

June 11, 2019

Chief Carmen Best Seattle Police Department PO Box 34986 Seattle, WA 98124-4986

Dear Chief Best:

Please see the below Management Action Recommendation.

Case Number

• 2018OPA-1037

Topic

• Canine Deployment

Summary

It was alleged that Named Employees violated policy upon causing a canine to bite the subject, and it appears that canine deployment practice and training do not align with policy.

Analysis

- SPD Policy 8.300-POL-1(7)(c) requires that officers receive approval from an immediate supervisor before a canine is deployed off-leash. Based on OPA interviews, it appears that practice and training do not align with policy
- SPD Policy 8.400-POL-1(3) instructs that a sergeant screen uses of force with the Force Investigation Team (FIT) for injuries rising to the level of great and substantial bodily harm to allow that unit to decide whether to respond to the scene and take over the investigation. The Named Employee failed to screen the incident with FIT. OPA believes that failure stemmed from a lack of clarity concerning when an injury constitutes great and substantial bodily harm.
- OPA is concerned that the canine unit chain of command may approve officer use of force without
 undergoing a critical review and analysis. This is particularly concerning given that canine force
 application has been deemed a "severe" use of force by the Ninth Circuit. It also appears to OPA that
 the canine unit chain of command consistently asserts that officer actions were consistent with training.
 However, deficient training cannot be used to defend actions that are contrary to law and policy.

Recommendation(s)

- Amend policy to make it consistent with current practice and training as well as with the practicalities surrounding off-leash deployment. (*Note: OPA made a similar recommendation in 2018OPA-0783*.)
- Clarify policy and/or provide additional training guidance to supervisors concerning what types of
 injuries rise to the level of great and substantial bodily harm. One way this could be accomplished is to
 have FIT develop a force screening matrix that could be shared with supervisors. Engage in a robust
 analysis of canine application caselaw, Department canine policy as a force tool, and Department
 canine training and whether this training is consistent with law and Department expectations of officer
 conduct.



Thank you for your consideration of this matter. I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

AM

Andrew Myerberg Director, Office of Police Accountability