**In attendance:** Signe Gilson, Chair; Laura Feinstein; Carl Pierce; David Ruggiero; Rita Smith  
**Absent:** Todd Johnson; George Kukahiko  
**Staff:** Vicky Beaumont; Linda Rogers, Sheryl Shapiro, Gabriella Uhlar-Heffner  
**Guests:** Bill Lasby, Seattle-KC Public Health;

5:05 pm Call to Order

**Administration:**

**Chair Report:**
- September 2010 minutes approved
- Two SWAC members resigned since last meeting: Mark Gibbs and David Traylor
- September action items:
  - Laura Feinstein finalized letter regarding solid waste rates and optional "green" contribution, blended with EOW pilot recommendations, and sent to Tim Croll of SPU and Council Member O'Brien

**Monthly Topics:**

4. **Construction & Demolition Debris (C&D) Recycling – Briefing & Discussion**
   Gabriella Uhlar-Heffner of SPU reviewed recommendations presented to City Council on Sep 28th for increasing C&D waste reuse and recycling. Some highlights included:
   - Overview of C&D Recycling, beneficial use and disposal statistics with concrete
   - Overview of C&D Recycling, beneficial use and disposal statistics without concrete -
   - 2009 C&D composition by material
   - Reviewed Zero Waste Resolution 2008 Directives, and action taken or proposed. These included:
     - Increase the reuse, waste reduction and recycling of C&D waste by modification of Demolition Permit
       - DPD Voluntary Residential Deconstruction Permitting Option
       - SPU Deconstruction Demonstration Pilots and Green Jobs Training
       - 2011 Mandatory Recycling Ordinance with Rulemaking
     - Explore incentives for expansion of private C&D recycling capacity
     - Study of local and State incentives
     - SPU/DPD research identified State tax credits as effective tools
     - Analysis of publicly owned processing facility and use of City flow control authority
       - Recommendation to Council for City or third party certification of processors instead of RFP
     - Decision on private or public processing facility RFP
       - 2011 SPU Ordinance authorizing Rulemaking for Facility Certification Standards
Consider incentives and requirements for C&D reuse and recycling for large and/or all development projects

- Deposit system not favorable since DPD permit fees limited to cost of service (by State)
- **2011 Mandatory Recycling Ordinance with Rulemaking**
- **2011 Solid Waste Comprehensive Plan**

Consider financial incentives for C&D material reuse and reprocessing (*limited budgetary resources*)

- Market development with King County Linkup[ Program on Carpet Reclamation, Asphalt Shingles to Paving and Clean Wood to Non-Boiler Fuel Markets

Assess ban on disposal of C&D recyclables at City transfer stations

- **2011 Ordinance with disposal ban on concrete and other aggregates in job site containers and City transfer stations**

Review City transfer station tip fees for C&D recyclables and waste

- Recommendations for Proposed Ordinances and Rulemaking in 2011

  - **Disposal Ban on Asphalt Paving, Bricks and Concrete (ABC) and other Recyclable C&D**
    - Applies to job-site containers and City stations
    - **January 2011 SPU Ordinance**

  - **Mandatory Recycling for DPD Permit Applicants**
    - Applies to Demo & Construction Permits
    - **January 2011 SPU Ordinance establishing framework and process**
    - **2011 SPU Rulemaking** for phasing in recycling targets and exceptions

  - **C&D Processing Facility Certification**
    - Gives customers a way to comply with recycling requirements
    - **January 2011 Ordinance allowing SPU to proceed with Rulemaking**
    - **2011 Rulemaking** on process/standards

  - **Illegal Hauling**
    - Sets penalties and clarified “self-haul”
    - **January 2011 SPU Ordinance**

- Reviewed Certification Goals

  - Proposed Recovery Rates:
    - 60% for Material Recovery Facilities at transfer stations
    - 70% for comingled processors
    - 90% source separated

  - End markets – no landfilling of any sort

  - Reports – timely submittal of Annual Recycling Report to City

- Gave an overview of Stakeholder Outreach, with associated audiences and past findings

  - Past surveys and focus groups of contractors, processors and haulers (2005-2006)
    - **Facility Certification** – all supported
    - **Bans** – most supported since “levels the playing field”
    - **Mandates** – not generally supported

  - Interviews of stakeholders on proposed legislation
    - **Construction Contractors** (Recycling mandates and bans)
    - **Facility Processors** (certification)
    - **Reuse and Salvage Network** (Measuring reuse)
    - **Haulers** (Illegal Hauling)

  - Formal Rulemaking process with Stakeholders and public (1st and 2nd quarters 2011)
    - Public meetings and formal comment periods

- Next Steps

  - Fall 2010 Stakeholder Process to assist with legislation and rulemaking
  - Jan 2011 Legislation to Council
  - 1st – 2nd Quarter 2011 Rulemaking on Specifics for implementation
  - 2011 Solid Waste Comprehensive Plan to set C&D overall recycling goal through RPA
  - Will keep the SWAC in the loop for review and feedback of process
5. Recruitment for the SWAC – Briefing and Discussion
Sheryl Shapiro, new Community Advisory Committee manager, reviewed 2010 recruitment efforts. These include:
- More concentrated outreach to communities to achieve more diversity in industry, cultural, etc.
- Working to update look of web pages to include photos
- Utilize various social media for outreach
- Requested the SWAC to reach out beyond own specific industry; i.e., students, cultural centers, etc.
- Asked for recommendations and input for “ideal committee member”

6. Recycling Potential Assessment (RPA) – Briefing and Feedback
Vicky Beaumont reviewed the first modeled scenario (a “package” of programs) for reaching our 60% recycling goal, and other scenarios developed by staff but not yet modeled. Included:
- Look at modeling results from the first scenario run 60% ASAP (time and money no object)
- Reviewed various programs under consideration and insight into how the modeling works
- The SWAC provided feedback for other possible programs and scenario runs
  - Programs
    - Reusables ban – not permitted in garbage
    - Upstream packaging restrictions
    - Disposable diaper ban
    - Pet fees
    - Pet limits
    - Rate increase if don’t reach recycling goal
  - Scenarios
    - Programs that have high behavioral impact
    - Programs that have low behavioral impact
    - Programs that impact the most entities
    - Programs that impact the fewest entities
    - Enforcement only
    - Programs that have the lowest cost per ton
  - Programs should also be analyzed from other aspects:
    - Identify cost range as Low Med Hi (LMH)
    - Look at rate payer impact / behavior impact – LMH
    - Cost per ton

7. Disposed Tons through September – Briefing
Vicky Beaumont presented spreadsheet with data of projected vs actual for 2010 disposed tons

8. Wrap Up
Recommendations:
- No new recommendations from this meeting – refer to April meeting

Action Items:
None

Preliminary Agenda for Next Meeting – November 17, 2010
- Approve meeting minutes for October, 2010
- Annual work plan; discussion - SWAC directions
Future meeting items:

- **Future** – 2010 Work Plan items, invite expert on modern landfills

7:00 PM Meeting adjourned.