seattle bicycle master plan

Seattle Design Commission March 21, 2013



Purpose of Briefing











- Proposed policy framework (vision and goals)
- Draft bicycle network plan map development

 Identify locations for future bicycle investments
- Summary of comments received on draft map
- Highlight key issues/questions



Next steps

BMP Update Roadmap



Policy Framework: Proposed Vision









Proposed vision statement:

Riding a bicycle is a comfortable and integral part of daily life in Seattle for people of all ages and abilities.

• • •

Policy Framework: Proposed Goals

Five proposed goals:

- 1. Ridership Increase the amount and mode share of bicycle riding in Seattle for all trip purposes.
- 2. Safety Improve safety for bicycle riders in Seattle.
- 3. Connectivity Create a high quality bicycle network that connects to places people want to go and provides a time-competitive travel option. (new goal)



- 4. Equity Improve bicycle riding for all through equity in public engagement, program delivery, and capital investments. (new goal)
- 5. Livability –Build vibrant communities by creating a welcoming environment for bicycle riding. (new goal)

Draft Network Plan Map Development

Develop updated plan network map to identify locations for future bicycle investments (where and what type)

- Principles:
 - Consider land use (destinations and demand rankings)
 - Emphasize network connectivity
 - Improve conditions for bicyclists of all ages and abilities
 - Provide a bicycle facility within 1/4 mile of all
 - households in Seattle





Land use: a key focus on connecting people to places they want to go





Step 2: Developed proposed network based on data analysis and review by project team

- More qualitative assessment
- Goal to create a connected network throughout the city







Step 3: Recommend facility types (what lines on the map mean)







- Updated bicycle facility types (based on underlying street classification)
- Incorporated new facility types into the plan (cycle tracks, buffered bike lanes, neighborhood greenways)



Draft Bicycle Facilities

• Enhanced street – neighborhood greenways



• In street, minor separation – bike lanes and buffered bike lanes



• In street, major separation – cycle tracks



Preliminary Draft Bicycle Facility Designation Criteria

Neighborhood greenways:

- The specific location of a neighborhood greenway may change based on more detailed analysis and design work
- Map is intended to show corridors where a greenway would be an appropriate connection













Multi-Modal Corridors – (highlighted on draft map in yellow)

The map designates some areas as multi-modal corridors, based on:

- Priority transit corridors identified in the City's Transit Master Plan (TMP)
- Major Truck Streets (key freight routes)
- Need for more analysis about potential to build a bicycle facility on that street or a parallel street



Draft Plan Network Map



Street Designation	Total Miles on Network Map	Existing Facilities that Meet/Exceed Recommendations	New Facilities Recommendations	Upgrade to Existing Facility Recommended	Facilities to build
Enhanced Street	226	15	211	0	211
In Street, Minor Separation	200	43	109	48	157
In Street, Major Separation	137	0	80	57	137
Off-Street	64	46	18	0	18

Public Engagement Phase II

Activities (November – January)

- Three November public meetings
 - 300 attendees
 - Thousands of comments on the draft network maps
- Comment sheet and interactive mapping tool (1,400+ comments on map)
- 200+ e-mails with comments
- Attended 24 community, advisory board, and district council meetings
- Letters from organizations



What We Heard – Key Findings











- Add streets to the proposed network:
 - Address connectivity gaps (e.g. SLU to Capitol Hill) and missing connections
 - More focus on intersections
- Remove streets from the proposed network:
 - Comments indicated concern about practicality or necessity of arterial bike facilities (e.g. NE 65th St)
 - Some interest in removing arterial streets that have transit service
- Multi-modal corridors:
 - Strong interest and conflicting public comment for many corridors

What We Heard – Key Findings

• Facility designation criteria:

Generally support vision and goals

- Generally supported

Policy framework:









- Programs:
 - Support for a variety programmatic ideas: driver's education and licensing, programs in schools

Connectivity is crucial to encourage more ridership





Draft Programmatic Categories





Programs to help achieve the goals: ridership, safety, connectivity, equity, livability

What We Heard – Key Findings

Other comments:

- Curious about funding strategy and maintenance of new facilities
- Negative comments about bikes in BAT lanes
- Concerns about challenging crossings and barriers
- Concern that plan map might be too ambitious
- Map needs more legibility to convey how it links core destinations together









How We Are Responding











- Plan map refinements:
 - More analysis of feasibility of bicycle facilities on multi-modal corridors and other arterials
 - Identify parallel routes if necessary
 - Community interest in creating clearer connections of "all ages and abilities" facilities
 - Develop more specific design guidance for facilities and intersections
 - Improve map readability

BMP Update Next Steps









- Identify programs (to enhance bicycle ridership, safety, etc.)
- Develop updated performance measures and prioritization framework
- Develop updated implementation strategies
- Develop complete draft BMP update for public review in late spring



More Information

Project Website: <u>www.seattle.gov/transportation/bikemaster.htm</u>

Project email address: bmpupdate@seattle.gov

