

Mike McGinn Mayor

Diane Sugimura Director, DPD

Marshall Foster Planning Director, DPD

Julie Bassuk Chair

Seth Geiser

Laurel Kunkler

Shannon Loew

**Tom Nelson** 

Julie Parrett

Osama Quotah

Ellen Sollod

**Debbie Harris** 

Valerie Kinast Coordinator

Tom Iurino Senior Staff



Department of Planning and Development 700 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 PO Box 34019 Seattle, WA 98124-4019

TEL206-615-1349FAX206-233-7883

# APPROVED MINUTES OF THE MEETING

### November 15, 2012

Convened 8:30am Adjourned 4:30pm

## **Projects Reviewed**

North Transfer Station Zoo West Parking SR 520 I5 to Medina West Approach Bridge

#### **Commissioners Present**

Julie Bassuk, Chair Julie Parrett (excused from 1:00-4:30pm) Seth Geiser Debbie Harris (excused from 8:30am-1:30pm) Laurel Kunkler Shannon Loew Osama Quotah (excused from 8:30-9:00am) Ellen Sollod

Commissioners Excused Tom Nelson

# Staff Present

Valerie Kinast Tom Iurino



November 15, 2012	Project:	North Transfer Station
	Phase:	Urban Design Merit and Public Benefit, Street Vacation
	Last Reviewed:	Jun 16, 2011; Dec 2, 2010
	Presenters:	Hui Yang, Seattle Public Utilities
		Dean Koonts, HBB
		Tim Croll, Seattle Public Utilities

Attendees:	Beverly Barnett, SDOT	
	Jane Johnson, SPU	
	Jeff Neuner, SPU	
	Josh Campbell, SPU	
	Pierce McVay, Mahlum	
	Sandra Mallory, Office of Sustainability and Environment	
	Vince Lyons, Wallingford Community Council	

Time: 9:00am-11:00am

#### Disclosure

Commissioner Quotah's office is working on the living building project next door to the North Transfer Station project.

#### **Summary of Project Presentation**

The design team presented its plans for a street vacation of Carr Place N. between N. 34th and N. 35th as part of developing the North Transfer Station project. The proposed area to be vacated is 11,259 sq ft. Seattle Public Utilities plans to completely rebuild the current North Transfer Station at 1350 N. 34th St. This renovation is necessary because the existing station is outdated and a new one would be more efficient and would enable SPU to meet its goals for recycling.

The new station features a host of improvements, including recycling and reuse drop-off areas separated from disposal areas, allowing easier access for recycling. Along the north edge and east edges of the site, the station is set back from the property line, providing room for open space and plantings and preserving the view corridor from Ashland. The team summarized the community and legislative history of the project, and the council's approval of the agreement Seattle Public Utilities reached with the Wallingford and Fremont Community Councils. The agreement detailed some of the project's design, such as the setbacks and elements of the open space design.

The street to be vacated is little used and there are no significant adverse impacts on traffic. The portion of Carr Place N that is proposed to be vacated is restricted to one-way travel heading north into the Wallingford neighborhood. It provides little or no neighborhood connectivity and is bordered on both sides by the project site. Carr Place North's traffic volume did not exceed 13 vehicles in one hour and it

contains no drainage or wastewater infrastructure. There is still a view shed through the vacated street, as that is the site's entry and exit. The team will relocate Seattle City Light utilities and a water distribution main.

The proposed public benefits are: integrated natural play area, multi-sport court play and lawn, Olmstedian walk, a gathering area (Woodlawn and N 34), art along N 34, fitness stations along N 35, a viewing room inside the facility, and curb bulbs.

The concept for the design of open spaces is called Twine with a Twist. The design includes: natural play elements and passive lawn at the Carr Place North park; a multi-sport court and a green roof on the garage of the building; a play lawn, Olmstedian walk and a gathering area along the west side of Woodlawn Ave.; art along N. 34<sup>th</sup> St.; fitness stations along N. 35<sup>th</sup> St.; a preservation of view corridors, keeping street trees throughout the site as possible, developing a site with crime prevention in mind, and adding pedestrian safety elements such as curb bulbs at Woodlawn and 34<sup>th</sup> and 35<sup>th</sup> and N Carr. There will also be a viewing room inside the facility.

#### **Department Comments**

Beverly Barnett of SDOT identifies no significant issues. It is a feasible proposal. She is examining site access points, circulation, and the risk of cuing in the streets.

#### **Public Comment**

Vince Lyons, Wallingford Community Council Art Selection Panel, reported that 18 artists submitted proposals and the city will make a selection in early December. He is looking forward to getting feedback on the art from the PAAC.

Alison Hogue, Wallingford Community Council, commended Seattle Public Utilities for convening community groups and honoring the Wallingford Neighborhood Plan. She hopes Seattle could be a leader in air quality controls.

# **ACTION (by Kunkler)**

The commission thanked the team for their presentation. It appreciated how the open spaces were broke down by zones.

With a vote of 6 to 1 the Seattle Design Commission approved the Urban Design Merit of the proposal to vacate Carr Place N. between N. 34th and N. 35th as part of the North Transfer Station project subject to items one through three below.

This is the first of two approvals needed before the Design Commission will recommend approval of the vacations to the SDOT Director. At a future meeting the Design Commission must further review and vote on the public benefit package. Items 4 through 6 are recommendations on the public benefit package.

- Show the full context of the vacation request: the different options studied, why the vacation is required, etc. Review the functional benefits the alley will provide: less noise, view corridors, etc. Provide design drawings of the elevations, footprint, massing and capacity.
- Provide context for bicycling, including how the site is accessed and bicycle routes to, from and alongside it.
- Provide more information on sustainability measures and strategies.
- Reevaluate and further detail the public benefit. It seems to be driven too much by community input from immediate neighbors and not from the view of the larger neighborhood or city. For example, consider what design elements to place in the setback, as setbacks themselves are required and therefore not a public benefit.
- Show restraint in the design of the open spaces and number of elements in them.
- Consider as public benefits undergrounding the utilities and improvements to the bike network. Show that any proposed public benefit is not in conflict with the potential utility relocation. The benefit needs to be sustainable.

- Provide additional detail on the pedestrian crossing design at N. 34<sup>th</sup> and N. 35<sup>th</sup> and make sure these are the most beneficial connections that could be provided in the area. Consider how the connections to the south across N. 34<sup>th</sup> join the community, where there are amenities like the Burke Gilman Trail.
- Encourage an artist to be involved early in the design, and not just relegated to a single place.

Commissioner Loew voted no because, given the importance of street vacations, the commission needs to see the full range of options considered in order to sufficiently evaluate the urban design merit.