Environmentally Critical Areas Update Process

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

Was the January 21 Code and Policy Update Workshop informative? ❑ Yes ❑ No

Did you feel that your concerns were heard and/or recorded? ❑ Yes ❑ No

If you have additional comments about the four main questions, please let us know:

1. What is currently working well with the ECA regulations? What is not working as well?

(1) Very high transaction costs for small property owners – 12-13+ years experience – why the need for individual study?

2. What has been your experience with the ECA regulations (e.g., participated in the permit process, observed problems, etc.)? How have you been personally affected by the ECA regulations? LOTS 13 years+

(2) Expectation that critical area ordinance on random, small, individual parcels will equal or result in preservation or reservation is an inequitable burden

3. How can we improve protection of critical area functions and values while allowing reasonable development opportunities?

(3) couple drainage plan improvements to key resource protection needs

4. How can incentives for property owners enhance protection of environmentally critical areas? What incentives should be available for ECA protection?

In ordinance redraft,

(4) DO separate resource protection from hazards (property / safety protection – have different needs + approaches

(5) ALLOW fish + wild life mitigation to be constructed outside city boundaries if consistent w/ WRIA plans

(6) Penalize steep slope landslide property owners I'm tired of paying for their steep repairs!!

(7) make sure you built in an automatic revision if science changes

(8) Be more Articulate as to →

Return this form or send e-mail to:

Miles Mayhew
Seattle Department of
Planning and Development
700 5th Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98104-5070

Fax (206) 233-7883
E-mail *miles.mayhew@seattle.gov*

If you have questions about the Environmentally Critical Areas Update Process, please include your name and contact information.

Regulated community
Public needs to know

how you expect the requirement to
integrate Best available Science to
change the focus / level of regulation / method of regulation