

September 11, 2019

Director Andrew Myerberg Office of Police Accountability PO Box 34986 Seattle, WA 98124-4986

Dear Director Myerberg,

Please see the below Management Action Recommendation response.

#### **Case Numbers**

2018OPA-0704 (2019COMP-0018)

### **Topic**

De-Escalation

#### **Summary:**

• Named Employee threatened to shoot a fleeing suspect. Employee asserted that this threat served as a form of de-escalation. OPA disagreed.

#### **OPA Recommendations:**

 Revise SPD Policy 8.100 language to clarify that threats of force – and particularly threats of force that would otherwise be excessive do not constitute a de-escalation tactic.

#### **Action Taken:**

- On August 16, 2019, revisions to Seattle Police Department Policies and Procedures Manual Title 8 –
  Use of Force, were approved by Federal Court Judge Robart. To address the recommendation the
  revised policy now reads: (in part) (Full De-escalation Policy is attached)
  - 1. When Safe, Feasible, and Without Compromising Law Enforcement Priorities, Officers Shall Use De-Escalation Tactics in Order to Reduce the Need for Force
  - (a). Officers shall conduct a threat assessment so as not to precipitate an unnecessary, unreasonable, or disproportionate use of force by placing themselves or others in undue jeopardy.
  - (b). Team approaches to de-escalation are encouraged and should consider officer training and skill level, number of officers, and whether any officer has successfully established rapport with the subject. Where officers use a team approach to de-escalation, each individual officer's obligation to de-escalate will be satisfied as long as the officer's actions complement the overall approach.
  - (c). Selection of de-escalation options should be guided by the totality of the circumstances with the goal of attaining voluntary compliance; considerations include:

## -(i) Communication

Using communication intended to gain voluntary compliance, such as:

- Verbal persuasion
- Advisements and warnings (including Taser spark display tests to explain/warn prior to Taser application), given in a calm and explanatory manner, Exception: Warnings given as a threat of force are not considered part of de-escalation.

## SPD considers this Management Action:

# • Fully implemented

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have further questions.

Sincerely,

Lesley Cordner Assistant Chief, Professional Standards Bureau