RapidRide Roosevelt
Eastlake Neighborhood Project Briefing
Tonight’s agenda

1. Welcome and introductions
2. Project background
3. Bicycle facilities evaluation
4. Curbspace management and parking study review
5. Next steps
6. Questions and answers
7. Adjourn
Panel

• Garth Merrill – RapidRide Project Manager
• Maribel Cruz – Community Outreach
• Mike Estey – Manager of Parking Programs
• Penny Mabie – Facilitator
Our mission, vision, and core values

**Mission**: deliver a high-quality transportation system for Seattle

**Vision**: connected people, places, and products

Committed to **5 core values** to create a city that is:
- Safe
- Interconnected
- Affordable
- Vibrant
- Innovative

For all
Project purpose

The purpose of the RapidRide Roosevelt project is to improve transit travel times, reliability, and capacity to increase high-frequency, all-day transit service and enhance transit connections between Downtown Seattle and the Belltown, South Lake Union, Eastlake, University District, and Roosevelt neighborhoods, in order to:

- Address current and future mobility needs for residents, workers, and students
- Address capacity constraints in the transportation network along this north-south corridor
- Provide equitable transportation access to major institutions, employers, and neighborhoods
- Improve pedestrian and bicycle connections and access to RapidRide stops and improve safety along the corridor.
Project needs

The Roosevelt corridor has been identified as a high-priority corridor for meeting the following transportation and community needs:

- Provide transit service to support housing and employment growth
- Provide neighborhood connections to future Link light rail stations
- Improve transit travel time and reliability throughout the corridor
- Reduce overcrowding of existing bus capacity
- Improve pedestrian and bicycle safety and connections to transit
Project overview

Project highlights:

• 6 mile project corridor length
• 26 new RapidRide stations
• 33 intersections with upgraded traffic signals (including TSP or transit queue jumps)
• 2.3 miles of new transit lanes
• 3.4 miles of new trolley-wire infrastructure
• 3.1 miles of paving improvements*
• 5 miles of new protected bicycle lanes
• 200+ new ADA-compliant curb ramps and other pedestrian improvements

*pending revised Levy workplan (December 2018)
Zooming in: Fairview; Eastlake

- Improved transit stations along corridor
- Widen Fairview Ave to add transit-only lane for buses and the streetcar from Mercer St to Aloha St
- Protected bike lanes on Eastlake Ave E to the University Bridge
- Improved pedestrian access to RapidRide stations including ADA accessibility improvements
Project background

• 2012: Identified in Transit Master Plan as high-priority, high-capacity transit corridor
• 2014-present: Public involvement
• Consistent with:
  • Transit Master Plan
  • Bicycle Master Plan
  • Pedestrian Master Plan
  • Freight Master Plan
  • Move Seattle 10-year Strategic Vision
  • Metro Connects Long-Range Transit Plan
Project history – public involvement

• Phase 1: Mode Analysis and Existing Conditions
  (November 2014 - June 2015)
  • Focus on project goals, timeline, existing conditions, and transit modes being considered
  • Stakeholder interviews conducted
  • Public open houses in May 2015; approximately 100 attendees
Project history – public involvement

• Phase 2: Characteristics of BRT and Multimodal Components (June 2015 - March 2016)
  • Focus on priority investments
  • Key stakeholder forums hosted
  • Public open houses in December 2015; approximately 120 people in-person, 300 online
  • Recommendation: targeted BRT investment. Project was included in Levy to Move Seattle
Project history – public involvement

- **Phase 3: Recommended Corridor Concept** (May 2016 – Present)
  - Focus on conceptual engineering; submittal of Locally Preferred Alternative to Seattle City Council (approved in July 2017)
  - Public open houses in June 2016; environmental scoping meeting in December 2017
  - Prepared submittal for FTA Small Starts grant; completed NEPA scoping in January 2018 to inform development of NEPA Environmental Assessment
Eastlake public involvement roll-up

• Attendance at Eastlake Community Council meetings (February 2015, October 2015, January 2016, March 2018)
• Stakeholder outreach (March/April 2015)
• Existing condition open houses (May 2015)
• Participated in walking audit with Cascade Bicycle Club (2015)
• Community forum meetings (September/November 2015, May 2016)
• BRT/Multimodal open houses (December 2015)
• Business access survey (March 2016)
• Recommended corridor concept open houses (June 2016)
• Environmental scoping meeting (December 2017)
Bicycle facility analysis
Bicycle facility analysis

- Why we did this study
- Dive into the details
- Our evaluation process
- Results of the analysis
Why we did this study

During the environmental scoping process, we heard:
• General support for transit improvements
• Support for and objections to protected bicycle lanes on Eastlake
• Concern about parking loss
• Questions about considering alternative bicycle facility and route options

What we’ve done:
• This study – an evaluation of bicycle facility options in the Eastlake neighborhood
Existing conditions in Eastlake

Safety data
- From 2012-2017, 40 reported bicycle collisions in study area
- 39 of those incidents were on Eastlake Ave E
- Most were front-end angle collisions between cars and bicycles
- Topography is challenging through the community; Eastlake Ave E is generally a flat, direct route

Over 120 bicycles/hour during peak hour

Existing conditions in Eastlake
- Over 120 bicycles/hour during peak hour
- Safety data
  - From 2012-2017, 40 reported bicycle collisions in study area
  - 39 of those incidents were on Eastlake Ave E
  - Most were front-end angle collisions between cars and bicycles
  - Topography is challenging through the community; Eastlake Ave E is generally a flat, direct route
Streets reviewed for bicycle analysis

Study area:
- Eastlake to Fairview
- E Galer St to University Bridge
How we developed options for the bicycle facility

• Provide continuous connection between University Bridge and Fairview Ave N bike lanes
• Connect to existing bicycle lanes on Eastlake Ave E south of Fairview Ave
• Meet all ages and abilities criteria outlined in Bicycle Master Plan:
  • Protected bike lanes
  • Off-street/multi-use trails
  • Neighborhood greenways
• Balance needs of other modes, including maintaining on-street parking where possible
• Meet City guidance for right-of-way allocation
Right of way allocation

• Comprehensive Plan identifies new framework ROW allocation decisions

• Streets Illustrated Manual

• Meeting **all** functions of ROW including access and activation (not just mobility)
# Options considered for the analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1: No Build</th>
<th>Option 6: Multi-Use Trail on Fairview Ave E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2:</strong> Protected Bicycle Lanes on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td><strong>Option 7:</strong> Greenway on Fairview Ave E (following the Cheshiahud Lake Union Loop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3:</strong> Two-Way Protected Bicycle Lanes on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td><strong>Option 8:</strong> Greenway on Minor Ave E and Fairview Ave E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 4:</strong> Northbound PBL on Eastlake Ave E and Southbound Greenway on Yale Ave E</td>
<td><strong>Option 9:</strong> Greenway on Franklin Ave E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 5:</strong> Northbound PBL on Eastlake Ave E and Southbound PBL on Yale Ave E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation process overview
Initial screening criteria

1. Does it meet project purpose and need?
2. Does it provide a level, relatively flat, bicycle route?
3. Does it meet SDOT’s bicycle facility design standards?
4. Is it able to be constructed within existing right-of-way?
# Initial screening results – 4 options moved forward

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Option 3</th>
<th>Option 4</th>
<th>Option 5</th>
<th>Option 6</th>
<th>Option 7</th>
<th>Option 8</th>
<th>Option 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets the project purpose and need</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides a level bicycle route</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Fail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets SDOT’s bicycle facility design standards</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to be constructed within available existing right-of-way</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Advanced for comparison</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>Not advanced</td>
<td>Not advanced</td>
<td>Not advanced</td>
<td>Not advanced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 2: PBL on Eastlake Ave E
Option 3: Two-way PBL on Eastlake Ave E
Option 4: NB PBL on Eastlake; NGW on Yale
Option 5: NB PBL on Eastlake; SB PBL on Yale
Next step: Detailed assessment screening criteria

• Does it improve bicycle safety and bicycle connections to transit?
• Is it consistent with City of Seattle policy guidance?
• Does it provide comfortable bicycle route conditions?
• Does it provide neighborhood access?
• What are the impacts to other transportation modes and elements?
### Detailed assessment results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Option 2 PBLs on Eastlake Ave E</th>
<th>Option 3 Two-Way PBL on Eastlake Ave E</th>
<th>Option 4 NB PBL on Eastlake; NGW on Yale</th>
<th>Option 5 NB PBL on Eastlake; SB PBL on Yale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle safety and connection to transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route safety</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle connection to transit</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Seattle policy guidance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency with Bicycle Master Plan</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Option 2**: PBLs on Eastlake Ave E
- **Option 3**: Two-Way PBL on Eastlake Ave E
- **Option 4**: NB PBL on Eastlake; NGW on Yale
- **Option 5**: NB PBL on Eastlake; SB PBL on Yale
# Detailed assessment results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Option 3</th>
<th>Option 4</th>
<th>Option 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBLs on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>Two-Way PBL on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>NB PBL on Eastlake; NGW on Yale</td>
<td>NB PBL on Eastlake; SB PBL on Yale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Route conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route distance</td>
<td>1.42 miles NB/SB</td>
<td>1.42 miles NB/SB</td>
<td>1.42 miles NB</td>
<td>1.42 miles NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.51 miles SB</td>
<td>1.51 miles SB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation gain</td>
<td>+49 feet NB</td>
<td>+49 feet NB</td>
<td>+49 feet NB</td>
<td>+49 feet NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+36 feet SB</td>
<td>+36 feet SB</td>
<td>+33 feet SB</td>
<td>+33 feet SB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum uphill slope</td>
<td>5% max uphill</td>
<td>5% max uphill</td>
<td>6% max uphill</td>
<td>6% max uphill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route legibility and directness</td>
<td>1 turn NB</td>
<td>1 turn NB</td>
<td>1 turn NB</td>
<td>1 turn NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 turn SB</td>
<td>1 turn SB</td>
<td>4 turns SB</td>
<td>4 turns SB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of arterial crossings</td>
<td>1 crossing NB</td>
<td>1 crossing NB</td>
<td>1 crossing NB</td>
<td>1 crossing NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Detailed assessment results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Option 3</th>
<th>Option 4</th>
<th>Option 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBLs on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>Two-Way PBL on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>NB PBL on Eastlake; NGW on Yale</td>
<td>NB PBL on Eastlake; SB PBL on Yale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Neighborhood Access

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to businesses</th>
<th>Direct bicycle access in both directions</th>
<th>Direct bicycle access in both directions</th>
<th>Direct bicycle access in NB direction</th>
<th>Direct bicycle access in NB direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to schools</td>
<td>Direct access to TOPS in both directions</td>
<td>Direct access to TOPS in both directions</td>
<td>Direct access to TOPS in both directions</td>
<td>Direct access to TOPS in both directions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Detailed assessment results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Option 2 PBLs on Eastlake Ave E</th>
<th>Option 3 Two-Way PBL on Eastlake Ave E</th>
<th>Option 4 NB PBL on Eastlake; NGW on Yale</th>
<th>Option 5 NB PBL on Eastlake; SB PBL on Yale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impacts to other transportation modes and elements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit performance</td>
<td>Minimizes interactions over full corridor</td>
<td>Minimizes interactions over full corridor</td>
<td>Minimizes interactions over partial corridor</td>
<td>Minimizes interactions over partial corridor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto traffic performance</td>
<td>Minimizes interactions over full corridor</td>
<td>Minimizes interactions over full corridor</td>
<td>Minimizes interactions over partial corridor</td>
<td>Minimizes interactions over partial corridor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-street parking</td>
<td>325 spaces removed on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>325 spaces removed on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>250 spaces removed on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>375 total spaces removed (250 on Eastlake)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planted medians</td>
<td>Does not require removal of medians</td>
<td>Requires removal of all medians</td>
<td>Does not require removal of medians</td>
<td>Does not require removal of medians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Detailed assessment results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Option 3</th>
<th>Option 4</th>
<th>Option 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBLs on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>Two-Way PBL on Eastlake Ave E</td>
<td>NB PBL on Eastlake; NGW on Yale</td>
<td>NB PBL on Eastlake; SB PBL on Yale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SCORES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key takeaway**

Option 2 (Current project design) best meets the evaluation criteria and is consistent with the Locally Preferred Alternative approved by Seattle City Council.
Summary of bicycle analysis

• By completing the bicycle facility assessment, we found Option 2 (the current design that includes protected bicycle lanes):
  • **Best meets the project purpose and need regarding safety and access to transit**, as well as contributing to transit speed and reliability
  • Best meets the evaluation criteria and **would provide the highest-quality bicycle facility in Eastlake**

• All protected bicycle lane options would have impacts to parking
• Option 2 is in the LPA approved by Seattle City Council
Curbspace and parking
Curbspace and parking discussion

• Why we did this study
• Overview of curbspace priorities
• Corridor parking study and results
  • Corridor wide
  • Eastlake
• Potential parking management tools
• Next steps
## Curbspace priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RESIDENTIAL</th>
<th>COMMERCIAL + MIXED USE</th>
<th>INDUSTRIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Support for modal plan priorities</td>
<td>Support for modal plan priorities</td>
<td>Support for modal plan priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Access for people</td>
<td>Access for commerce</td>
<td>Access for commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Access for commerce</td>
<td>Access for people</td>
<td>Access for people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Greening</td>
<td>Activation</td>
<td>Long-term parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Long-term parking</td>
<td>Greening</td>
<td>Activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Activation</td>
<td>Long-term parking</td>
<td>Greening</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parking impacts of RapidRide Roosevelt

- Curbspace and parking impacts on Eastlake Ave E (up to):
  - 324 parking spaces removed
  - 18 commercial vehicle loading zones removed
  - 4 passenger loading zones removed

Protected bicycle lane located in former parking area
Corridor parking study

• Conducted for entire project corridor; focus on Eastlake Ave E

• Combination of inventory (how many spaces) and duration (how long people park)

• Counts in December 2017; study completed this fall
Corridor parking study

• Inventory completed 12/7/17 and 12/12/17 throughout the corridor
• Three times of day:
  • 12 PM to 1 PM
  • 5 PM to 6 PM
  • 8 PM to 10 PM
• Locations and signed restrictions of all loading zones collected along the entire corridor
## Inventory results

### Eastlake parking inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>PARKING</th>
<th>OCCUPANCY</th>
<th>UTILIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midday 12-1 PM</td>
<td>1,496</td>
<td>1,365</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM Peak 5-6 PM</td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td>1,031</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late evening 8-10 PM</td>
<td>1,496</td>
<td>1,056</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eastlake Ave E parking duration study

• Completed additional parking duration study for Eastlake neighborhood

• How it was done:
  • Completed 12/12/17 and 12/14/17
  • On-street parking duration surveyed hourly 7 AM to 7 PM

• Current restrictions:
  • 7 AM to 9 AM
  • 4 PM to 6 PM
Eastlake Ave E parking duration study findings

• Overall, 26% of the cars were parked for 4 or more hours (i.e. long-term)

• In time-limited parking spaces, 16% of cars parked for longer than 2 hours

• In unrestricted parking spaces, more than half of cars parked for 2 hours or more with an average time of about 4 hours

• In the restricted parking zone (RPZ) area on Eastlake Ave E, more than half of cars were parked for 2 hours or more with average time of about 6 hours
Eastlake Ave E parking duration study findings

- **Short-term Parking (74%)**
- **Long-term Parking (26%)**
Eastlake off-street parking facilities inventory

- Limited off-street public parking facilities
- Some private parking areas/lots for individual business/commercial use
Summary of findings for Eastlake Ave E

• RapidRide Roosevelt removes all on-street parking and loading zones along Eastlake Ave E between Fairview Ave N and Fuhrman Ave E for the implementation of a protected bike lane

• Limited on-street parking on adjacent streets; few off-street parking facilities

• About 25% of the vehicles parked on Eastlake Ave E are parking long-term (over 4 hours). It is likely they are employees or residents in the area

• Loading zones will be relocated
Potential parking management strategies (slide 1 of 2)

• Beginning next year, SDOT proposes to conduct a community conversation in Eastlake to discuss potential parking management strategies

• The City will evaluate the costs, timing, issues, and opportunities with these potential mitigation strategies throughout the rest of the project design and development

• Sign up to stay involved
Potential parking management strategies (slide 2 of 2)

• Work with businesses and the neighborhood to communicate parking regulations and available commute options

• Consider seeking funding to establish a transportation demand management (TDM) program, like the University District “Let’s Go!” program, as a way to work with the community on TDM strategies to reduce the parking demand

• Consider adjustments to the RPZ to better ease parking congestion in the residential area and better balance needs of all curbspace users in the area

• Facilitate a discussion to seek funding to work with private businesses that may be interested, or able to, allow parking lots to be shared parking for other uses
Next steps
Next steps

• Fall 2018: Continued coordination with Levy Oversight Committee
• 2019: Community parking discussions (timing TBD)
• 2019: Environmental Assessment/SEPA DNS/Checklist published
• 2021: Construction begins
• 2023-2024: Project complete
Questions?
Questions?

Garth Merrill | RapidRide@seattle.gov

www.seattle.gov/transporation/RapidRideRoosevelt