X
	- Continue building One-Team practices		X
	- Push decision making down to lower levels	Х	
Business	<u>Financial</u>		
(A+A)	<ul> <li>Balance rate pressures and affordability for customers</li> <li>Maximize alternative financing (grants, loans)</li> </ul>	Х	X X
	- More transparency, accountability	Х	^
	- Sell landfills (Kent)	X	
	Service Delivery		
	<ul> <li>Improve use of technology to meet customer/staff communication expectations</li> </ul>	Х	Χ
	<ul> <li>Strengthen partnerships with departments, governmental and NGOs</li> </ul>	Х	Χ
	- Expand use of data to make informed decisions		X
	<ul> <li>Strengthen continuous improvement culture and business practice</li> </ul>	Х	X
	<ul> <li>Look at value added services (insurance, side sewer, etc)</li> </ul>	Х	
	<ul> <li>Focus on critical asset improvements (cathodic, fireflow, backbone, morse lake)</li> </ul>	X	
Environment + Health	<u>Water Resources</u> - Partner with others distributed utilities, off-gridding,	Х	X
+ Health (R+R)	decentralized green systems	^	^
•	- Partner with others where joint water missions		Χ

# SPU Challenges – 2013, 2016 SWOCs

		2013 SWOC	2016 SWOC
People (E+E)	<ul> <li>Customers + Community         <ul> <li>Eroding trust in government</li> <li>Concern about punitive approach to ensuring customer compliance (recycling)</li> <li>New residents not part of Seattle's environmental ethos</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	X	X X
	<ul> <li>Workforce</li> <li>High % of workforce eligible to retire</li> <li>Recruiting and retaining a workforce that responds to the demands of a growing and changing city</li> <li>Union resistance to productivity and efficiency improvements, number of unions</li> </ul>	X	X X
Business (A+A)	<ul> <li>Financial         <ul> <li>Ability and willingness to pay</li> <li>Aging infrastructure needing rehab or replacement that exceeds funding</li> <li>Funding, staffing required to move, repair, restore infrastructure associated with Transportation projects</li> <li>Mandates, regulatory requirements and other costs outside of SPU control driving rates and using resources</li> <li>Limited competition for contracted services (solid waste)</li> <li>Potential for losing wholesale customers</li> <li>In 2028 landfilling will become more expensive</li> <li>King County pricing for waste water treatment</li> <li>Pressure to privatize</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	X X X X X X	X X X X
	<ul> <li>Service Delivery         <ul> <li>Ability to maintain service levels as population grows, changes (mixed use, traffic)</li> <li>Ability to respond effectively to emergencies (including seismic events, floods)</li> <li>Shiny thing syndrome (elected, internal)</li> <li>SCL impacts on SPU service delivery</li> <li>Rapid pace of changing technology</li> <li>Metering program</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	X X X X	X X
Environment + Health (R+R)	<ul> <li>Water Resources         <ul> <li>Keeping up with evolving water quality regulations and mandates</li> <li>Ability to adapt to climate change impacts (drought, excess water, slr)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	x x	x x

<ul> <li>Recycled water, decentralized system capital costs – certifications</li> </ul>	X	
<ul> <li>Solid Waste Resources</li> <li>Multi-family, commercial, self haul recycling are lower</li> <li>Weak recycling markets for certain materials and difficulty of siting recovery facilities</li> </ul>	Х	X X
<ul> <li>Waste stream contamination</li> <li>Changes towards non-recyclable packaging</li> <li>Continued, growing demand for clean city services</li> </ul>	X	X X