

Mike McGinn

Mayor

Diane Sugimura Director, DPD

Marshall Foster Planning Director, DPD

Mary Johnston

Chair

Julie Bassuk

Graham Black

Brendan Connolly

Malika Kirkling

Laurel Kunkler

Julie Parrett

Norie Sato

Donald Vehige

Valerie Kinast

Coordinator

Tom Iurino Senior Staff



Department of Planning and Development 700 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 PO Box 34019 Seattle, WA 98124-4019

TEL 206-615-1349 **FAX** 206-233-7883

APPROVED MINUTES OF THE MEETING

February 3, 2011

Convened 10:30am Adjourned 4:00pm

Projects Reviewed

Downtown Sign Code
DPD Planning Division Update
Waterfront Planning
King Street Station Hub Planning

Commissioners Present

Mary Johnston, Chair Brendan Connolly Julie Bassuk Malika Kirkling Laurel Kunkler Julie Parrett Norie Sato Donald Vehige

Incoming Commissioners Present

Tom Nelson Osama Quota

Staff Present

Valerie Kinast Tom Iurino Jenny Hampton



February 3, 2011 Project: Downtown Sign Code Amendments

Phase: Discussion Last Reviewed: Jan 20, 2011

Presenters: N/A

Attendees: Paula Rees, community member

Bill Bradburd, community member Barb Wilson, Planning Commission Jessica Clawson, McCullough Hill Leary

Time: 11:00am-12:00pm

SUMMARY

The commission discussed the proposed amendments to the downtown sign code and writing its recommendation to City Council. The commission summarized its discussion:

Design concerns of proposed sign code

- Defaces skyline
- Advertising not wayfinding
- Pristine skyline views are precious
- No public benefit
- Design Commission role difficult to implement
- 65' limit already provides advertising opportunities
- our purview is aesthetics and protecting them

Design advantages

- Open to change
- Open to iconic opportunities

Process suggestions

- allow special sign exceptions > 65' relationship to urban resources
- illumination is potentially a way to protect iconic views
- Design Review Board with clear criteria
- Location is important
- Had a net sustainability benefit
- Trade-offs established to link sign to public benefit

The commission decided the points above would form the basis of its recommendation to council, concluding it was unwilling to support the amendments as proposed. The recommendation would also include some principles to help guide the City through future decisions such as these amendments.