

FITCH RATES SEATTLE, WA'S \$50.6MM LTGOS 'AAA'; AFFIRMS OUTSTANDING

Fitch Ratings-San Francisco-25 April 2018: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AAA' rating to the following city of Seattle, WA (the city) general obligation (GO) bonds:

- \$24.1 million limited tax GO (LTGO) improvement bonds 2018A;
- \$26.5 million LTGO improvement bonds 2018B (taxable).

In addition, Fitch has affirmed the following ratings at 'AAA':
\$291.7 million outstanding unlimited tax (ULT) GO bonds;
\$720.8 million outstanding LTGO bonds;
Issuer Default Rating (IDR).

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

The Series 2018A bond proceeds will be used to fund various capital improvement projects. The series 2018B bond proceeds will be used to fund capital improvements to the Overlook Walk project, which is related to its development of the central waterfront area as well as to fund a portion of the city's affordable rental housing program.

SECURITY

LTGOs bonds are payable from an ad valorem property tax pledge subject to statutory limits.

ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION

Seattle's 'AAA' IDR is supported by strong economic and revenue growth, sustained by the educated workforce and the dynamic software and aerospace industries that dominate the regional economy. Long-term liabilities are low. The somewhat weak revenue raising ability is offset by moderate expenditure flexibility and solid reserves relative to moderate expected revenue fluctuations. Fitch expects the city to maintain the highest level of gap closing capacity throughout the economic cycle.

Economic Resource Base

Seattle is the largest city in the Pacific Northwest and the cultural and business center of Puget Sound. The regional economy is still heavily influenced by Boeing and Microsoft. The city in particular is experiencing robust economic growth as Amazon and other technology companies expand in downtown, fostering complementary multiuse development. The workforce is highly educated, helping to sustain above average economic and revenue growth.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Framework: 'aa'

Revenue growth has been and is expected to remain largely above GDP growth given the size of the healthy aerospace and growing software sectors. Offsetting this strength, the city's ability to independently raise its property tax levy is limited to 1% annually.

Expenditure Framework: 'aa'

Over time, expenditure growth is expected to be roughly in line with revenue growth as salaries and benefits grow in line with revenues. Carrying costs for debt service, pensions and OPEB are moderately low.

Long-Term Liability Burden: 'aaa'

Seattle's long-term liability burden is equally divided between bonded debt and net pension liabilities and is low relative to its resource base.

Operating Performance: 'aaa'

Seattle has exceptional gap-closing ability and is expected to manage through a downturn while retaining a high level of financial flexibility. Seattle's strong budget management and conservative policies result in rapid rebuilding of reserves while funding pay as you go capital and actuarial funding of pension benefits.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

BALANCED OPERATIONS; SOLID RESERVES: Material deviation from Fitch's expectation of the highest gap closing capacity through the economic cycle, while unexpected, would result in downward rating pressure.

CREDIT PROFILE

Seattle continues to experience very strong economic growth, benefitting from Amazon's recent and rapid growth, increasing employment by other technology companies, and a strong construction industry. Seattle's tax structure captures this economic growth through property, business, sales, utility and real estate excise (transaction) taxes. While Fitch views the city's transition towards a more broadly diversified economic base as a positive credit factor, Boeing and Microsoft and increasingly Amazon, the most significant employers in the region, remain driving forces for the regional economy. As Amazon and Microsoft and other information technology companies have grown, the information sector now generates over three times the national average share of the regional personal income. Employment in the information sector is more than double the national average. The performance of this industry is expected to continue to have an outsized impact on the economic fortunes of the city and region.

The city's socioeconomic measures remain strong. Income levels are well above national averages as are educational attainment levels; city residents have over twice the national rate of advanced degrees.

The city's assessed value (AV) rose almost 60% between 2013 and 2017 as increased employment, a growing population, and the significant development plans by Amazon and other companies led to a more active and higher priced real estate market. Fitch expects additional growth, though likely at a slower pace, over the next few years as on-going and planned development projects are completed.

Revenue Framework

Revenues are diversified among property taxes (27% of general fund revenues), sales taxes (23%), business taxes (28%), utility taxes (14%) and other revenues. Sales and business taxes tend to be more volatile and responsive to changes in the economy while property and utility taxes tend to be very stable with more limited growth potential. This structure has provided a steady source of revenue growth despite a statutory limit of 1% annual property tax levy increases, due to ongoing additions to the tax base from new construction (which is excluded from the 1% limit) and economic growth benefitting other sources. As demonstrated in the Great Recession, the limitation on levy growth provides solid downside risk in the event of AV declines as the levy still increases by 1% annually.

Revenue growth has outpaced the rate of inflation and GDP by large margins. Ongoing economic growth appears likely to provide similarly paced revenue gains.

Increases to property taxes beyond the levy limit require voter approval, which the city regularly seeks and receives in the form of temporary levy lid lifts for specific uses. The city has the ability to adjust charges for services, permit fees and fines but the combination makes up only 10% of general fund revenues.

Expenditure Framework

Public safety comprises the bulk of city general fund spending, followed by general government, culture and recreation and capital.

Given the nature of Seattle's revenue system and spending responsibilities, Fitch believes that growth in major spending areas is likely to be in line with to marginally above expected revenue growth (on average).

The city's fixed cost burden is low, with carrying costs for debt, pensions and OPEB equaling just over 10% of 2016 governmental expenditures. Pension costs represent about half of the total but are overstated as a significant portion of those pension costs are attributable to and paid by utilities, including the power and water enterprises. The collective bargaining framework in Washington State offers moderate flexibility to make adjustments to personnel spending as needed.

The city and its miscellaneous (non-public safety) unions agreed to create a new pension tier effective Jan. 1, 2017, which has a lower benefit and expected lower contribution rate for the city and should slow the pace of growth of pension costs over time. The OPEB portion of carrying costs is very small as the city only provides an implicit rate subsidy for retirees.

Long-Term Liability Burden

The combination of the city's direct and overlapping bonded debt and its direct unfunded pension liability totals about 6.3% of personal income, which Fitch considers a low burden on the city's resources. Bonded debt makes up about 40% of the total liability and the net pension liability the remainder.

The city's debt issuance is exclusively for capital projects, with some use of paygo for smaller projects. Given the city's practice of moderate, regular debt issuance, above-average pace of debt amortization and strong income growth, Fitch expects the city's debt burden to remain low relative to personal income. The city has its own pension system for miscellaneous employees (SCERS) and participates in the state-sponsored system for public safety workers (LEOFF). LEOFF is well funded, the annual pension payment consistently meets the ADC and actuarial assumptions are standard. SCERS has a weak funding ratio, with estimated assets equal to a low 61% of liabilities using a 6% return assumption.

The city council adopted changes to its SCERS pension funding in 2011. These changes require full funding of the actuarially required contribution (ARC) and adoption of five-year smoothing rather than the annual mark-to-market approach used prior to that which had resulted in a lower ratio of assets to liabilities. This is in contrast to the latest GASB guidelines, which now requires reporting on a mark to market basis rather than actuarial smoothing.

Operating Performance

The combination of the city's expenditure flexibility and sizeable reserves are expected to sustain its exceptional financial flexibility throughout economic downturns.

The city has demonstrated a strong commitment to financial flexibility through efforts to control costs, improve pension funding, maintain reserves, and utilize extensive and conservative financial forecasting. In addition, the city has a track record of funding key services such as public housing, library, transportation and families and education through voter-approved increases to property tax

levy limits for specific purposes (levy lid lifts). During this extended economic recovery, the city has pro-actively built up its reserves, increasing unrestricted fund balance to an unaudited \$392 million in 2017 from a low of \$104 million in 2010. In addition, the pension reforms noted above demonstrate commitment to financial flexibility.

The restriction of the city's real estate excise tax to capital spending reduces the exposure of financial operations to a volatile revenue source and provides an important source of paygo capital throughout the economic cycle.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Karen Ribble
Senior Director
+1-415-732-5611
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
650 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94108

Secondary Analyst
Shannon Groff
Director
+1-415-732-5628

Committee Chairperson
Amy Laskey
Managing Director
+1-212-908-0568

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis and InvestorTools.

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212-908-0278, Email: sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

U.S. Public Finance Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 03 Apr 2018)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10024656>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2018 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and

its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.