

Board of Park Commissioners Meeting Minutes May 10, 2001 Draft Minutes

Present: Bruce Bentley, Chair
Karen Daubert
James Fearn
Susan Golub
Yale Lewis
Kathleen Warren

Staff: Ken Bounds, Superintendent
Michele Daly, Park Board Coordinator

Chair Bruce Bentley called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m. Consent items including the agenda of May 10 and minutes of April 12 and 26, 2001 were approved as submitted. Correspondence was acknowledged from Friends of Seattle's Olmsted Parks regarding the Woodland Park Zoo Long Range Plan and DEIS, Laurelhurst Community Club regarding continuing problems at Sand Point/Magnuson Park regarding installation of coke machines with no community involvement, Bonnie Miller regarding tree removal, Deborah Stuteville and family regarding Zoo DEIS comments, Friends of Seattle's Olmsted Parks regarding Art Placement Policy and Strategic Plan for Arts and Culture, Ann Lennartz regarding Art Placement Policy and Strategic Plan for Arts and Culture, R. W. Thorpe & Associates and Woodland Park Zoo Neighbors regarding the Zoo Long Range Plan and DEIS analysis.

Oral Requests and Communication from the Audience:

Tony Fragada, President of the Alki Community Council, distributed an issue paper to the Board members on April 26 regarding Alki neighborhood circulation and parking issues. The community is working on the Seacrest water taxi project. They have not heard yet what is going to happen to the scuba divers and would appreciate a follow-up report. The Alki Community Council is also pursuing a Pier 1 & 2 Interlocal Agreement for continuous public access to the waterfront.

Superintendent's Report

Arboretum Master Plan – The Seattle City Council unanimously approved• the Arboretum Master Plan on Monday, May 7. Based on comments from the public and the Board of Park Commissioners the Council amended the plan slightly, and included the implementation guidelines that specify design and construction considerations as the plan is implemented. The amendments that they approved from the Cultural Arts and Parks Committee were largely amendments that were recommended by the Board of Park Commissioners. The Board should feel good about the role they played in the whole

process over the last seven years. Copies of the May 9 Seattle Times editorial and the May 8 Seattle PI article were distributed to the Board members.

Seacrest Water Taxi - Legislation to redefine the Harbor Code buffer zone from 300 feet to 150 feet has been sent to the City Council and will be reviewed at the Public Safety Committee next week. The water taxi is not a full-size ferry. This smaller buffer zone would allow some scuba diving to continue in the area during the water taxi demonstration project. Analysis of the dock and pier has been completed. Improvements to the dock will begin immediately. The water taxi may begin operation on Memorial Day.

Marine Reserves Legislation. – This legislation would create city marine reserves in the marine and intertidal areas within certain portions of Golden Gardens Park, Carkeek Park, South Alki/ Richey Viewpoint, Lincoln Park, Schmitz Viewpoint and Discovery Park. This legislation is the outcome of years of work done by the Carkeek Watershed Community Action Project, King County Water and Land Resources and the Seattle Aquarium. Their work began in 1990 and was intended to address overharvesting of marine resources in city parks. In addition to our efforts, the Washington Department of Natural Resources – Aquatics Land Division is working with the city to extend our proposed Marine Reserve areas into the subtidal. The Board was asked to review the legislation and determine if it would like to schedule a public hearing.

John C. Little Spirit Award information and nomination forms were distributed. An award will be given annually to a Seattle Parks and Recreation employee. In order to be eligible for nomination a current employee must demonstrate characteristics of mentoring youth, leadership in the community, making a difference in young lives and going above and beyond the call of duty.

The Levy Oversight Committee is continuing to make progress and is currently focusing on the Opportunity Fund Criteria.

Volunteer Recognition – On April 30 the City Council recognized approximately 100 volunteers, approximately 2/3 of which were Parks volunteers. They were recognized for their outstanding service to the City of Seattle and their commitments to their communities. The celebration event will be rebroadcast on SeaTV.

Beacon Place Park/Wittler Park: The City Council approved an exchange of property between the City and Koh family Trust that will effectively move this park from its current location between divided 11th Avenue South to the east, adjacent to the Jose Rizal Bridge. The exchange creates a new park of approximately 17,000 square feet (the old park area was approximately 10,800 square feet).

Teachers Strike – In response to the one-day teachers strike that occurred on May 1, community centers served hundreds of school kids in child care programs.

Starbucks Grants – Starbucks has awarded its second round of \$5,000 grants to Heart of

Phinney, Roxhill Wetlands, Carkeek Park, Wallingford Playfield and Rogers Park (Eastlake). The grants connect Starbucks facility employees with the community around a park project.

Seward Park/Audubon Education Center Proposal – A community workshop• was held on May 8 at the Rainier Community Center. 42 people attended. In general the audience supported the notion to establish an Audubon nature center in the Music Annex Building. Several participants stressed the need for community input into aspects of the use agreement, program content and scheduling, program outreach to the community and to have community representation on a steering committee. People were concerned having a retail store within the building. Others expressed a desire for diverse elements of the community to be served including continuing the sack lunch program in the park and having affordable prices for activities. Several individuals want the renovation-design features to be sensitive to the historical nature of the building. The Park Board has scheduled a public hearing on this proposal on May 24, at 7:30 p.m. at 100 Dexter Avenue North.

Park Naming Committee – The Lake City “Little Brook Park” naming• proposal has been put on hold until it can be determined if the Brook daylighting project is feasible. The Seattle Tennis Center naming proposal to honor Amy Yee was recommended but will be held according to policy until “the person shall have been deceased for a minimum of two years.” In the interim the department and tennis community will identify was to honor her legacy and keep her memory alive. The Seaboard Lumber site naming recommendation is Herring’s House Park (Tul’ a It). The Naming committee recommended including an interpretive sign be installed at the park describing the history of the site and the significance of the Native American name.

Sand Point/Magnuson Park Wetlands Forum is scheduled for May 31 and June 2.●

Best of the Northwest and Seattle’s Best Places – department facilities made both the Seattle PI and Weekly lists.●

Items of Interest to the Board

Kathleen Warren provided flyers to the Bastyr University Herb and Food Fair, May 19, 10-4.

Bruce Bentley noted a large collection of trash is accumulating on the new park lot by Langston Hughes.

Sam Smith Park soccer field has been reseeded and should be open the end of Spring.

Woodland Park Zoo Long Range Plan – Public Hearing

The Park Board held a briefing of the Woodland Park Zoo Long-Range Plan 2001 on March 22. The City Council was briefed on March 26. The EIS hearing was held on April

25 at the Zoo. The formal public comment period on the EIS review ended on May 1. To date about 300 comments have been received from 70 individuals on a variety of issues surrounding the Long-Range Plan. The Zoo staff has met with Shaprio & Associates, consultants on the EIS, to begin looking at rescoping of the analysis and work that has to be completed before the EIS and Long Range Plan can be finalized. Comments from this hearing will be incorporated into a summary, which will be reviewed by the Park Board before going to the City Council. The City Council will review the plan in May-June. The Final EIS is due July 18. These processes will culminate with City Council adoption of the plan in the summer of 2001.

The plan is about balance of meeting some long-standing needs of the 100-year-old facility, the needs of modern animal care and the needs of visitors and the needs of the neighborhood. Animals are still in exhibits that do not meet standards including, Jaguar, cougars and hyenas. The Zoo needs to reduce the impact of the current and future visitors on the local neighborhood. That goal needs to be balanced with the needs of the visitors from around our region. In meeting the goals, the Zoo needs to be aware of who they are as an institution and role model. The animal care must meet the highest standards of animal welfare. The building practices must meet the sustainability standards. The Zoo needs to make sure it does not exacerbate transportation problems. The Zoo has been listening to the neighbors at approximately 24 meetings. It needs to preserve the two neighborhood parks at the north and south ends of the park and the trees along the perimeter. 90% of the visitors come by personal automobile with 3.4 visitors per car but we need to reduce that further. Transit options and other alternatives will have to meet a third to a half of the growth in the next 20 years. It is hoped after the comments are incorporated the Long-Range Plan will meet the animal and community needs and serve as well as the 1976 Plan.

Maggie Walker, Co-Chair of the Woodland Park Zoo Society Board, stated the Zoo Society is excited about the Long-Range Plan. The Plan is realistic and the Society has worked through a lot of issues within the process. The Plan is forward thinking – what needs to happen at the Zoo in the next 20 years. The Zoo is well loved and well visited. The Plan presented today continues the leadership that was created with the 1976 Plan. It also addresses infrastructure issues around the Zoo. It will continue to create habitats, naturalistic exhibits for the animals. It provides improved services for visitors including restrooms, better food service and parking. It addresses the needs of employees as the Zoo has grown and there is not space for employees. There are 250,000 school children that visit the Zoo annually and the Zoo does not currently have that kind of programming or space. The Zoo also needs to fulfill the infrastructure needs as far as the neighborhood is concerned. There are a lot of cars in neighborhood streets and we need to get them off the streets. The plan is a leadership plan for Zoos moving into the 21st century.

Dale Reite, Zoo neighborhood resident, stated the Plan is designed to draw more people to the Zoo. Impacts of the Zoo on the neighborhood examples were cited the EIS from studies done in 1988. It has changed from that time and neighborhood impacts are year round. With the parking garage construction estimated to take 9 months and the parking lot closed, there will be additional impacts on the neighbors. People will park where it is

free first rather than paying a parking lot fee. People need to be trained to look at alternative transportation. The Zoo needs to start promoting and publicizing the bus routes that enter the Zoo area. Off-site parking areas and shuttles are mentioned but discounted and they should be looked at further. Zone parking in the neighborhoods should be addressed now. He is trying to bring the Board's attention to the number of people that will be brought into the area on top of the number of people that are there now.

Charles Buitron thinks this is a real good chance for cooperative process in which the community and the zoo can be successful. The plan cannot be accepted as it stands now. The community needs to be involved and develop a plan that takes everybody's interest and concerns seriously. The Plan needs to allow more input from the community.

Thane Maynard thinks a very thorough job has been done on the Plan. Woodland Park Zoo is a world-renowned institution. It is an extremely valuable educational resource. The role of a model zoo is to teach the public, particularly children, of the importance of nature, wildlife and conservation. The Woodland Park Zoo does a remarkable job both in King County and in its outreach programs in the State. It is an institution worthy of supporting.

Marjorie Olene inquired how much of the current Long-Range Plan is currently funded and how much of the Zoo's portion of the latest funding will cover. Mike Waller stated the latest funding was the \$31.5 million of King County bonds which was matched by \$20 million additional dollars and has basically been spent. The Zoo Society is raising funds currently and the African Village, which will open in May, has been funded by the Zoo Society. The Long-Range Plan 2001, for a 20-year period, is estimated over \$300 million. The levy money recently approved is entirely for operations and maintenance.

Gregg S. Thompson, zoo employee, stated he was here to challenge some of the assumptions of the Long-Range Plan and would like to ask questions about what was done in the last Plan. He wants people to think about his questions when they visit the Zoo. Some of his questions include: Why are the Orangutans had to see? Why are people lost so much of the time? Why are the mountain goats not on the rocks? Why are there pathways with overgrown vegetation for 100 yards with no exhibits and no directions to where you are at and where you are going? Why does the Zoo have birds in cages in the Tropical Rain Forest that are too small for the birds to fly in? ...He stated there are design faults. He is concerned the next Long-Range Plan will be more of the same.

James F. Webb, Zoo neighborhood resident for 30 years, stated people will park where they please and not necessarily in a newly constructed garage. Fire trucks cannot get down the neighborhood streets because of the visitor parking. Neighbors do not want all the traffic in their neighborhoods. There ought to be more neighborhood input. Traffic circulation is not well thought out. The south-parking garage will only increase parking from 600 to 1,000.

Ron Hess, Zoo neighborhood resident for over 23 years, related from a distance the

master plan displayed looks like the inside of a body, a very complex structure. The Zoo is a good metaphor in that one of the exhibits is tightly contained, highly designed, very expensive to build and is very valuable for a lot of different purposes. It requires all the roads and people to supply it with services and the labor that it needs to keep going. The lifeblood of the zoo is the people that come to the Zoo for all the various reasons. Over the years the Zoo has become a regional attraction. What is happening to the neighborhoods around the Zoo is “arterial sclerosis.” The Gardner study of 1987 pointed out the Zoo has inadequate parking as well as confusing parking. No developer would put this amount of resources and effort and not provide reasonable access and transportation for people coming to the Zoo. If you put more resources inside the Zoo without solving the problems outside of the zoo it is not a good long-range plan.

Carol Boddy, Zoo neighborhood resident for 16 years, is concerned about the traffic and parking around the Zoo. On the west side corner of Aurora and 49th, a 111 unit building is being constructed with only 16 parking places, 4 of which are pull down garages. There access is going to be on North 49th where there is already a huge traffic problem. The zoo should look at placing parking garages to the north and south side (one level below and one level above ground) instead of one large parking garage and use part of the Lower Woodland Park lot below the Rose Garden for visitor parking with a transport to get people up to the Zoo. People do not want to pay for parking and do park on the streets first before going into a parking lot.

Stan Chalicki, Zoo neighbor for 15 years, supports the updated Long Range Plan in principle and wants the Zoo to grow in statue but does take issue with the first section of the non-exhibit development recommendations and the south arrival parking structure. None of the alternatives address the problem of traffic congestion in the neighborhoods around the Zoo. The Zoo expects to grow 23% in the next 20 years. The Zoo is very narrowly describing its boundaries as 50th & 59th and Aurora & Phinney. The tone of the EIS and the way it is laid out appears to try to finesse the problem of attracting thousands of additional daily visitors. It is obvious to the neighbors that traffic is impacted from North 46th to North 65th and Stone Way to 3rd Avenue NW. He has a problem with the Shapiro assertion that the Stone Way intersection could not be modeled because it was too complex. He would like the Zoo to consider a parking structure in the Lower Woodland Park gravel lot.

Joel Horn, Zoo neighbor for 10 years, stated parking and traffic around the Zoo has been a neighborhood concern for years. Building a parking structure to get people off the streets was found necessary years ago. We need to make sure people use the parking garage. Parking and traffic is the number one concern wherever there is a major attraction in the city. People will still park in front of his house even with the new parking garage. There is a point when people will be parking in the garage, which will eventually help neighbors a few blocks away from the Zoo.

Lorraine Chalicki, 15-year Zoo neighbor, stated the Rose Garden needs sun and the parking structure will block a certain amount of sun to the Rose Garden. She supports a parking garage in the gravel lot in the Lower Woodland Park area.. The first priority

should be the animals. She does not agree with the open-air carousel in the North Meadow or the 600-person event center. She would like to see the money spent for the animals.

Linda Allen, Zoo Board member and donor of the carousel, stated the carousel building has been designed with many small speakers aiming in so the music will stay inside the building and not bother the animals or the neighborhood. The carousel was given to the Zoo as a legacy to continue to raise funds for the Zoo for many years to come.

Lora Mason, Zoo neighbor, is concerned about traffic and parking and thinks the Lower Woodland Park parking is a great idea. She would like traffic signs placed in the neighborhood as the street intersections are dangerous even when Zoo events are not happening. The park at 59th needs more structures and maintenance. She does not like some of the elements concerning the event center and the carousel. Service qualities need to be addressed. It takes a long time to get admitted to the Zoo. There are also food and service quality issues.

Corey Satten, Zoo neighbor, is concerned about the Zoo's impact on the neighborhood. The Zoo seems to justify its expansion as part of educational mission about habitat protection. It does not focus the same concern on their own neighborhood. It has done little to encourage eco-friendly car-less visitation. Instead of bird songs from the zoo the neighbors ,will hear traffic, PA system, concerts, carousel music and car doors slamming. The zoo overflows its parking 118 days where it overflowed 16 days in 1986. A lot of this may be the result of longer visits because of improved exhibits and food service. The lots only fill when nearby streets overflow. All of these changes have occurred under Determinations of Non-Significance. After studying the EIS, he found it inadequate with respect to traffic and noise impact. He pointed out there is not one mitigation in the Plan that is assured. It is important that the Zoo address current impacts first before it puts any new exhibits on line. The neighborhood tolerate for noise in the evenings is justifiably lower than it is in the daytime. He is concerned about the event center impacts.

Neil Macdonald, Zoo neighbor, received a letter from Sea-Tran informing him the intersection of 61st and Dayton did not qualify for traffic calming. The DEIS did not speak to the neighborhoods. On concert nights people block his driveway. We should revisit the parking idea across Aurora. A parking structure there could take care of some of the sporting events at Green Lake as well as provide Zoo parking. The Zoo could get some of its land back for animals. A parking garage could be built that has a people mover, a tunnel under Aurora or some elevation structure to get people into the Zoo which would accomplish something worthwhile.

Peg Rutchik, Zoo neighbor for 16 years, stated it is critical to look at the lives of the people that live in the vicinity of the Zoo. The plan does not integrate into the community. Height exceptions are being sought and will impact the neighbors. The parking structures create more traffic and there has not been any mitigation made in relationship to the streets. Need to deal with the traffic in the neighborhood. The changing nature of the Zoo is happening – instead of exhibiting animals we are looking at

concerts, carousel, event centers and how does that relate to exhibiting animals. She does not think the plan accommodates environmental education and thinks the Long-Range Plan needs to be reviewed to see if it accomplishes what is trying to be achieved.

Sandy Lemlich, Zoo neighbor, is concerned about traffic and parking. She is concerned there is no money for a parking garage. A garage is necessary to help get cars off neighborhood streets.

Mike Omalanz, Zoo neighbor, stated the intersection at 50th & Fremont is very bad on a sunny day with traffic stacked up at the light with people trying to get into the Zoo. The lot at Lower Woodland Park is a good alternative for a parking garage. There is a nice entrance that you can walk over Highway 99 to get into the back entrance of the Zoo.

Melissa O'Neill, Zoo neighbor, is concerned about traffic and parking. She encourages another look be taken for alternative transportation systems. Public transportation, shuttle buses, etc. The Zoo needs to be a good neighbor and respectful of the residents and give them an opportunity to park in front of their houses in their own neighborhoods. If a parking garage is constructed it must be used.

Irene Wall, President of the Phinney Ridge Community Council, zoo neighbor, member of the Board of Directors of the Zoological Society, stated the zoo is a green oasis, safe place for families to come and spend the day, place of employment for over 100 people and many volunteers, home for many animal species, extended classroom, concert stage, collection of diverse buildings and history. The Zoo is a magnet for over 1 million people a year and their cars. It is a source of revenue and it is one of the places that puts Seattle on the map worldwide. It is a place that we must be sure to protect and to insure that we do not love it beyond its capacity to stay true to itself, a park enclosing a zoo. She participated in Zoo Commission II in 1985. One caveat that everyone agreed to in Zoo Commission II was they did not want to over-commercialize the Zoo. It is desired to have a focus on conservation ethics. Taxpayers and Zoo Society members have invested millions of dollars to reinvent and significantly improve the Zoo over the years. Many more people visit the Zoo and it is more costly to maintain. The City has consistently tapered off its subsidy for the Zoo. Members and private donors must make up the difference. The need to generate more revenue requires vigilance. More visitors and more private events mean more revenue but it also means more impact and creates the need for more infrastructure to support visitorship. People love the zoo and we want them to visit but we want them to leave their cars somewhere else. The long-range plan generally reflects the Jones & Jones vision. The conservation ethic of the Zoo must be upheld in as many ways as possible and that means making maximum use of existing buildings or non-exhibit functions and minimizing the footprint and visual impact of any new structures. We must make sure adding the carousel, event center and indoor gallery space does not tip the balance away from the experience the Zoo has also sought to create, that is showing respect for animals and the relationship between humans and the natural world.

David Seilaff, Green Party of Seattle, is concerned about traffic and parking issues.

Drivers do tend to prefer free parking over pay parking. Just building a new garage will not solve the problem. He would like to see more promotion of public transit. Perhaps Zoo express buses could be developed from Park & Ride lots. Green Party of Seattle supports the no-build alternative.

Al Rasmussen, stated the EIS is inadequate and submitted a letter before the May 1st deadline.

It takes a narrow view of accommodating visitors traveling to the Zoo. It mentions very few environmental impacts. It hardly mentions noise, light, air and water pollution. The proposal is entirely fixated on providing more parking and stimulating more auto traffic at the Zoo. The documents do not reflect any awareness at all of transportation planning, the granted discourse that has been going on in the community for over 10 years. The proposal concentrates an isolation of the Zoo's immediate interest. It does not analyze the impact on the community. The financial aspects should be very clearly described to the public as to where the money is coming from to provide the structures, if they are built, and where is the revenue going to go that they generate.

Newell Aldrich. City Council staff, read a statement from Seattle Councilmember Nick Licata. We need to explore a number of strategies for providing traffic and parking relief to the neighborhoods surrounding the Zoo. Additional parking should not consume more open space nor replace zoo facilities. We should not overbuild to attract additional traffic into the community. Need to address other transportation strategies such as the use of vanpools, shuttle buses and subsidized bus fares. He encourages the Zoo to consider a 4-month pilot program to provide a subsidy or incentive to those taking a bus to the zoo. His office is ready to work with the Zoo and the public to implement this or any other feasible strategy to meet the needs of the Zoo and the surrounding neighborhoods.

Keith Rickards, Zoo neighbor, takes the bus with his family when he visits the Zoo. He thinks the Long-Range Plan needs to address alternative transportation needs such as remote parking, express buses and provide incentives for people to get out of their cars. He suggested one incentive might be to show a bus transfer at the gate and be able to get a free wagon rather than having to transport a stroller on the bus. The new parking garages recently constructed in the Fremont area may be able to be used as part of a public-private partnership and shuttle people up Fremont to the Zoo. The Plan does need to consider helping people leave their cars at home.

Dana Wooster, Zoo employee, is concerned about animal issues and the conservation messages that they want to send to people. She is concerned about the message with the carousel and believes it is inappropriate to have at the Zoo. She wants people to think about the repercussion of having other things that are artificial such as the event center. It is understood that income is necessary but urged that the animals not be forgotten.

Deb Fialkow demonstrated a primate sound from the lobby. She stated she is concerned about the carousel noise and wants to keep the monkey sounds.

Marjorie Olene stated the carousel is designed to not be heard outside of the zoo. Primate

sounds can be heard a mile or three miles away. She has to get to the parking lots early in order to visit the zoo as she cannot walk from her home and then walk the zoo grounds.

Susan Wagner, Zoo neighbor, desires the Zoo to be a manageable and well operated. The Zoo is home to animals and they should come first. Parking is needed but there needs to be some kind of initiative or enticement to have people use the parking. She encourages the growth, keeping to the mission but also suggests collaborating together as neighbors and partners earlier so do not find these issues coming out after the fact when things are in place.

The Park Board will be discussing the Long-Range Plan and making a recommendation to the Superintendent at its May 24 meeting.

Revised Policy on the Placement and Maintenance of Visual Arts Work on Park Property and Strategic Plan for Arts & Culture
Board Discussion/Recommendation

The Board held a briefing and public hearing on the Placement and Maintenance of Visual Arts Work on Park Property and the Strategic Plan for Arts and Culture on April 26, 2001.

Wendy Ceccherelli, Strategic Arts Advisor, distributed a copy of the revised arts policy to the Park Board members. She acknowledged receipt of comments from eight people suggesting changes to the policy. She reviewed the proposed policy language and grammar changes. Wendy clarified the roles of the Project Steering Committee and made it consistent throughout the policy. The impact on view corridors was added to the list of things that the Core Team Committee, Project Steering Committee or the Board might consider in making its recommendations on the placement of art. Wendy is the art liaison but is not sure if she is officially a member of the Core Team. The major comment had to do with the definition of “temporary” art and whether five years was too long or not. Temporary art, 10.1.2, is defined as artwork produced within Parks’ classes and programs and is exempted from review. There are some statements of philosophy from Ann Lennartz that Wendy did not place in the statement of art in the policy but did place in the Arts & Culture Plan.

The Friends of Olmsted Parks suggested temporary artwork language in their April 30 letter. “Temporary artwork is intended for removal after a specified time period. Permission may be granted for one-year periods and in no case shall temporary artwork be permitted for more than three years. Any artwork to be displayed for more than 6 months must be approved by the Park Board. The Arts Commission may only place temporary artworks on Parks property with the approval of the Park Board and Superintendent and only at sites identified on the priority site list.” Wendy recommended the language not include language referencing the Arts Commission in the language as it is dealt elsewhere in the policy.

Susan Golub moved the definition of temporary be changed to one year with an annual

review. James Fearn seconded the motion. Karen Daubert thinks there should be an upper limit included in the statement. The motion carried with one vote opposed.

Karen Daubert moved that there be a five-year limit for temporary art as proposed in the original policy. Bruce Bentley seconded the motion. The motion was defeated.

Karen Daubert is concerned about 7.2 regarding the issue of hardship and if the artist is not able to install the artwork a donor would need to install the artwork. Wendy stated artists are encouraged to be responsible for the installation of the artwork. It is a consideration as to whether or not to accept artwork but does not mean the Arts Commission does not accept artwork that does not come with installation included.

Yale Lewis moved the language be changed in 7.2 to read the installation of the artwork “shall be provided by or arranged for” by the artist or donor of the artwork...The motion was seconded and carried with one voting in opposition.

Karen Daubert moved the policy be adopted as revised. James Fearn seconded the motion. James Fearn is concerned there are not standards for determining when art is going to be accepted and when it is not. The motion carried unanimously.

Wendy received comments from five individuals on the Arts & Culture Plan. A one-page flyer will be developed when the plan is approved for easier reading. One person has suggested the title of the plan be changed to “Explore the Magic of Arts & Culture in Seattle’s Parks.”

The Board was not required to take action on the Arts & Culture Plan.

Old Business: None

New Business: None

The meeting was adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

APPROVED: _____ DATE: _____

Bruce Bentley, Chair